



Large-scale study reveals regional fungicide applications as a major determinant of 2 resistance evolution in the wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* in France.

Maxime Garnault, Clémentine Duplaix, Pierre Leroux, Gilles Couleaud, Olivier David, Anne-sophie Walker, Florence Carpentier

► To cite this version:

Maxime Garnault, Clémentine Duplaix, Pierre Leroux, Gilles Couleaud, Olivier David, et al.. Large-scale study reveals regional fungicide applications as a major determinant of 2 resistance evolution in the wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* in France.. *New Phytologist*, 2021, 229 (6), pp.3508-3521. 10.1111/nph.17107 . hal-03940194

HAL Id: hal-03940194

<https://agroparistech.hal.science/hal-03940194>

Submitted on 12 Dec 2023

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Large-scale study reveals regional fungicide applications as a major determinant of resistance evolution in the wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* in France.

Running head:

Resistance selection driven by regional fungicide use in French *Zymoseptoria tritici* populations.

Article type:

Original research article – Full paper

Authors:

Maxime GARNAULT^{1,2}, Clémentine DUPLAIX¹, Pierre LEROUX¹, Gilles COULEAUD³, Olivier DAVID^{2*}, Anne-Sophie WALKER^{1*} and Florence CARPENTIER^{1,2*}

¹ Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, UMR BIOGER, 78850, Thiverval-Grignon, France

² Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, MaIAGE, 78350, Jouy-en-Josas, France

³ Arvalis-Institut du Végétal, 91720 Boigneville, France

* These authors contributed equally to this study

Author for correspondence:

Florence Carpentier

Tel: +331 44 08 86 35

E-mail: florence.carpentier@inrae.fr

Summary:

- Research rationale: In modern cropping systems, the quasi-systematic use of plant protection products selects for resistance in pest populations. The emergence and evolution of this adaptive trait threaten treatment efficacy. We identified determinants of fungicide resistance evolution and quantified their effects at a large spatiotemporal scale.
- Methods: We focused on *Zymoseptoria tritici*, which causes leaf blotch in wheat.

Phenotypes of qualitative or quantitative resistance to various fungicides were monitored annually, from 2004 to 2017, at about 70 sites throughout regions of France (territorial units of 25 000km² in average). We modelled changes in resistance frequency with regional anti-*Septoria* fungicide use, yield losses due to the disease and the regional area under organic wheat.

- Key results: The major driver of resistance dynamics was fungicide use at the regional scale. We estimated its effect on the increase in resistance and apparent relative fitness of each resistance phenotype. The predictions of the model replicated the spatiotemporal patterns of resistance observed in field populations (R^2 from 0.56 to 0.82).
- Main conclusion: The evolution of fungicide resistance is determined at the regional scale. There is therefore a need for the collective management of resistance, at local but also non-intuitively at larger scales, which could be guided by the results of studies like this.

Keywords:

Mycosphaerella graminicola, *Zymoseptoria tritici*, Septoria leaf blotch, Resistance determinants, Large-scale evolution, Regional fungicide use, Resistance dynamics, Mathematical modelling

1 INTRODUCTION

The efficacy of pesticides and drugs has been compromised by the rapid and widespread evolution of resistance, increasing the use of pesticides and drugs to maintain control levels (Georghiou & Mellon, 1983; Russell, 2005; Gould *et al.*, 2018). The management of resistance evolution is essential for human health, biodiversity and food security, given the rapid emergence and spread of resistance and the lack of new modes of action (MoA) (Palumbi, 2001; Grimmer *et al.*, 2014). Many studies have investigated the effects of various factors on the evolution of resistance: fitness cost (Andersson, 2003), mutation rate (Martinez & Baquero,

2000; Gressel, 2011), population size (Sisterson *et al.*, 2004), strength of selection pressure and its mitigation in anti-resistance strategies (Oz *et al.*, 2014; van den Bosch *et al.*, 2014). A number of studies have advocated further studies on the relative impact of these factors on a given pest and of the interactions between these factors (Berendonk *et al.*, 2015; Hughes & Andersson, 2015), with a view to promoting large-scale strategies (Okeke *et al.*, 2005; Menalled *et al.*, 2016).

Several studies have shown how agricultural selection pressures affect the large-scale structure of pest populations at national scale. For instance, the national distribution of resistance varieties shapes the adaptation of pathogen populations to cultivars (Tyutyunov *et al.*, 2008; Papaïx *et al.*, 2011). Historical herbicide applications have been shown to drive the evolution of herbicide resistance at a national scale (Hicks *et al.*, 2018). For fungicide resistance, theoretical studies have revealed that combining effective MoAs over time and space can delay resistance evolution (REX Consortium, 2013; van den Bosch *et al.*, 2014) and that large-scale management strategies may differ from and interact with in-field strategies (Parnell *et al.*, 2006). However, so far, in the absence of large-scale studies, recommendations about fungicide use mostly stem from empirical studies conducted in local field trials assessing the impact of different spraying strategies (Rosenzweig *et al.*, 2008; Dooley *et al.*, 2016a,b; Heick *et al.*, 2017).

The aim of this study was to highlight the determinants of fungicide resistance evolution at the national scale in France. We investigated the main potential drivers of evolution: (i) the selection pressure effect, as assessed by regional fungicide use, (ii) the genetic drift effect, which is modulated by population size (Maxwell *et al.*, 1990; Sisterson *et al.*, 2004), using yield losses as a proxy and (iii) the refuge effect, including the fraction of wheat fields unsprayed with fungicides over the territory (Parnell *et al.*, 2006; Tabashnik *et al.*, 2008), assessed by determining the area under organic wheat. Although organic areas may not be the only fields not sprayed for a given fungicide (e.g. conventional areas not using this given fungicide), they

represent at least a lower bound for refuges.

We focused our analysis on *Zymoseptoria tritici* (formerly *Septoria tritici* and *Mycosphaerella graminicola* as teleomorph), an ascomycete responsible for septoria leaf blotch (STB) on winter wheat. *Z. tritici* has many features facilitating the emergence of resistance: high genome plasticity, a large population size, high genetic diversity, asexual and sexual reproduction, an ability to disperse over large distances (Zhan & McDonald, 2004; Croll & McDonald, 2012). STB is a major wheat disease that can cause yield losses of up to 50% (Ponomarenko *et al.*, 2011; Torriani *et al.*, 2015). In western Europe, up to 70% of all fungicide use is linked to STB control (Fones & Gurr, 2015). As a result, various degrees of resistance to all authorised unisite inhibitors (*i.e.* exhibiting a single molecular mode of action) have been observed in France (Garnault *et al.*, 2019).

We previously published an initial analysis of the Performance trial network dataset, in which phenotypes of resistance to four fungicide MoAs were monitored annually, from 2004 to 2017, at about 70 sites throughout France (Garnault *et al.* 2019). We found significant differences between resistance phenotypes in terms of changes in spatial distribution and/or growth rates. Major differences in population structure and dynamics were highlighted between the north and south of France.

We develop here an explanatory model for identifying the determinants of these regional spatiotemporal heterogeneities in resistance evolution according to resistance phenotype. We investigated the effect of annual fungicide use, pathogen population size and the fraction of refuges, all at the regional scale (spatial units of 25 000 squared kilometers in average). The use of the regional scale was encouraged by the fact that (*i*) the use of fungicide use in France was well-documented at this scale, from panel surveys, (*ii*) the distribution of resistance frequency data we had in France was fairly well adapted to this scale, (*iii*) we wanted to keep homogeneity with works published in Garnault *et al.* (2019). Our analysis shows that the

change in resistance frequency can be assessed at regional scale, and that the major determinant of resistance is the selection pressure exerted by fungicide applications in the preceding year. This study provides empirical results for regional resistance management, at a level intermediate between field and national recommendations. A sound understanding of resistance evolution and of its determinants would help optimizing resistance management and applying them at sound spatiotemporal scales. It should ultimately help to reduce pesticide use in agrosystems.

2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Data description

2.1.1 Sampling of *Z. tritici* populations and estimation of resistance frequency

The “Performance network” is supervised by ARVALIS-Institut du Végétal and the INRAE research institute at Thiverval-Grignon. It carried out field trials on wheat throughout France between 2004 and 2017 with a mean of 70 trials annually (4 to 5 trials per region and per year, 90% credible interval is 1 to 10). The frequency of resistant phenotypes in *Z. tritici* populations sampled annually in these trials is recorded in the associated dataset (see Garnault *et al.*, 2019 for further information).

