Transgenerational effects from single larval exposure to azadirachtin on life history and behavior traits of Drosophila melanogaster

Maroua Ferdenache, Radia - Bezzar-Bendjazia, Frédéric Marion-Poll, Samira
Kilani-Morakchi

▶ To cite this version:

1   **Transgenerational effects from single larval exposure to azadirachtin on life history**

2   **and behavior traits of *Drosophila melanogaster***

3

4   [1,2] Ferdenache, M [e]., [1]Bezzar-Bendjazia, R., [2,3]Marion-Poll, F., [1]Kilani-Morakchi, S*[e].

5

6   [1]Laboratory of Applied Animal Biology, Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Badji

7   Mokhtar University of Annaba, 23000-Annaba, Algeria, [2]Evolution, Génomes,

8   Comportement, Ecologie. CNRS, IRD, Univ Paris-Sud. Université Paris-Saclay. F-91198

9   Gif-sur-Yvette, France, [3]AgroParisTech, Paris, France

10

11

12

13   *Corresponding author:

14   Samira Kilani-Morakchi (samira.morakchi@univ-annaba.dz; samira.morakchi@gmail.com)

15   *Laboratory of Applied Animal Biology*

16   *Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Badji Mokhtar University of Annaba, 23000-*

17   *Annaba, Algeria.*

18   [e] Both authors contributed equally to this work and considered first authors.

19   .

20

21

22

23

24

25

26   **Abstract**

27   Azadirachtin is one of the successful botanical pesticides in agricultural use with a

28   broad-spectrum insecticide activity, but its possible transgenerational effects have not been

29   the object of much attention. The effects of sublethal doses of azadirachtin on life-table traits

30   and oviposition behaviour of a model organism in toxicological studies, *D. melanogaster*,

31   were evaluated. The fecundity and oviposition preference of flies surviving to single

32   azadirachtin-treated larvae of parental generation was adversely affected resulting in a

33   reduction of number of eggs laid and increasing aversion to this compound over two

34   successive generations. In parental generation, early exposure to azadirachtin affects adult's

35   development by reducing the number of organisms, delayed larval and pupal development;

36   male biased sex ratio and induced morphological alterations. Moreover, adult's survival of

37   the two generations was significantly decreased as compared to the control. Therefore, Single

38   preimaginal azadirachtin treatment can affect flies population dynamics *via* transgenerational

39   reductions in survival and reproduction capacity as well as reinforcement of oviposition

40   avoidance which can contribute as repellent strategies in integrated pest management

41   programs. The transgenerational effects observed suggest a possible reduce both in

42   application frequency and total amount of pesticide used, which would aid in reducing both

43   control costs and possible ecotoxicological risks.

44   **1. Introduction**

45   The effect of insecticides and other toxicants on insects have been traditionally assessed

46   using measures of the acute mortality as a single endpoint and have relied on the

47   determination of the acute lethal dose/concentration[1]. However, in addition to the direct

48   effect on lethality these compounds may also impair various key biological traits of the

49   individuals that survive exposure through physiological and behavioral effects[1,2]. Among

physiological effects, developmental success, morphological deformities, adult longevity, sex

ratio, fertility and fecundity are commonly estimated[3,4]. Behavioral effects may be manifested

as impairment in insect mobility, learning ability, host finding, sexual communication as well

as feeding and oviposition behavior[5–10]. An accurate assessment of these effects is crucial to

acquire knowledge on the overall insecticide efficacy for long-term management of pest

insect populations, as well as on their selectivity toward non-target species[11]. Indeed, when

studying susceptibility of organisms towards insecticides, and beside the short term

influences on the directly exposed individuals, it is important to take into account the entire

life-history as a comprehensive method for evaluating the total effect on insect population,

including the impacts on the next generation which have important implications for the

success of an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) program[2,4].