Wheat trials were carried out in a randomized block design with 3 to 4 replicates. The frequency of resistant phenotypes in population were estimated by collecting bulk pycnidiospores from 30 to 40 upper leaves, that were randomly sampled within each plot and showed STB symptoms. Cropped cultivars were predominantly STB-sensitive to promote the presence of the disease, a total of 124 different wheat cultivars were cropped over the whole studied period. Phenotypes were distinguished on the basis of their germination or growth on Petri dishes containing discriminatory doses of fungicides, optimised on individual genotyped isolates (see Leroux & Walker, 2011 and Garnault *et al.*, 2019 for more details). We then considered: (i) the phenotype displaying specific qualitative resistance to strobilurins (or Qols; inhibitors of respiration complex III), hereafter referred to as the StrR phenotype, (ii) the group of phenotypes with moderate quantitative resistance to DMIs (sterol 14 α -demethylation

inhibitors), hereafter referred to as TriMR phenotypes, (iii) the group of phenotypes with a high quantitative resistance to DMIs, hereafter referred to as TriHR phenotypes. The TriMR group encompasses the TriR6 and TriR7-TriR8 phenotypes, which were also included in the analysis (TriR6 strains were recognised on the basis of their growth on low doses of prochloraz, contrasting with the lack of growth of TriR7–TriR8 strains in these conditions; Leroux & Walker, 2011).

Region, year, sampling date and cultivar grown were recorded for each sample. We considered only populations from unsprayed plots for this study. Regions corresponded to administrative spatial entities ($n=22$) whose mean surface was 25 000 km². French regions roughly match the agro-pedo-climatic heterogeneity of the national territory. The plots were sampled at two time points: at “S1” in April-May, at about the Z32 wheat stage ($n=1320$, from 2006 to 2011), and at “S2” in May-June, at about the Z39-Z55 wheat stage ($n=2407$, from 2004 to 2017).

In this study, we focused on the phase of resistance selection. We therefore extracted from the Performance dataset the time periods during which resistance frequencies were increasing, *i.e.* with estimated positive national growth rates (see Garnault *et al.*, 2019). These periods were 2004 to 2012 ($n=852$, 16 regions) for the StrR phenotype, 2005 to 2011 ($n=754$, 16 regions) for the TriMR phenotype group, and 2010 to 2017 ($n=360$, 14 regions) for the TriHR phenotype group. We also included data from 2006 to 2017 for the TriR6 and TriR7-TriR8 phenotypes ($n=910$ and $n=851$, respectively), for analysis of the spatial heterogeneity of their frequencies. The data are summarised in Table 1.

2.1.2 Regional fungicide use

Every year, Bayer Crop Science uses field surveys to estimate the area of wheat sprayed with fungicides containing anti-STB active ingredients (AIs) in each region of France. These data do not include information about the dose used in the application. They only provide information about the areas sprayed with the AIs concerned and the number of sprayings

(areas result from the multiplication of these two values). These areas are expressed in deployed hectares.

We retained the most widely used AIs for each MoA (AIs accounting cumulatively for more than 95% of the use of the MoA), to prevent background noise from AIs with a limited impact on STB control. The model therefore included pyraclostrobin (26%), azoxystrobin (19%), trifloxystrobin (15%), kresoxim-methyl (15%), fluoxastrobin (12%) and picoxystrobin (12%) for Qols; and epoxiconazole (30%), prochloraz (17%), tebuconazole (13%), cyproconazole (11%), prothioconazole (10%), propiconazole (7%), metconazole (7%), fluquinconazole (2%) and hexaconazole (1%) for DMIs.

We took the regional heterogeneity in wheat production between regions (and, hence, in the area sprayed with fungicides) into account, by dividing the number of deployed hectares by the regional area under conventionally farmed wheat. The latter was calculated by subtracting the area under organic wheat (see section 2.1.4) from the total area under wheat (from the AGRESTE online data: agreste.agriculture.gouv.fr) for each year and region. This new variable unit was named $ha_{\frac{D}{C}}$ (D for deployed and C for cropped hectares), and was proportional to the mean number of times each AI was used over a cropping season in a given region. The national trend and the regional heterogeneity of fungicide use expressed in $ha_{\frac{D}{C}}$ are shown for DMIs and Qols in Fig. 1. Henceforth, this variable is denoted F_{itf} , with f corresponding to the AI, t to the year and i to the region.

2.1.3 Yield losses induced by STB

ARVALIS-Institut du Végétal assessed annual yield loss by conducting paired plot experiments throughout France with a mean of 80 trials annually (21 observations per region and per year distributed among 3 to 4 trials, 90% credible interval is 9 to 48), from 2004 to 2017, in 20 French regions (Arvalis, 2019). In each trial, we considered modalities cropped with STB-

susceptible wheat cultivars, in both unsprayed plots and sprayed plots (providing maximum protection against diseases). These cultivars were moderately to highly resistant to rusts in order to attribute yield losses mainly to STB. Yield losses due to STB were calculated by subtracting the yield in the unsprayed plot from that in the sprayed plot. Based on these data, we predicted regional yield losses for each year with a linear model (fixed effects: year, region; random effects: wheat cultivar, trial). The national trend and the regional heterogeneity of yield losses, expressed in decitons per hectare, are shown in Fig. 1. This variable is denoted P_{it} hereafter, with t corresponding to the year and i to the region.

2.1.4 Proportion of the total area under wheat farmed organically

The area under organically farmed wheat crops was recorded by AgenceBIO (the French national platform for the promotion and development of organic farming) and ARVALIS-Institut du Végétal. We collected regional data from 2007 onwards, and national data from 2004 onwards. The regional areas under organic wheat between 2004 and 2006 were assessed from the observed mean proportions of the regional area under organic wheat in subsequent years and from national data for 2004 to 2006. We used the regional proportion of wheat under organic farming in our models. This proportion was calculated by dividing the regional area under organic wheat by the total area under wheat in the same region, based on AGRESTE online data. The national trend and the regional heterogeneity of the area under organic wheat, expressed in hectares, are shown in Fig. 1. This variable is denoted R_{it} hereafter, with t corresponding to the year and i to the region.

2.2 Statistical modelling

We modelled the change in frequency for each resistance phenotype in French populations. The model took into account (i) the different phases of resistance dynamics (see below), (ii) the effects of previously described potential regional determinants and finally (iii) variability due to the sampling design (sampling date and wheat cultivar).

Phases in resistance dynamics. We distinguished three phases in resistance dynamics: “no resistance” (frequency equal to 0), “resistance selection” and “generalized resistance” (frequency equal to 100). During the “resistance selection” phase, observations were modelled with binomial random variables with a sample size of 100 (mean number of observed spores used to determine frequencies). The probabilities that a population was in the “no resistance”, “generalized resistance” or “resistance selection” phase depended on the year t . These probabilities were referred as π_{0t} , π_{100t} and $(1 - \pi_{0t} - \pi_{100t})$, respectively. Thus, Y_{itjkn} , the n^{th} frequency observed in region i , in year t , on cultivar j and at sampling date k followed a zero-and-one inflated binomial distribution (Eqn 1).

Eqn 1

$$Y_{itjkn} \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{with probability } \pi_{0t} \\ \sim \mathcal{B}(100, p_{itjkn}) & \text{with probability } 1 - \pi_{0t} - \pi_{100t} \\ = 100 & \text{with probability } \pi_{100t} \end{cases}$$

Resistance evolution. Using a logit transformation (Eqn 2), the proportion p_{itjkn} of resistant phenotypes during resistance evolution was modelled by the regional dynamics D_{it} and the variability due to sampling design ζ_{itjkn} .