Today and among the insecticides used in sublethal effect studies, the botanical insecticides

have been the subject of an increasing number of academic research as a potential option for

an environment friendly pest management tools[12,13] due to their rapid degradation in

environment, low mammalian toxicity, low risk of resistance development in target pest

populations and good selectivity to non-target arthropods[14–18]. Azadirachtin (AZA), a natural

tetranortriterpenoid compound extracted from the neem tree, *Azadirachta indica*[19],

is considered as one of the most promising plant compounds for pest control in organic

agriculture[14,20]. AZA shows variable effects on insects including the model insect *Drosophila*

melanogaster[21,22]. This triterpenoid acts as sterilant, insect growth regulators by disruption of

the endocrine system, repellent, oviposition and feeding deterrent by activating bitter

sensitive gustatory cells[23,24]. Larval exposure of *D. melanogaster* to sublethal doses of

azadirachtin was found to affects various aspects of their physiology including digestive

enzymes[25] and this effect is also further observed in the adults[10]. This pre-imaginal exposure

74   affects not only the physiology and the fitness of flies but also adults oviposition and feeding

75   preference[7,10].

76   Most studies concerning the sublethal effects of insecticides are related to continuously or

77   repeated exposure. This exposure provokes a generalized stress and activating a

78   detoxification response such as up-regulated of cytochrome P450 genes which my lead to the

79   detoxification of insecticide and even the development of resistance[26]. Moreover, the up-

80   regulation is thought to provide versatility in environmental adaptation[27]. In botanical

81   insecticide the potential fast desensitization to a feeding deterrent was reported[28,29].

82   Individual insects initially deterred by feeding inhibitor become increasingly tolerant due to

83   repeated or continuous exposure[29]. Bomford and Isman[15] reported an habitation to pure

84   azadirachtin in the tobacco cutworms which become less sensitive to the antifeedant

85   properties of azadirachtin, but not to a neem containing a same absolute amount of

86   azadirachtin. This might have an important implication to avoid desensitization to

87   commercial neem-based insecticides which contains additional non AZA-compounds[15].

88   Larval exposure to Neem Azal, a commercial Azadirachtin-rich based formulation, was

89   found to enhance avoidances of this compound in adults of *D. melanogaster* surviving from

90   previously treated larvae[10,25]. This long-lasting avoidance is related to conditioned aversion

91   and may be related to another mechanism such as sensitization[30,31] which also generally

92   occurs after long term or repeated exposure and may increase avoidance to noxious

93   stimulus[32]. Moreover, increasing evidence has highlighted the critical role of early life

94   experience in adult physiology and behavior in insect[33]. Recent studies have revealed that

95   insect can modulate their behavior on the basis of previous experiences early life and that

96   various insecticide-mediated changes in the directly exposed generation can persist into the

97   subsequent non-exposed generations[34,35]. Previously, we focused on the impact of larval

98   exposure to azadirachtin on adult's fitness (fecundity, survival) and oviposition site

4

99   preference of the parental generation of *D. melanogaster* as model organism for testing

100   insecticide activity[7]. Current study aimed to evaluated, the possible adverse effects of this

101   prior single exposure to azadirachtin experienced by the preceding generations on life table

102   and oviposition site preference of the filial generations. We monitored the oviposition site

103   preference, fecundity, development, sex ratio, survival and morphological abnormalities of

104   exposed and non-exposed generations. All these parameters were investigated over

105   generations until their restoration to predict the outcome of azadirachtin use on pest

106   management practices.

Results

Fecundity and oviposition site preference

109   Azadirachtin, topically applied on the 3rd instar larvae (LD_{25} and LD_{50} of immature stages)

110   affected fecundity of females by a significant reduction of the number of eggs laid as

111   compared to controls (KW= 24.73; $p < 0.001$). This reduction was observed over two

112   successive generations (parental and F1), however, the total eggs laid was higher in the

113   unexposed generation (F1) than in parental (P) ones (KW= 50.89; $p < 0.001$) (Fig.1). Full

114   restoration of affected fecundity was noted in the second generations (F2).

115   Results of oviposition preference in the no choice experiments (Fig.1) revealed a clear

116   preference for oviposition on untreated medium than in azadirachtin-treated ones.

117   For parental generation, Kruskal-Wallis test revealed significant effects in medium 0.1 μg/ml

118   (KW= 29.42; $p < 0.001$) and medium 0.25 μg/ml (KW= 24.73; $p < 0.001$). In the first

119   generation, a significant effect was also noted for medium 0.1 μg/ml (KW= 22.95; $p < 0.001$)

120   and medium 0.25 μg/ml (KW= 27, 93; $p < 0.001$).