Eqn 2

$$\text{logit}(p_{itjkn}) = \ln\left(\frac{p_{itjkn}}{1 - p_{itjkn}}\right) = D_{it} + \zeta_{itjkn}$$

Regional dynamics. The change in resistance frequencies depended on regional-scale variables: fungicide use, yield losses and areas under organic farming. The regional dynamics $D_{i(t+1)}$ in region i at year $t+1$ was obtained by adding the regional dynamics of the previous year D_{it} to the additive effects of fungicide use ϕ_{it} , yield loss ρP_{it} and wheat area under organic farming κR_{it} in year t (Eqn 3). D_{i1} is related to the logit of the initial resistance frequency in

region i . We kept values for the fungicide uses and areas under organic wheat raw (*i.e.* neither centered nor reduced), while we centered values for the yield losses. Thus, the parameter β corresponds to a continuous shift in resistance frequency in absence of fungicide and refuges with an average population size. This parameter will be interpreted as an apparent fitness penalty if estimated negative, and as an apparent fitness advantage otherwise.

Eqn 3

$$D_{i(t+1)} = D_{it} + \beta + \phi_{it} + \rho P_{it} + \kappa R_{it} \quad \text{with } t \geq 1$$

We derived two models from Eqn 3: one in which the use of fungicides is specified for each AI within MoAs, and another in which only the global use of each MoA (*i.e.* sum of AIs uses) is considered.

In the first model, \mathbf{M}_{AI} , the term ϕ_{it} from Eqn 3 was defined as in Eqn 4:

Eqn 4

$$\phi_{it} = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} v_f F_{itf}$$

F_{itf} corresponds to the fungicide use for a specific AI f , in region i and year t (see section 2.1.2).

The set \mathcal{F} included all AIs in a specific class associated with considered resistance: Qols for the StrR phenotype, DMIs for the TriR phenotypes. We assumed that fungicide use positively selected resistant phenotypes over susceptible or less sensitive phenotypes. Thus, the parameters associated with fungicide use were, by definition, positive (*i.e.* $v_f \geq 0$), except for the TriR6 and TriR7-TriR8 phenotypes, which were not the most DMI-resistant phenotypes over their study period.

In the second model, \mathbf{M}_{MoA} , we considered: $F_{it.} = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} F_{itf}$, the regional use of a given MoA. The term ϕ_{it} from Eqn 3 was simplified and written: $\phi_{it} = \nu * F_{it.}$ This model was run only for the StrR, TriMR and TriHR phenotypes, with, as above, the constraint $\nu \geq 0$.

Observation variability. The variability ζ_{itkln} of observations in Eqn 2 was modelled with a mixed model (Equation 5).

Equation 5

$$\zeta_{itjkn} = \gamma_j + \delta_k + \varepsilon_{itjkn}$$

The parameter γ_j corresponds to the random effect of the 124 wheat cultivars (γ_j drawn from a centered Gaussian distribution with standard deviation σ_γ). The parameter δ_k is the fixed effect of sampling date k (i.e. “S1” or “S2”, see section 2.1.1, with contrast $\delta_{S2} = 0$). Finally, ε_{itjkn} is the overdispersion, modelled as a random individual effect with a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of σ .

2.3 Parameter expression

The explanatory variables had different units (e.g. proportion of wheat under organic farming vs. fungicide use). Moreover, the interpretation of the parameters of the zero-one-inflated logistic regression was not straightforward. We simplified the interpretation, by defining the *expected frequency difference* (EFD) for each variable and phenotype. The EFD described the frequency shift due to the mean value of this variable over a population with the mean resistance frequency (i.e. the difference between p^e , the *expected frequency*, and \bar{p} , the *mean frequency* of the resistance phenotype in the data). The expected frequency was computed with:

- for ν_f , the effect of fungicide use: $\text{logit}(p_{\nu_f}^e) = \text{logit}(\bar{p}) + \hat{\nu}_f \bar{F}_f$, where \bar{F}_f is the mean annual use of fungicide f over all regions, and $\hat{\nu}_f$ is the estimate of ν_f ;

- for β , the effect of constant growth: $\text{logit}(p_{\beta}^e) = \text{logit}(\bar{p}) + \hat{\beta}$;
- for ρ , the effect of yield losses due to STB: $\text{logit}(p_{\rho}^e) = \text{logit}(\bar{p}) + \hat{\rho}\bar{P}$;
- for κ , the effect of the area of wheat under organic farming: $\text{logit}(p_{\kappa}^e) = \text{logit}(\bar{p}) + \hat{\kappa}\bar{R}$;
- for δ , the effect of sampling date: $\text{logit}(p_{\delta}^e) = \text{logit}(\bar{p}) + \hat{\delta}_{T0}$;
- for σ_{γ} , the standard deviation from the cultivar effect : $\text{logit}(p_{\sigma_{\gamma}}^e) = \text{logit}(\bar{p}) \pm \hat{\sigma}_{\gamma}$.

The *expected frequency difference* was then calculated as $EFD = (p^e - \bar{p}) * 100$.

2.4 Bayesian analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with *R* software (R Development Core Team, 2008), in a Bayesian framework, with the *rjags* package (Plummer, 2013).

Prior and posterior densities. Non-informative prior distributions were used (Supporting Information, Eqn S1). Posterior distributions were estimated by Monte Carlo-Markov chain (MCMC) methods. Five MCMC chains were run, over 1 000 000 iterations, with a burn-in of 100 000 and a thinning every 1 000 for the variable selection phase (see the following section), followed by 500 000 iterations with a burn-in of 50 000 and a thinning every 500 for the final parameter estimation. Convergence was assessed with the Gelman and Rubin \hat{R} statistic (Gelman *et al.*, 2004). Credible intervals of the highest posterior density were calculated from posterior densities with the *HDI* package (Dezeure *et al.*, 2015). Parameter estimates were considered significant at the 5% level (or the 2.5% or 0.1% level), if their 95% credible interval (97.5% and 99.9%, respectively) did not contain the 0 value.

Variable selection. We used a selection procedure to identify the relevant variables in each model for each resistance phenotype. We used a method based on indicator variables (Kuo & Mallick, 1998), in which each predictor was multiplied by a dummy variable with a prior distribution corresponding to a Bernoulli distribution with parameter $p = 0.5$. A predictor was retained in the model if the posterior expectation of its indicator variable was greater than 0.75

(thus, greater than its prior expectation of 0.5).

Predictive check. We assessed the fit of the model to the data by posterior predictive checks (Gelman *et al.*, 2004). Replicated data (y_{itjkn}^{rep}) generated during the MCMC algorithm from the model posterior densities were compared to observed data (y_{itjkn}). The mean value of $PP_{itjkn}^{check} = P(y_{itjkn} - y_{itjkn}^{rep} < 0 | Y)$, where Y is the vector of observations, was calculated and denoted PP_{check} . This value indicated the goodness of fit of the model, with a good fit corresponding at $PP_{check} = 0.5$, *i.e.* with equal probabilities of over- and under-estimation

Variable weight. We assessed the influence of each explanatory variable θ by calculating its weight (W_{θ}). The weight W_{θ} was defined as the ratio of $RSS_{full-\theta}$ to RSS_{full} , where RSS_{full} and $RSS_{full-\theta}$ are the residual sums of squares of the full model (*i.e.* including all the explanatory variables selected by the variable selection procedure) and of this same model but without the explanatory variable θ , respectively. RSS should be minimal for the full model, so removing an explanatory variable should increase RSS : the more information θ contributes, the greater the increase in RSS and the higher the value of W_{θ} . Conversely, if the information provided by θ is negligible, RSS is unaffected and W_{θ} is minimal (*i.e.* close to 1). In the result tables, we have calculated the relative weights by dividing individual variable weights by the sum of the weights of all variables.

Model comparison. For comparison of the M_{AI} and M_{MoA} models, we calculated the deviance information criterion, DIC (Plummer, 2013), and the coefficient of determination, R^2 .

Predicted data. We computed predictions of the resistance frequencies for each phenotype for a given region I , and a given year T (Equation 6).

Equation 6

$$\hat{Y} = [1 - (\hat{\pi}_{0T} + \hat{\pi}_{100T})] * \text{logit}^{-1}[\hat{D}_{I1} + \hat{\beta}(T - 1) + \sum_{t=1}^{T-1}(\hat{\rho}P_{It} + \hat{\kappa}R_{It} + \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \hat{v}_f F_{Itf})] + \hat{\pi}_{100T}$$

where parameter-hat are parameter estimates (*i.e.* their posterior mean). We therefore built maps of resistance status, for known initial frequencies, use of fungicides, yield losses and areas under organic wheat, from year 1 to year $T-1$ in region I . \hat{Y} are then multiplied by 100 to recover the initial frequency scale. These predicted data included the effect of inflation parameters $\hat{\pi}_{0T}$ and $\hat{\pi}_{100T}$.

3 RESULTS

3.1 Overview of model fits

The convergence of the MCMC chain was satisfactory for all models (*i.e.* the Gelman and Rubin indicator \hat{R} was below 1.1 for all parameters, in all models) and model fit was good (PP_{check} always between 0.498 and 0.511).

After the selection procedure, no effect was retained for the following models: M_{AI} for the TriHR resistance phenotype, and M_{MoA} for the TriMR and the TriHR groups of resistance phenotypes. Thus, the effects of fungicide use, yield losses, and areas of wheat under organic farming were not significant in these models. The only remaining parameter was the growth constant (already studied in Garnault *et al.*, 2019). As all estimates of the parameters of interest were equal to 0, we do not discuss the results of these models, and they do not appear in the result tables.

Finally, with Spearman's method, a few significant correlations were found between some AI uses (F_{itf}) in model inputs, but no significant correlation between estimates (\hat{v}_f) was found in model outputs (Supporting Information, Fig. S1).

3.2 Ranking of variable weight

Regional fungicide use appeared to be the major factor driving resistance evolution. In the M_{AI} models, which explicitly considered each AI, regional fungicide uses systematically had the highest relative weight. For the StrR and TriMR phenotypes, it accounted for 87.4% and 72.6%, respectively. It accounted for 53.1% and 43.3% for the TriR6 and TriR7-TriR8 phenotypes, respectively (Table 2). For the M_{MoA} models, fungicide use, considered as the sum of AI uses within the same MoA, was also the major determinant of the StrR phenotype (associated with qualitative resistance to Qols), accounting for 79.4% of the sum of variable weights (Table 3). For the TriMR and TriHR groups of phenotypes, no explanatory variables were selected for the M_{MoA} models.

The growth constant was also a major parameter, albeit to a lesser extent. In M_{AI} models, the weight of the growth constant was lower than that of regional fungicide use by a factor of 5.7 times for TriMR phenotypes, 1.32 for the TriR6 phenotype, and 1.08 for the TriR7-TriR8 phenotypes (Table 2). For the StrR phenotype, the growth constant ranked third, with a weight lower than that of fungicide use by a factor of almost 20, for both models (Tables 2 and 3).

The proportion of the area under wheat farmed organically had a high relative weight for the StrR and TriMR phenotypes, but was not selected for the TriR6 and TriR7-TriR8 phenotypes. For the StrR phenotype, its effect was ranked second on the basis of relative weight, at 14.6% and 6.8% in the M_{MoA} and the M_{AI} models, respectively (Tables 2 and 3). For TriMR phenotypes, the relative weight of the wheat area under organic farming was about 13.3%, a value very similar to that for the growth constant (Table 2). Yield loss was systematically excluded during the selection procedure, for all models and all phenotypes.

Sampling data and wheat cultivar, variables reflecting local variability in trials, had only a low relative weight in models, with values always below 5%, except for the wheat cultivar variable for the TriR7-TriR8 phenotype, for which the value was 13.4% (Table 2).

3.3 Effect of variables at the regional scale

3.3.1 Regional fungicide use

For the StrR phenotype, in the M_{MoA} model, the effect of the overall use of QoI fungicides was highly significant ($\nu = 1.07$, $P < 0.001$) and the expected frequency difference (EFD) was estimated at 6.64%. Thus, an average use of QoIs would have led to an increase of 6.64 frequency point on an average population that would already be composed by 76% of StrR phenotype (Table 3).

In the M_{AI} model, two fungicides from the six QoI AIs were selected: kresoxim-methyl and pyraclostrobin. Their EFDs were similar: 4.26% ($\nu = 0.7$, $P < 0.001$) and 3.29% ($\nu = 0.5$, $P < 0.025$), respectively (Table 2). The M_{MoA} and M_{AI} models also had similar adequacies to data, according to their DIC (3480.2 and 3468.8 respectively) and R^2 values (0.82 and 0.81, respectively).

For TriMR phenotypes, the effect of DMI use was estimated only in the M_{AI} model, as no explanatory variable was selected in the M_{MoA} model. One AI of the nine DMI fungicides was selected: epoxiconazole ($\nu = 0.56$, $P < 0.025$) with an estimated positive EFD of 4.82% (Table 2).

As the TriR6 and TriR7-TriR8 phenotypes were not the most resistant to DMI over the study period, the effect of fungicide use was not constrained to be null or positive, and was estimated only in the M_{AI} model. For the TriR6 phenotype, three AIs from the nine DMI fungicides were selected: prochloraz, with a positive EFD of 4.73% ($\nu = 0.85$, $P < 0.001$), propiconazole with a negative EFD of -2.48% ($\nu = -0.43$, $P < 0.025$) and tebuconazole with a negative EFD of -8.38% ($\nu = -1.04$, $P < 0.001$). Thus, prochloraz use increased the frequency of TriR6, whereas tebuconazole counterselected TriR6 strains. For the TriR7-TriR8 phenotype, three AIs from the nine DMI fungicides were selected: cyproconazole and tebuconazole, with positive EFDs of 2.6% ($\nu = 0.58$, $P < 0.025$) at 2.38% ($\nu = 0.44$, $P < 0.05$), respectively, and prochloraz, with

a negative EFD of -3.99% ($\nu = -1.17$, $P < 0.001$). Prochloraz and tebuconazole clearly had opposite selection effects on TriR6 and TriR7-TriR8 phenotypes.

3.3.2 Growth constant

The growth constant EFD quantified the change in resistance in the absence of regional fungicide use and unsprayed refuges, for a mean potential yield loss. It therefore represented the relative apparent fitness (referred to hereafter simply as fitness) of the resistant phenotype considered (*i.e.* how much faster the resistant phenotype would grow compared to the rest of the population (Hartl & Clark, 1997) in a year without fungicide treatment). A negative growth constant indicates a fitness cost, whereas a positive growth constant indicates a fitness gain. For the StrR phenotype, the growth constant provided an indication of the fitness of the resistant strains relative to the sensitive strains. The estimated fitness costs for this phenotype were similar in the M_{AI} (-3.74%; $\beta = -0.2$, $P < 0.05$; Table 2) and M_{MoA} (-3.23%; $\beta = -0.17$, not significant; Table 3) models. DMIs selected a large diversity of phenotypes (TriLR, TriMR and TriHR groups), and no sensitive strains were detected during the study period. For TriMR phenotypes, the model was estimated with data from 2007 to 2011, when the frequency of the TriHR phenotype was still negligible (Fig. 1). Thus, the growth constant for TriMR phenotypes mostly compared their fitness with that of TriLR phenotypes. It was estimated at -3.94%, of borderline significance ($\beta = -0.2$, $P < 0.1$), and was associated with a relative weight of 12.7% (Table 2). The TriMR group included the TriR6 and TriR7-TriR8 phenotypes. For the TriR6 and TriR7-TriR8 strains, the model was estimated with data from 2006 to 2017. However, the TriHR phenotype has been non-negligible since 2014 (Figure 1). Thus, the growth constant for TriR6 strains compared their fitness with that of all the other phenotypes in the population: TriLR, TriR7-TriR8 and TriHR. The TriR6 growth constant was estimated at 3.58% ($\beta = 0.15$, $P < 0.025$; Table 2). This result may reflect a balance between a fitness cost of TriR6 relative to TriLR and TriR7-TriR8 strains, and a fitness gain relative to the TriHR phenotype. This rationale also applies to the apparent fitness gain of TriR7-TriR8, estimated at +1.63% ($\beta = 0.09$, $P < 0.1$; Table 2).

3.3.3 Wheat area under organic farming

The proportion of the area under wheat management by organic farming methods increased resistance frequency, with an EFD estimated at 3.95% and 3.26% in the M_{MoA} and M_{AI} models, respectively, for the StrR phenotype ($\kappa = 0.78$ and 0.64 , $P < 0.001$; Tables 2 and 3), and at 2.52% for the TriMR phenotype in the M_{AI} model ($\kappa = 0.37$, $P < 0.001$; Table 2). This variable was not selected for the TriR6 and TriR7-TriR8 phenotypes.