121   Results concerning the dual choice experiments (Fig. 2) revealed an oviposition preference

122   for control medium than treated medium in all tested generations (P, F1 and F2).

123   Furthermore, flies previously exposed to azadirachtin (early 3rd instar larvae) showed a

highest aversion to this substance compared to naïve flies and led fewer eggs for the two first generations (P and F1) with a more marked effects for parental generation (P <0.001).

The oviposition preference index (OPI) of adult females of *D. melanogaster* exposed, or not, to azadirachtin at larval stage of parental generation were always negative in all generations (Fig. 3).

In the generation P, statistical analysis showed significant differences between OPI of previously treated flies and controls flies with a dose-dependent response (fig. 3). In addition, for medium 0.1 µg/ml, Mann-Whitney revealed significant effects between LD_{25} of the parental generation and the first generation (Mann-Whitney test U = 8; P < 0.001), LD_{25} of parental and second generation (Mann-Whitney test U=20; P=0.0018) but there was no difference between the first and the second generations (Mann-Whitney test U=42; P=0.0887). Similar results were observed for the LD_{50}, with significant effects observed between the parental generation and the F1 (Mann-Whitney test U=19; P=0.0014), also between P and F2 (Mann-Whitney test U=34; P=0.0284) but no difference between F1 and F2 (Mann-Whitney test U=58; P=0.4428). For control, there was no difference between all tested generations.

Similar results were obtained for medium 0.25 µg/ml, Mann-Whitney test revealed significant effects between LD_{25} of the parental generation and the first generation (Mann-Whitney test U = 25; P =0.0045), LD_{25} of parental and second generation (Mann-Whitney test U=24; P=0.0045) but there was no difference between the first and the second generations (Mann-Whitney test U=66; P=0.5512). For the LD_{50}, significant effects were observed between the parental generation and the F2 (Mann-Whitney test U=25.50; P=0.0025), also between F1 and F2 (Mann-Whitney test U=34; P=0.0028) but no difference was observed between F1 and P (Mann-Whitney test U=49; P=0.1974). There was no difference between controls for all generations.

6

Analyses of development

Results from development analysis of *D. melanogaster* are given in tables 1 and 2, respectively for parental (exposed) and F1 (non-exposed) generation. Treatment of early third instar larvae at two tested doses (LD_{25} and LD_{50}) decreased the number of larvae, pupae and the final number of organisms of parental generation with a dose-dependent relationship as expressed by the FNO which is always negative for the treated series. The development of F_1 *D. melanogaster* doesn't seem to be affecting by the early treatment of the parental generation. However, the FNO of tested flies (LD_{25}, LD_{50} and control) in treated medium was significantly lower than in the control medium for both generations. There is no difference between the number of organisms reached the pupae stage and the final number of organism in both generations. In addition, treatment of early third instar larvae increased significantly ($p<0.001$) the duration of larval and pupal development as expressed by T_{50}, with dose-dependent manner only for the Parental generation (exposed) as compared to controls. There is no difference between the T_{50} of the tested flies in both treated and untreated medium.

Larvae, pupae and imagoes of the parental generation showed several types of malformations and anomalies followed by death at each stage of development of *D. melanogaster.* The most prominent malformations detected are incomplete and malformed imagoes (malformed abdomen and wings), curved and smaller body shape, burned larvae, dead adults inside pupae (Fig.4).

Pre-imaginal exposure of azadirachtin induced a male-biased sex ratio only for the parental generation with a dose-dependent relationship (Fig.5). Kruskal-Wallis test revealed significant effects between the different tested insect (Control, LD_{25} and LD_{50}) in untreated medium (KW = 9.30; p =0.0095), medium 0.1 µg/ml (KW = 8.02; p < 0.0181) and medium 0.25 µg/ml (KW= 18.85; p < 0.0001) for the parental generation.