3.3.4 Yield losses

The selection procedure did not retain the yield loss variable in any of the models.

3.4 Prediction maps

We mapped the predicted resistance frequencies for each year and for all phenotypes. Our maps predicting StrR phenotype dynamics were mostly based on regional QoI fungicide use and, to a lesser extent, the area under organic wheat, as explanatory variables (Fig. 2). Predictions mimicked the spatial propagation from the north to the south of France observed between 2004 and 2011 (as described by Garnault *et al.*, 2019). Based on regional DMI use, our model accurately predicted (Fig. 3) the observed spatial partitioning of TriR6 strains, which were found mostly in the north east of France, and TriR7-TriR8 phenotypes, which were mostly localised in the south west (as described by Garnault *et al.*, 2019).

4 DISCUSSION

In this study, we developed a model for identifying the determinants of fungicide resistance evolution at the regional scale. The candidate explanatory variables were regional fungicide use, pathogen population size (approximated by potential yield losses) and the fraction of refuges (approximated by the fraction of fields under organic wheat in a region). We analysed resistance frequencies in *Z. tritici* populations on winter wheat. Frequencies were monitored by the Performance trial network over the national territory of French (2004–2017; ~70

locations each year). We studied resistance against fungicides with two modes of action: QoIs, associated with qualitative resistance (StrR phenotype), and DMIs, associated with quantitative resistance (a continuum of multiple resistance phenotypes forming three main groups: TriLR, TriMR and TriHR, with low, medium and high levels of resistance to DMIs, respectively). The TriMR phenotypes encompassed two phenotypes: TriR6 and TriR7-TriR8.

The regional use of fungicides is the main driver of resistance evolution at the plot scale

We demonstrated that regional fungicide use was a major determinant of the evolution of resistance. Fungicide use data at regional level, without taking into account the use of particular fungicides in particular fields, was sufficiently informative to explain resistance dynamics. Fungicide selection is, therefore, a large-scale process, and an understanding of the evolution of fungicide resistance requires consideration of the regional use of these compounds.

For qualitative resistance (e.g. StrR phenotype), the global use of a MoA (*i.e.* summed uses of the AIs of this MoA), can be considered as a good predictor of resistance evolution and distinguishing the effects of individual AIs within the MoA did not improve the fit of the model. By contrast, for quantitative resistance, it appeared to be necessary to consider each AI within the MoA separately, as they may select for different phenotypes (*e.g.* antagonist effects of prochloraz, tebuconazole on TriR phenotypes).

The AIs selected by the model were consistent with the history of fungicide use and/or with the patterns of cross-resistance described for some phenotypes. For instance, kresoxim-methyl was one of the first QoIs authorised in France (commercialised in 1997, www.ephy.anses.fr) and was selected to explain the evolution of StrR strains, whereas more recent QoIs were not. The fungicides most used over the study period (*i.e.* epoxiconazole for DMIs, pyraclostrobin and kresoxim-methyl for QoIs; Supporting Information, Fig. S2-S3), were also selected in models. The large-scale effect of AIs was also linked to the cross-resistance pattern of the

phenotypes. The estimated selection effect of epoxiconazole was consistent with resistance factors (RFs) of TriMR phenotypes to epoxiconazole, being higher than those of TriLR phenotypes which were the second most frequent resistance phenotype during this period (RFs described in Supporting Information, Table S1 from Leroux & Walker, 2011). The selection for TriR6 and counterselection for TriR7-TriR8 strains induced by the prochloraz were consistent with RFs (6.7 and <1.5, respectively), as well as the effect of counterselection for TriR6 and selection for TriR7-TriR8 strains by tebuconazole (RF=74 and 91, respectively for TriR6 and TriR8 strains, TriR7 strains being unfrequent (Huf *et al.*, 2018)). Propiconazole and cyproconazole were often used together as a mixture, so were strongly correlated (Supporting Information, Fig. S1). The effects of counterselection for TriR6 strains by propiconazole and of selection for TriR7-TriR8 by cyproconazole may indicate that their mixture globally promoted the selection of TriR7-TriR8 strains over TriR6 strains. Again, this is consistent with RFs to propiconazole (35 and 54, respectively for TriR6 and TriR8 strains) and to cyproconazole (11 and 13, respectively). These findings also confirm that the laboratory characterisation of strains can be good predictor of resistance evolution in the field, if properly used, as reported by Blake *et al.* (2018).

Our findings highlight the importance of defining precise resistance profile phenotypes. Indeed, the model fitted slightly better the frequencies on more homogeneous phenotypes ($R^2 = 0.48$ for TriMR vs. 0.56 for TriR6 and TriR7-TriR8 phenotypes). In addition, no significant effect of the different DMIs was found for the TriHR phenotype group. Indeed, TriHR strains encompass multiple heterogeneous phenotypes, resulting from combinations of target alteration, target overexpression and enhanced efflux, as resistance mechanisms (Leroux & Walker, 2011; Huf *et al.*, 2018). The tremendous diversity and redundancy of phenotypes observed in the field, especially for TriHR strains, making it possible to classify strains only approximately. There is therefore a need to develop molecular tools for quantifying genotypes rather than phenotypes. Multi-trait high-throughput genotyping provides a more accurate resistance frequency, and should ultimately lead to improvements in our ability to predict resistance evolution.

The proportion of the wheat area under organic farming may be still too limited to mitigate the evolution of resistance via a refuge effect

Wheat areas managed under organic farming systems are not treated with synthetic fungicides. They represent a lower bound of the surfaces that are not sprayed for a given MoA, as some conventional field may also be not sprayed with the considered MoA (which is more true for Qols and SDHIs, than for DMIs as they are quasi-systematic fungicides in conventional wheat farming). Organic areas could act as refuges for “wild” susceptible or less resistant individuals, which may reproduce, delaying the evolution of resistance by a dilution effect in mobile species (Gould, 2000). But refuges may also provide a heterogeneous environment, promoting sink-source dynamics: selection-free wheat areas acting as a source of susceptible strains that migrate towards areas farmed conventionally. These opposite effects have been described in studies of the resistance to transgenic crops expressing *Bacillus thuringiensis* (*Bt*) toxins, where non-*Bt* crops may act as refuges diluting the selection (Huang *et al.*, 2011), or promoting the evolution of resistance (Caprio, 2001).

The refuge effect for *Z. tritici* is, theoretically, weak due to the haploid nature of this organism (Shaw, 2009), but this has never been studied experimentally. We did not validate the beneficial effect of refuges, approximated by the area under wheat farmed organically. On the contrary, we estimated that the selection of StrR and TriMR phenotypes would increase with wheat areas under organic farming. However, the weight of this explanatory variable remained much lower than that for regional fungicide use. This effect was not found significant for the other phenotypes.

According to Huang *et al.* (2011), three conditions must be satisfied for refuge strategies to be successful: selection at “high dose”, a very low initial frequency of resistance, and sufficient refuge areas located nearby. In our study, initial frequencies of resistance (*i.e.* at the very beginning of our study) were already quite high (up to 85% in some regions, Fig. 1). In addition,

the area under organic farming may still be too small within the landscape (generally less than 1% until 2010). Nevertheless, since the 2010s, the proportion of wheat under organic farming has steadily increased (Fig. 1). The effect of the area under organic wheat may become detectable in those areas, and should be investigated further, particularly for emerging resistance phenotypes.

The growth constant reveals a fitness penalty of resistant phenotypes

The growth constant represents the evolution of resistant phenotypes in the absence of fungicide treatment. It represents the apparent fitness of the phenotype relative to that of the susceptible phenotype (or other resistant phenotypes, in the case of quantitative resistance). The term “apparent” is used because this quantification takes place in current crop conditions. The fitness cost of resistance to drugs is known to be a key parameter driving effective anti-resistance strategies (Andersson & Hughes, 2010; Melnyk *et al.*, 2015; Mikaberidze & McDonald, 2015). If there is no fitness cost, regardless of the strategy used, it will always result in the irreversible selection of resistance. However, it remains difficult to infer the global fitness cost of a mutation throughout the entire life cycle of a pathogen (Hollomon, 2015).