Survival analysis of adults

A survival analyses during the 15 first days of adults previously treated with azadirachtin as 3rd instars larvae (Fig.6) revealed a rapid reduction of adult surviving of the generation P (Male: Kaplan-Meier test, $\chi2 = 184$, df = 2, P < 0.001; Female: Kaplan-Meier test, $\chi2 = 214$, df = 2, P < 0.001). Lower mortality was noted for the generation F1 compared to parental. (Male: Kaplan-Meier test, $\chi2 = 39.1$, df = 2, P < 0.001; Female: Kaplan-Meier test, $\chi2 = 63.1$, df = 2, P < 0,001). Flies mortality was dose-dependent and the females were more affected by the treatment.

For the control series no mortality was recorded for both tested generations. For the treated series, the lowest dose (LD$_{25}$) decline the adult's survival to 49% for males and 36% for females of the P generation versus 94% for males and 84% for females of the F1 generation. The highest dose (LD$_{50}$) induced more marked effects on adult's survival with 27% for males and 16% for females of the P generation and 81% for males and 64% in females for the F1 generation. Survival of 100% was noted for males and females of the F2 generation.

Discussion

Azadirachtin impact on reproduction have been reported on different insect species[21,41–45]. Our study has demonstrated that a single azadirachtin treatment (LD$_{25}$/LD$_{50}$) of *D. melanogaster* larvae reduced eggs number affecting negatively the fecundity of surviving females, not only through direct sublethal effects in exposed individuals, but also through transgenerational effects on F1individuals that were never directly exposed to the insecticide. Oviposition is a complex and critical activity in the life cycle of an insect with a variety of factors that influence both physiology and subsequent behavior, that lead to egg deposition by an insect which tries to ensure safety to their progeny. Reduced fecundity and fertility after azadirachtin treatment has been reported in many insects including *Spodoptera littoralis*, *D. melanogaster, Galleria mellonella, Dysdercus cingulatus, Tuta absoluta* and

8

199   *Helicoverpa armigera*[17,41,43–46] and may be correlated to the negative action of azadirachtin

200   on yolk protein synthesis and/or its uptake into oocytes[21].

201   Ecdysteroids, JH and insulin/insulin-like growth factor signalling (IIS) regulation are crucial

202   for reproduction of *D. melanogaster*[47]. Vitellogenesis in females is stimulated under JH

203   action and led to oocytes development, JH synergic action with 20E and IIS controls the

204   nutrient-sensitive checkpoint necessary for oocytes formation[47]. Consequently, reduced

205   fecundity may be related to the antagonist action of azadirachtin on major hormones

206   controlling the reproductive process (JH/ecdysteroids)[7].

207   In *Anopheles stephensi*, azadirachtin treatment led to abnormal ovaries structure with a

208   complete arrest of oogenesis, vitellogenesis and vitelline envelope formation impairment and

209   follicle cells degeneration [48]. Ovaries of azadirachtin-treated females of *Heteracris littoralis*

210   also showed complete shrinkage with suppression of oocyte growth[49], disintegration and

211   destruction in follicular cells and mitochondria[49]. In addition, Azadirachtin reduce mating

212   success in *D. melanogaster* flies and negatively affect cyst and oocyte numbers and size [45].

213   Azadirachtin treatment also affect the amount of food intake in this species and digestive

214   enzyme activity in the midgut[10], which may affect oogenesis and vitellogenesis since

215   ecdysone and JH rates are affected by nutrient availability which acts as positive regulator on

216   insulin pathway to confer to ovaries the signalling necessary for a normal oogenesis[50,51].

217   In addition, flies of all tested generations preferred control medium for oviposition avoiding

218   the azadirachtin ones for the two tested doses and conditions (no-choice and free choice). A

219   low oviposition rate of non-exposed (naïve) flies in azadirachtin-treated areas may be due to

220   the known repellent effect, deterrent effect and locomotor stimulation effect of azadirachtin

221   and other neem based insecticides which were reported by Silva *et al*.[52] in medflies *Ceratitis*

222   *capitata*. Valencia-Botín et al.[53] also suggest that the repellent property of neem extracts is

223   the major factor responsible for the reduction of eggs numbers of *Anastrepha ludens*

224   (Loew)[53]. The ovipository behavior inhibition may have a valuable impact in pest control.

225   In addition, flies who have already been treated (third instar larvae of P generation) showed

226   an increased aversion to azadirachtin in comparison to the naïf flies and this for two

227   successive generations (P and F1). When oviposition sites were treated with azadirachtin or

228   other neem-based compounds, oviposition repellency, deterrency, or inhibition occurred in

229   several insects' species which can detect the bioinsecticide on the treated surface[7,14,43,54,55].

230   The capacity of insects to retain memory from early life exposure affecting the adult response

231   was reported [38,56–58]. In *D. melanogaster* females avoid oviposition on sites containing

232   azadirachtin after larval exposure to the bio-insecticide[7].

233   Here, we have reported for the first time that the negative effects of a single larval exposure

234   to azadirachtin can also be passed on to the F1 generation (transgenerational effects).

235   Environmental toxicants such as insecticide are able to provoke epigenetic alterations which

236   can be inherited to next generations[59]. This may explain the reduced fecundity and

237   oviposition avoidance in our non-exposed generation (F1).

238   Our study has also demonstrated that azadirachtin applied during the third larval instar of

239   Parental generation (LD_{25} and LD_{50}) can negatively affect various life traits of *D.*

240   *melanogaster*, with a dose-dependent manner, by significantly reducing, larval, pupation and

241   emergence rate of the exposed generation. The biopesticide also significantly prolong the

242   larval and pupation period of development inducing an important delays in immature stages

243   development and affect sex ratio (with fewer females in the offspring) of the same

244   generation. Additionally, the treatment induced morphological alterations of larvae, pupae

245   and adults only on the exposed generation (P generation). The most prominent abnormalities

246   are burned larvae, larva-pupa intermediate, pupa-adult intermediate, deformed wings, smaller

247　body size and deformed abdomen. The recorded malformations finally result to insect dead.

248　Similar results were noted in *D. melanogaster*[37], *Hyalomma anatolicum excavatum*[60] and

249　*Spodoptera litura*[22]. Finally, a reducing of adult's survival was noted for the two successive

250　generations with more marked effects among the P generation.

251　Azadirachtin is known to reduce pupation and eclosion rates of many insects like *Aphis*

252　*glycines*[61], *Plodia interpunctella*[62], *Aedes aegypti*[63] and *D. melanogaster*[21]. A negative impact

253　of azadirachtin on the immature stages was expected in view of its insect's growth disruptor

254　(IGD) action by suppressing haemolymph ecdysteroid and JH peaks[25,64]. Furthermore,

255　azadirachtin is known to cause nucleus degeneration in the different endocrine glands

256　(prothoracic gland, *corpus allatum* and *corpus cardiacum*) controlling insects moulting and

257　ecdysis which may act as generalised disruption of neuroendocrine system[24]. Azadirachtin by

258　altering the growth and molting process of several insects compromise their

259　survival[7,20,43,65,66]. Lai *et al.*[67] reported that azadirachtin down regulated expression of

260　different genes linked to hormonal regulation which may explain the developmental

261　aberrations observed in our results. Azadirachtin also affect *Drosophila* nutrient intake and

262　metabolism compromising the nutritional signals which result in a decrease in insect weights

263　and growth rates resulting in smaller body size and impacting survival[10,25,37,66]. The male

264　biased sex ratio under azadirachtin treatment was also reported in literature[67,68].

265　In summary, the present study indicated that pre-imaginal exposure to sublethal doses of

266　azadirachtin would affect the fecundity, oviposition preference and survival of *D.*

267　*melanogaster* of parent generation as well as the F1 non exposed generation. The treatment

268　would also trigger life history traits variation at the P generation.

269　Results demonstrate that a single azadirachtin application can significantly reduce the

270　survival of flies over two successive generations (P: exposed and F1: unexposed) while

271　insects showed clear recovery in the survival rates in the second generation (F2). These

272   findings reflect a long term and delayed effects through developmental stage and generations.

273   This consistent effect over the two first generations may be considered as advantage on pest

274   control by compensate the well known fast degradation by sunlight and low persistence of

275   azadirachtin in environment (half-life DT_{50}: 1.7- 25d)[23, 69] and suggest a possible reduce both

276   in application frequency and total amount of pesticide used.

277   Moreover, the decreased fecundity and survival in P and F1 generations indicated an absence

278   of induction of the resurgence in offspring, even after full restoration in F2, when parental

279   flies were treated translating an absence of hermetic effect, which is considered as serious

280   problem of exposure to sublethal doses in agriculture.