We inferred an apparent relative fitness penalty for StrR phenotypes, resulting in an annual decrease of 3.74%. This was consistent with the fitness cost described by Hagerty & Mundt (2016) using virulence comparison tests. The impact of cyp51 alterations, leading to TriR phenotypes, on fitness is often evoked to explain the evolution of azole resistance in *Z. tritici* populations (Cools *et al.*, 2013; Blake *et al.*, 2018) but it has not been quantified as yet. Our model is consistent with these assumptions as it also inferred an apparent fitness penalty for the TriMR group (-3.94% per year). Decreases in the use of Qol and DMI fungicides, and/or the implementation of strategies favouring the expression of a resistance cost, may help to slow the evolution of resistance. From our estimates, we can extrapolate a theoretical equilibrium between resistance cost and selection, which could have led to a null growth of the StrR and TriMR phenotypes by reducing by 50% the use of Qols and 18% for DMIs.

606

607 For the TriR6 and TriR7-TriR8 phenotypes, the growth constant is an integrative value, as
608 these phenotypes were studied alongside more susceptible phenotypes (TriLR before 2010)
609 and more resistant phenotypes (TriHR after 2010). The positive growth constants we estimated
610 for these two phenotypes may indicate a fitness benefit of TriMR relative to TriLR phenotypes
611 and/or fitness cost of TriHR (*i.e.* also fitness benefit of TriMR relative to TriHR phenotypes).
612 Our model could be extended to determine the relative fitnesses of each phenotype in cases
613 of quantitative resistance. The global informative indicator provided by our model could be
614 used to guide the design of optimal large-scale fungicide deployment strategies.

615

616 ***The yield losses caused by STB do not affect resistance evolution***

617 Population size, a major parameter in population adaptation (Good *et al.*, 2012), is generally
618 positively correlated with resistance evolution (Weber & Diggins, 1990; Anderson, 2005; zur
619 Wiesch *et al.*, 2011). Indeed, a large population size increases the number of mutants
620 generated and decreases genetic drift (Linde *et al.*, 2002). We inferred this effect using
621 potential yield losses caused by STB as a proxy, but no significant effect was detected for any
622 resistance phenotype. Population size may not be limiting for resistance evolution in *Z. tritici*,
623 since even in low abundance year the number of individuals remains colossal (Zhan *et al.*,
624 2001; Mikaberidze *et al.*, 2017), particularly when considering large scales. Population size
625 may not be described accurately enough as our proxy variable also depends on the timing of
626 infection (Shaw & Royle, 1993) and on stubble management (McDonald & Mundt, 2016).

627

628 ***Predicting resistance evolution over years***

629 Prediction maps can be computed from our model, using only the initial regional frequencies
630 of the resistant phenotypes, the history of fungicide use (between the initial year and the year
631 to be predicted) and the history of area under organic farming. Predictions for the StrR
632 phenotype from 2004 to 2011 highlighted the same colonisation front structure from the North
633 to the South of France (Fig. 2) as reported by Garnault *et al.* (2019) albeit the regions are

assumed to be fully independent. Besides, the way StrR propagated from North to South, may indicates that integrating regional interdependencies in the model would improve its performance. However, this would require a much more complex model and more data to estimate these flux. Predictions for the TriR6 and TriR7-TriR8 phenotypes also yielded stable spatial distributions between North-East and South-West France (Fig. 3), as previously observed in Garnault *et al.* (2019).

Further analysis will be required to assess the prediction quality of the model. Nevertheless, this finding supports the global validity of our model and paves the way for an original approach to predicting resistance evolution in a heterogeneous landscape.

Conclusion

We developed a model of resistance dynamics, which identified regional fungicide use as the major determinant of fungicide resistance evolution, and the area under organic farming as a much weaker explanatory variable. We estimated the apparent relative fitness of resistant phenotypes, a key parameter for the development of sustainable resistance management strategies. We also identified active ingredients which use drove resistance evolution.

In conclusion, we showed here that the determination of resistance evolution occurs at a large scale and demonstrating that concerted collective action is required, to reinforce individual initiatives to tackle resistances effectively.

5 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded by the INRAE SMaCH metaprogramme (FONDU project) and by Bayer Crop Science (PhD scholarship of M. Garnault; CIFRE no. 2016-0695). We warmly thank all partners of the Performance network for providing samples since 2004, and Arvalis-Institut du Végétal for supervising the network. We are grateful to the INRAE MIGALE bioinformatics facility (MIGALE, INRAE, 2020. Migale bioinformatics Facility, doi: 10.15454/1.5572390655343293E12) for providing computing resources. We thank Eva Lacarce (AgenceBio) and Phillipe Viaux (Arvalis-Institut du Végétal) for providing information

about the historical changes in the area under organic wheat, and Clarisse Payet (Bayer Crop Science) for providing data on fungicide use in France and for helpful discussions.

6 AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

GC was responsible for Performance network administration and supervision and for establishing the dataset. PL, ASW and CD carried out laboratory manipulations on the network samples to determine resistance frequencies in *Z. tritici* populations. FC and OD were involved in the development of the methodology and provided theoretical support for statistical aspects for data analyses. MG handled data, coded the model, performed statistical analyses and generated most of the results (figures, maps and tables) during his PhD work. The article was written by MG, FC, ASW and OD.

7 REFERENCES

- Anderson JB. 2005.** Evolution of antifungal-drug resistance: mechanisms and pathogen fitness. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **3**: 547–556.
- Andersson DI. 2003.** Persistence of antibiotic resistant bacteria. *Current opinion in microbiology* **6**: 452–456.
- Andersson DI, Hughes D. 2010.** Antibiotic resistance and its cost: is it possible to reverse resistance? *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **8**: 260–271.
- Arvalis. 2019.** *Choisir & Décider, Synthèse Nationale : Céréales à paille, Interventions de printemps.*
- Berendonk TU, Manaia CM, Merlin C, Fatta-Kassinos D, Cytryn E, Walsh F, Bürgmann H, Sørum H, Norström M, Pons M-N, et al. 2015.** Tackling antibiotic resistance: the environmental framework. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* **13**: 310.
- Blake JJ, Gosling P, Fraaije BA, Burnett FJ, Knight SM, Kildea S, Paveley ND. 2018.** Changes in field dose--response curves for demethylation inhibitor (DMI) and quinone outside inhibitor (QoI) fungicides against *Zymoseptoria tritici*, related to laboratory sensitivity phenotyping and genotyping assays. *Pest management science* **74**: 302–313.

690 **van den Bosch F, Oliver R, van den Berg F, Paveley N. 2014.** Governing principles can
691 guide fungicide-resistance management tactics. *Annual Review of Phytopathology* **52**: 175–
692 195.

693 **Caprio MA. 2001.** Source-sink dynamics between transgenic and non-transgenic habitats and
694 their role in the evolution of resistance. *Journal of economic entomology* **94**: 698–705.

695 **Cools HJ, Hawkins NJ, Fraaije BA. 2013.** Constraints on the evolution of azole resistance in
696 plant pathogenic fungi. *Plant Pathology* **62**: 36–42.

697 **Croll D, McDonald BA. 2012.** The accessory genome as a cradle for adaptive evolution in
698 pathogens. *PLoS pathogens* **8**.

699 **Dezeure R, Bühlmann P, Meier L, Meinshausen N. 2015.** High-Dimensional Inference:
700 Confidence Intervals, p-values and {R}-Software {hdi}. *Statistical Science* **30**: 533–558.

701 **Dooley H, Shaw MW, Spink J, Kildea S. 2016a.** Effect of azole fungicide mixtures,
702 alternations and dose on azole sensitivity in the wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*. *Plant*
703 *Pathology* **65**: 124–136.

704 **Dooley H, Shaw MW, Spink J, Kildea S. 2016b.** The effect of succinate dehydrogenase
705 inhibitor/azole mixtures on selection of *Zymoseptoria tritici* isolates with reduced sensitivity.
706 *Pest management science* **72**: 1150–1159.

707 **Fones H, Gurr S. 2015.** The impact of *Septoria tritici* Blotch disease on wheat: an EU
708 perspective. *Fungal Genetics and Biology* **79**: 3–7.

709 **Garnault M, Duplaix C, Leroux P, Couleaud G, Carpentier F, David O, Walker A-S. 2019.**
710 Spatiotemporal dynamics of fungicide resistance in the wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* in
711 France. *Pest management science* **75**: 1794–1807.