281   In addition, the treatment increases the aversive effect induced by azadirachtin over two

282   successive generations which may contribute as push-pull strategies increasing its insecticidal

283   effects in integrated pest management programs.

Material and methods

Flies

286   Wild-type Canton-S strain of *D. melanogaster* flies were reared on artificial fly food

287   (cornmeal/agar/yeast) at 25°C, 70% humidity and 12D-12 L cycle[10].

Treatment

289   Neem Azal-TS (1 % azadirachtin A, Trifolio-M GmbH, Lahnau, Germany) was solubilised

290   in acetone for topical application (1 µl/ larvae according to Bensebaa et al.[36]). The

291   bioinsecticide was applied on *D. melanogaster* early third-instar larvae using two lethal

292   doses of immature stages, 0.28 µg (LD_{25}) and 0.67 µg (LD_{50})[37]. Controls received 1µl acetone

293   (solvent) and all flies were kept under the same conditions as cited above. All experiments

294   were performed over two consecutive generations, the exposed (parental generation: P) and

295   non-exposed (first generation: F1) generation.

Fecundity and oviposition site preference

We assessed the egg-laying performances of the females of *D. melanogaster* using a no-choice test. Three mated females (3 days old) that were pre-exposed to azadirachtin at the larval stage (LD_{25} and LD_{50}) were tested for 24 h in a petri dish (Ø=65mm) filled with 3 ml medium containing azadirachtin at two concentrations 0.1 and 0.25 µg/ml according to Bezzar-Bendjazia et al.[37] in addition to acetone as control medium. These concentrations were not lethal with the short exposure time (24 h) used. At the end of the test, flies were removed, and the number of eggs laid on each medium was counted. The control medium was used to test the possible effect of azadirachtin on female fecundity. The experiment was repeated 12 times for each medium and each generation. Oviposition site preference was measured by means of dual choice experiments. Three fertilized females (3 days old) from controls and treated series (LD_{25} and LD_{50}) were allowed to oviposit for 24h in a free choice egg-laying device. This device consisted of a two petri dishes either filled with control medium (acetone) or treated medium (azadirachtin: 0.1, 0.25 µg/ml). After 24h, the egg-laying preference was assessed by counting the number of eggs laid in each medium. The test was performed for two successive generations with 12 replicates for each medium and generation.

Oviposition preference index (OPI) defined as (number of eggs on azadirachtin medium − number of eggs on control medium)/total number of eggs was calculated[38].

Development assays

Ten controls or pre-exposed (LD_{25} and LD_{50}) mated females (3 days old), named parental generation, were released into an oviposition box containing petri dishes filled with control (acetone) or treated medium (azadirachtin: 0.1 or 0.25 µg/ml) and left to lay eggs for 8 hours. At the end of the test, the flies were removed and a pool of 100 eggs for each experiment was transferred to a new petri dish containing the same medium. For all groups, we monitored the

13

320　time course of larval development from egg to adult emergence by counting the number of

321　third instar larvae, pupae, imagoes and their sex ratio, expressed as the number of males

322　divided by the total number of emerged insects.

323　Next, ten parental flies from each condition (controls or treated) were crossed and the

324　experiments were repeated for the non-exposed first generation (F1) as cited above with the

325　same parameters recorded.

326　Furthermore, the developmental duration of each stage was recorded for the two tested

327　generations expressed by T_{50} (time in hours, when 50% of population reached larval, pupal

328　and imaginal developmental stage in vials). All insects were observed under stereo zoom

329　microscope to find any morphological distortions and photographs were taken with Leica

330　Z16 APO.

331　A factor describing the final number of organisms in comparison to control (FNO) according

332　to Ventrella et al.[39] was determined to compare the results:

333

$$FNO = \frac{T - C}{C} \times 100$$

334　T = final number of organisms counted in treated medium.

335　C = final number of organisms counted in control medium.

336　Positive values of FNO show that number of organisms was higher in tested groups than

337　within control, negative values mean that the number of individuals was higher in control

338　than in exposed groups.