712 **Gelman A, Carlin JB, Stern HS, Rubin DB. 2004.** Bayesian data analysis 2nd edn Chapman
713 & Hall. *CRC, Boca Raton FL*.

714 **Georghiou GP, Mellon RB. 1983.** Pesticide resistance in time and space. In: Pest resistance
715 to pesticides. Springer, 1–46.

716 **Good BH, Rouzine IM, Balick DJ, Hallatschek O, Desai MM. 2012.** Distribution of fixed
717 beneficial mutations and the rate of adaptation in asexual populations. *Proceedings of the*

718 *National Academy of Sciences* **109**: 4950–4955.

719 **Gould F. 2000.** Testing *Bt* refuge strategies in the field. *Nature Biotechnology* **18**: 266.

720 **Gould F, Brown ZS, Kuzma J. 2018.** Wicked evolution: Can we address the sociobiological
721 dilemma of pesticide resistance? *Science* **360**: 728–732.

722 **Gressel J. 2011.** Low pesticide rates may hasten the evolution of resistance by increasing
723 mutation frequencies. *Pest management science* **67**: 253–257.

724 **Grimmer MK, van den Bosch F, Powers SJ, Paveley ND. 2014.** Evaluation of a matrix to
725 calculate fungicide resistance risk. *Pest management science* **70**: 1008–1016.

726 **Hagerty CH, Mundt CC. 2016.** Reduced virulence of azoxystrobin-resistant *Zymoseptoria*
727 *tritici* populations in greenhouse assays. *Phytopathology* **106**: 884–889.

728 **Heick TM, Justesen AF, Jørgensen LN. 2017.** Anti-resistance strategies for fungicides
729 against wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici* with focus on DMI fungicides. *Crop protection* **99**:
730 108–117.

731 **Hicks HL, Comont D, Coutts SR, Crook L, Hull R, Norris K, Neve P, Childs DZ, Freckleton**
732 **RP. 2018.** The factors driving evolved herbicide resistance at a national scale. *Nature ecology*
733 *& evolution* **2**: 529.

734 **Hollomon DW. 2015.** Fungicide resistance: facing the challenge-a review. *Plant Protection*
735 *Science* **51**: 170–176.

736 **Huang F, Andow DA, Buschman LL. 2011.** Success of the high-dose/refuge resistance
737 management strategy after 15 years of *Bt* crop use in North America. *Entomologia*
738 *Experimentalis et Applicata* **140**: 1–16.

739 **Huf A, Rehfus A, Lorenz KH, Bryson R, Voegelé RT, Stämmler G. 2018.** Proposal for a
740 new nomenclature for CYP 51 haplotypes in *Zymoseptoria tritici* and analysis of their
741 distribution in Europe. *Plant Pathology* **67**: 1706–1712.

742 **Hughes D, Andersson DI. 2015.** Evolutionary consequences of drug resistance: shared
743 principles across diverse targets and organisms. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **16**: 459.

744 **Kuo L, Mallick B. 1998.** Variable selection for regression models. *Sankhya: The Indian Journal*
745 *of Statistics, Series B*: 65–81.

746 **Leroux P, Walker A-S. 2011.** Multiple mechanisms account for resistance to sterol 14 α -
 747 demethylation inhibitors in field isolates of *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Pest management*
 748 *science* **67**: 44–59.

749 **Linde CC, Zhan J, McDonald BA. 2002.** Population structure of *Mycosphaerella graminicola*:
 750 from lesions to continents. *Phytopathology* **92**: 946–955.

751 **Martinez JL, Baquero F. 2000.** Mutation frequencies and antibiotic resistance. *Antimicrobial*
 752 *agents and chemotherapy* **44**: 1771–1777.

753 **Maxwell BD, Roush ML, Radosevich SR. 1990.** Predicting the evolution and dynamics of
 754 herbicide resistance in weed populations. *Weed technology* **4**: 2–13.

755 **McDonald BA, Mundt CC. 2016.** How knowledge of pathogen population biology informs
 756 management of *Septoria tritici* blotch. *Phytopathology* **106**: 948–955.

757 **Melnyk AH, Wong A, Kassen R. 2015.** The fitness costs of antibiotic resistance mutations.
 758 *Evolutionary applications* **8**: 273–283.

759 **Menalled FD, Peterson RKD, Smith RG, Curran WS, Páez DJ, Maxwell BD. 2016.** The eco-
 760 evolutionary imperative: revisiting weed management in the midst of an herbicide resistance
 761 crisis. *Sustainability* **8**: 1297.

762 **Mikaberidze A, McDonald BA. 2015.** Fitness cost of resistance: impact on management. In:
 763 Fungicide Resistance in Plant Pathogens. Springer, 77–89.

764 **Mikaberidze A, Paveley ND, Bonhoeffer S, van den Bosch F. 2017.** Emergence of
 765 resistance to fungicides: the role of fungicide dose. *Phytopathology* **107**: 545–560.

766 **Okeke IN, Klugman KP, Bhutta ZA, Duse AG, Jenkins P, O'Brien TF, Pablos-Mendez A,**
 767 **Laxminarayan R. 2005.** Antimicrobial resistance in developing countries. Part II: strategies for
 768 containment. *The Lancet infectious diseases* **5**: 568–580.

769 **Oz T, Guvenek A, Yildiz S, Karaboga E, Tamer YT, Mumcuyan N, Ozan VB, Senturk GH,**
 770 **Cokol M, Yeh P, et al. 2014.** Strength of selection pressure is an important parameter
 771 contributing to the complexity of antibiotic resistance evolution. *Molecular biology and evolution*
 772 **31**: 2387–2401.

773 **Palumbi SR. 2001.** Humans as the world's greatest evolutionary force. *Science* **293**: 1786–

774 1790.

775 **Papaïx J, Goyeau H, Du Cheyron P, Monod H, Lannou C. 2011.** Influence of cultivated
776 landscape composition on variety resistance: an assessment based on wheat leaf rust
777 epidemics. *New Phytologist* **191**: 1095–1107.

778 **Parnell S, van den Bosch F, Gilligan CA. 2006.** Large-scale fungicide spray heterogeneity
779 and the regional spread of resistant pathogen strains. *Phytopathology* **96**: 549–555.

780 **Plummer M. 2013.** Rjags: bayesian graphical models using MCMC. *R package version 3*.

781 **Ponomarenko A, Goodwin SB, Kema GHJ. 2011.** *Septoria tritici* blotch (STB) of wheat. *Plant*
782 *Health Instructor* **10**.

783 **R Development Core Team. 2008.** R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.

784 **REX Consortium. 2013.** Heterogeneity of selection and the evolution of resistance. *Trends in*
785 *ecology & evolution* **28**: 110–118.

786 **Rosenzweig N, Atallah ZK, Olaya G, Stevenson WR. 2008.** Evaluation of QoI fungicide
787 application strategies for managing fungicide resistance and potato early blight epidemics in
788 Wisconsin. *Plant Disease* **92**: 561–568.

789 **Russell PE. 2005.** A century of fungicide evolution. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* **143**:
790 11–25.

791 **Shaw MW. 2009.** Fungicide resistance: the dose rate debate. *Outlooks on Pest Management*
792 **20**: 100–103.

793 **Shaw MW, Royle DJ. 1993.** Factors determining the severity of epidemics of *Mycosphaerella*
794 *graminicola* (*Septoria tritici*) on winter wheat in the UK. *Plant Pathology* **42**: 882–899.

795 **Sisterson MS, Antilla L, Carrière Y, Ellers-Kirk C, Tabashnik BE. 2004.** Effects of insect
796 population size on evolution of resistance to transgenic crops. *Journal of Economic*
797 *Entomology* **97**: 1413–1424.

798 **Tabashnik BE, Gassmann AJ, Crowder DW, Carrière Y. 2008.** Insect resistance to *Bt* crops:
799 evidence versus theory. *Nature biotechnology* **26**: 199.

800 **Torriani SFF, Melichar JPE, Mills C, Pain N, Sierotzki H, Courbot M. 2015.** *Zymoseptoria*
801 *tritici*: a major threat to wheat production, integrated approaches to control. *Fungal Genetics*

802 *and Biology* **79**: 8–12.

803 **Tyutyunov Y, Zhadanovskaya E, Bourguet D, Arditi R. 2008.** Landscape refuges delay
804 resistance of the European corn borer to *Bt*-maize: a demo-genetic dynamic model.
805 *Theoretical Population Biology* **74**: 138–146.