339　**Survival analysis of adults**

340　Survival analysis was performed according to Linford et al.[40]. For each generation (P:

341　exposed (LD_{25} and LD_{50}), F1: non-exposed) newly emerged adults were sexed and housed

342　separately into a plastic vials (15 flies per vial) containing fresh food. Insects were transferred

343 to new vial every 2 days. The flies were kept under observation for 15 days during which

344 mortality was assessed every 24h. Ten replicates were done for each dose and generation.

345 **Statistical analyses**

346 Data analysis was performed by R studio version 3.5.0 for Mac OS. The results were

347 expressed as the means ± SE of each series of experiments. The homogeneity of variances

348 was checked using Bartlett's test. The Shapiro-Wilk statistic test was used for testing the

349 normality.

350 Data from egg-laying preference and oviposition index preference was subjected to Kruskal–

351 Wallis test and pairwise multiple comparisons using Dunn's method. Development test were

352 analysed with ANOVA followed by a post-hoc HSD Tukey test. Sex ratio was analysed using

353 Kruskal–Wallis test and the FNO was calculated and shown. The results of the survival

354 analysis were subjected to Kaplan–Meier survival test.

355

356 **References**

357 1. Desneux, N., Decourtye, A. & Delpuech, J.-M. The Sublethal Effects of Pesticides on

358   Beneficial Arthropods. *Annu Rev Entomol.* **52**, 81–106 (2007).

359 2. Müller, C. Impacts of sublethal insecticide exposure on insects — Facts and

360   knowledge gaps. *Basic Appl Ecol.* **30**, 1439-1791 (2018).

361 3. Rodríguez Enríquez, C.-L., Pineda, S., Figueroa, J. I., Schneider, M.-I. & Martínez,

362   A.-M. Toxicity and Sublethal Effects of Methoxyfenozide on *Spodoptera exigua*

363   (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Annu Rev Entomol.* **103**, 662–667 (2010).

364 4. Ali, E. *et al.* Sublethal effects of buprofezin on development and reproduction in the

365   white-backed planthopper, *Sogatella furcifera* (Hemiptera: Delphacidae). *Sci Rep.* **7**,

366   16913; 10.1038/s41598-017-17190-8 (2017).

367 5. El Hassani, A. K. *et al.* Effects of sublethal doses of acetamiprid and thiamethoxam on

368   the behavior of the honeybee (*Apis mellifera*). *Arch Environ Contam Toxicol.* **54**, 653–

369   661 (2008).

370   6.   Xavier, V. M. *et al.* Acute toxicity and sublethal effects of botanical insecticides to

371   honey bees. *J Insect Sci.* **15**, 137 (2015).

372   7.   Bezzar-Bendjazia, R., Kilani-Morakchi, S. & Aribi, N. Larval exposure to azadirachtin

373   affects fitness and oviposition site preference of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Pestic*

374   *Biochem Physiol.* **133**, 85–90 (2016).

375   8.   Navarro-Roldán, M. A. & Gemeno, C. Sublethal Effects of Neonicotinoid Insecticide

376   on Calling Behavior and Pheromone Production of Tortricid Moths. *J Chem Ecol.* **43**,

377   881–890 (2017).

378   9.   Tappert, L., Pokorny, T., Hofferberth, J. & Ruther, J. Sublethal doses of imidacloprid

379   disrupt sexual communication and host finding in a parasitoid wasp. *Sci Rep.* **7**, 42756;

380   10.1038/srep42756 (2017).

381 10. Kilani-Morakchi, S., Bezzar-Bendjazia, R., Ferdenache, M. & Aribi, N. Preimaginal

382   exposure to azadirachtin affects food selection and digestive enzymes in adults of

383   *Drosophila melanogaster* (Diptera: Drosophilidae). *Pestic Biochem Physiol.* **140**, 58–

384   64 (2017).

385 11. De França, S. M., Breda, M. O., Barbosa, D. R. S., Araujo, A. M. N. & Guede , C. A.

386   The Sublethal Effects of Insecticides in Insects. *Biological Control of Pest and Vector*

387   *(ed* Shields, V.D.C.) 23-40 (InTech, 2017).

388 12. Isman, M. B. Botanical Insecticides, Deterrents, and Repellents in Modern Agriculture

389   and an Increasingly Regulated World. *Annu Rev Entomol.* **51**, 45–66 (2006).