806 **Weber KE, Diggins LT. 1990.** Increased selection response in larger populations. II. Selection
807 for ethanol vapor resistance in *Drosophila melanogaster* at two population sizes. *Genetics* **125**:
808 585–597.

809 **zur Wiesch PA, Kouyos R, Engelstädter J, Regoes RR, Bonhoeffer S. 2011.** Population
810 biological principles of drug-resistance evolution in infectious diseases. *The Lancet infectious*
811 *diseases* **11**: 236–247.

812 **Zhan J, McDonald BA. 2004.** The interaction among evolutionary forces in the pathogenic
813 fungus *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Fungal Genetics and Biology* **41**: 590–599.

814 **Zhan J, Mundt CC, McDonald BA. 2001.** Using restriction fragment length polymorphisms to
815 assess temporal variation and estimate the number of ascospores that initiate epidemics in
816 field populations of *Mycosphaerella graminicola*. *Phytopathology* **91**: 1011–1017.

817

Phenotype	First year observed	Number of years observed	Number of regions monitored	Number of regions monitored yearly	Number of observations
StrR	2004	9	16	9	852
TriMR	2005	7	16	13	754
TriR6	2006	12	16	6	910
TriR7-TriR8	2006	12	16	6	851
TriHR	2010	8	14	6	360
Total					3727

Table 1 Data from the Performance database used for the statistical analysis.

Each observation corresponds to a resistance frequency measurement. The first column indicates the year at which frequencies of the given phenotype has been first monitored in the Performance network. The second column corresponds to the total number of years that the given phenotype has been monitored, including the first year. The third column gives the total number of French regions in which the given phenotype has been sampled. The fourth column is the same as the previous one, but only with regions with at least one observation each year. All regions were monitored for at least 80% of the years studied. The last column sums up the total number of data points for each phenotype.

		Phenotype resistant to Qols			Phenotype resistant to DMIs									
		StrR (2004-2012)				TriMR (≤ 2011)			TriR6 (2006-2017)			TriR7-TriR8 (2006-2017)		
Variable		Estimate ± 1 SD	EFD (\bar{p} =76%)	Relative weight		Estimate ± 1 SD	EFD (\bar{p} =75%)	Relative weight	Estimate ± 1 SD	EFD (\bar{p} =54%)	Relative weight	Estimate ± 1 SD	EFD (\bar{p} =21%)	Relative weight
Growth constant (β)		-0.2 * ± 0.09	-3.74	0.039		-0.2 · ± 0.11	-3.94	0.127	0.15 ** ± 0.05	3.58	0.402	0.09 · ± 0.05	1.63	0.402
Fungicide use (v_f)		0.874				0.726			0.531			0.433		
Qol	Kresoxim-methyl	0.7 *** ± 0.14	4.26		DMI	Cyproconazole	0		0			0.58 ** ± 0.2	2.6	
	Pyraclostrobin	0.5 ** ± 0.17	3.29			Epoxiconazole	0.56 ** ± 0.18	4.82		0		0		
	Azoxystrobin	0				Prochloraz	0		0.85 *** ± 0.16	4.73		-1.17 *** ± 0.17	-3.99	
	Fluoxastrobin	0				Propiconazole	0		-0.43 ** ± 0.14	-2.48		0		
	Picoxystrobin	0				Tebuconazole	0		-1.04 *** ± 0.19	-8.38		0.44 * ± 0.21	2.38	
	Trifloxystrobin	0				Fluquinconazole	0		0			0		

		Hexaconazole	0	X	X
		Metconazole	0	0	0
		Prothioconazole	0	0	0
Yield losses (ρ)	0 0		0 0	0 0	0 0
Area under organic farming (κ)	0.64 *** 3.26 0.068 ± 0.14		0.37 *** 2.52 0.133 ± 0.01	0 0	0 0
Wheat cultivar (σ_y)	0.26 ** ±4.68 0.005 ± 0.08		0.14 * ±2.64 0.006 ± 0.06	0.21 ** ±5.19 0.024 ± 0.07	0.37 *** ±6.18 0.134 ± 0.09
Sampling date (δ_{S1})	-0.41 *** -8.16 0.014 ± 0.1		-0.17 ** -3.34 0.008 ± 0.06	-0.33 *** -8.23 0.043 ± 0.08	0.15 · 2.62 0.031 ± 0.08

828 **Table 2** Estimates from the M_{AI} model for the StrR, TriMR, TriR6 and TriR7-TriR8 resistance phenotypes.

829 For each phenotype, the three subcolumns show parameter estimates (*i.e.* posterior mean) and their variability (*i.e.* posterior standard deviation),
830 expected frequency difference (EFD, *i.e.* the change in frequency due to the mean value of the variable on a reference population at frequency
831 \bar{p}), and relative weights (*i.e.* the contribution of the variable to data variability). The significance thresholds are 0.1, 0.05, 0.025 and 0.001 denoted
832 by “·”, “*”, “**” and “***”, respectively. Rows represent the different parameters of the models, ordered as follows: growth constant, regional scale
833 explanatory variables (fungicide use, yield losses and area of wheat under organic farming) and local variation factors (wheat cultivar and sampling
834 date). “0” means that the parameter was not selected during variable selection. “X” means that the variable was not considered in the model for
835 the given phenotype. The results for the TriHR phenotypes are not shown as no explanatory variables were retained by the selection procedure.

	StrR (2004-2012)		
Variable	Estimate ± 1 SD	EFD ($\bar{p}=76\%$)	Relative weight
Growth constant (β)	-0.17 ± 0.13	-3.23	0.041
Fungicide use (ν)	1.07 *** ± 0.26	6.64	0.794
Yield losses (ρ)	0		0
Area under organic farming (κ)	0.78 *** ± 0.16	3.95	0.146
Wheat cultivar (σ_γ)	0.31 ** ± 0.09	± 5.57	0.009
Sampling date (δ_{s1})	-0.35 *** ± 0.1	-6.87	0.01

837 **Table 3** Estimates from the M_{MoA} model for the StrR phenotype.

838 The three subcolumns show the parameter estimates (*i.e.* posterior mean) and their variability
839 (*i.e.* posterior standard deviation), expected frequency difference (EFD, *i.e.* the change in
840 frequency due to the mean value of the variable on a reference population at frequency \bar{p}),
841 and relative weights (*i.e.* the contribution of the variable to data variability). The significance
842 thresholds are 0.1, 0.05, 0.025 and 0.001 denoted by “.”, “*”, “***” and “****”, respectively. Rows
843 represent the different parameters of models, ordered as follows: growth constant, regional
844 scale explanatory variables (fungicide use, yield losses and area of wheat under organic
845 farming) and local variation factors (wheat cultivar and sampling date). “0” means that the
846 parameter was not selected during variable selection. The results for TriMR and TriHR
847 resistance phenotypes are not shown as no explanatory variable was retained by the selection

848 procedure.

849

850 **Fig. 1** Changes in resistance frequencies (left) and explanatory variables (right, top to bottom,
851 fungicide use, yield losses and area of wheat under organic farming).
852 Bold lines: mean regional values. Shaded areas: quantiles of regional values (*i.e.* regional
853 variability), 25% and 75% (dark grey), 2.5% and 97.5% (light grey). Dotted lines: regional
854 minimum and maximum values. Fungicide use is expressed in $ha_D \frac{D}{c}$ corresponding to the mean
855 number of times each mode of action was used for spraying over a cropping season,
856 regardless of the dose used. Yield losses are expressed in decitons (quintals) per hectare.
857

858 **Fig. 2** Maps of observed and predicted frequencies of the StrR resistance phenotype from
859 2004 to 2011.
860 Real observations are represented by dots. The colour within the dot indicates the observed
861 frequency in trials. The background map color shows the regional prediction from the M_{AI}
862 model.
863

864 **Fig. 3** Maps of observed and predicted frequencies of TriR6 and TriR7-TriR8 resistance
865 phenotypes in 2008.
866 Real observations are represented by dots. The colour within the dot indicates the observed
867 frequency in trials. The background map colour shows the regional prediction from the M_{AI}
868 model. Top: TriR6. Bottom: TriR7-TriR8.