390 13. Hikal, W. M., Baeshen, R. S. & Said-Al Ahl, H. A. H. Botanical insecticide as simple

391   extractives for pest control. *Cogent Biol.* **3**, 1–16 (2017).

392 14. Schmetterer, G. R. Sequence conservation among the glucose transporter from the

393 cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 and mammalian glucose transporters. J

394 *Plant Mol Biol Biotechnol.* **14**, 697–706 (1990).

395 15. Bomford, M. K. & Isman, M. B. Desensitization of fifth instar *Spodoptera litura* to

396 azadirachtin and neem. *Entomol Exp Appl.* **81**, 307–313 (1996).

397 16. Greenberg, S. M., Showler, A. T. & Liu, T. X. Effects of neem-based insecticides on

398 beet armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Insect Sci.* **12**, 17–23 (2005).

399 17. Ahmad, S., Ansari, M. S. & Moraiet, M. A. Demographic changes in *Helicoverpa*

400 *armigera* after exposure to neemazal (1% EC azadirachtin). *Crop Prot.* **50**, 30–36

401 (2013).

402 18. Khan, N. *et al.* Determination of minor and trace elements in aromatic spices by

403 micro-wave assisted digestion and inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry.

404 *Food Chem.* **158**, 200–206 (2014).

405 19. Mordue(Luntz), A. J. & Nisbet, A. J. Azadirachtin from the neem tree *Azadirachta*

406 *indica*: its action against insects. *Anais da Sociedade Entomológica do Brasil.* **29**, 615–

407 632 (2000).

408 20. Hasan, F. & Shafiq Ansari, M. Toxic effects of neem-based insecticides on *Pieris*

409 *brassicae* (Linn.). *Crop Prot.* **30**, 502–507 (2011).

410 21. Boulahbel, B., Aribi, N., Kilani-Morakchi, S. & Soltani, N. Insecticidal activity of

411 azadirachtin on *Drosophila melanogaster* and recovery of normal status by exogenous

412 20-Hydroxyecdysone. *Afr Entomol.* **23**, 224–233 (2015).

413 22. Shu, B. *et al.* Azadirachtin affects the growth of *Spodoptera litura* Fabricius by

414 inducing apoptosis in larval midgut. *Front Physiol.* **9**, 137; 10.3389/fphys.2018.00137

415 (2018).

416 23. Mordue (Luntz), A. J., Morgan, E. D. & Nisbet, A. J. Azadirachtin, a Natural Product

417 in Insect Control. *Comprehensive Molecular Insect Science* 117–135 (2005).

418 24. Weiss, L. A., Dahanukar, A., Kwon, J. Y., Banerjee, D. & Carlson, J. R. The
419   molecular and cellular basis of bitter taste in *Drosophila*. *Neuron* **69**, 258–272 (2011).

420 25. Bezzar-Bendjazia, R., Kilani-Morakchi, S., Maroua, F. & Aribi, N. Azadirachtin
421   induced larval avoidance and antifeeding by disruption of food intake and digestive
422   enzymes in *Drosophila melanogaster* (Diptera: Drosophilidae). *Pestic Biochem*
423   *Physiol.* **143**, 135–140 (2017).

424 26. Liang, X. *et al.* Insecticide-mediated up-regulation of cytochrome P450 genes in the
425   red flour beetle (*Tribolium castaneum*). *Int J Mol Sci.* **16**, 2078–2098 (2015).

426 27. Terriere, L. Induction of Detoxication Enzymes in Insects. *Annu Rev Entomol.* **29**, 71–
427   88 (1984).

428 28. Akhtar, Y., Rankin, C. H. & Isman, M. B. Decreased response to feeding deterrents
429   following prolonged exposure in the larvae of a generalist herbivore, *Trichoplusia ni*
430   (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *J Insect Behav.* **16**, 811–831 (2003).

431 29. Koul, O. & Walia, S. Comparing impacts of plant extracts and pure allelochemicals
432   and implications for pest control. *CAB Rev Perspect Agric Vet Sci Nutr Nat Resour.* **4**,
433   049 (2009).

434 30. Minoli, S. *et al.* Brief exposure to sensory cues elicits stimulus-nonspecific general
435   sensitization in an insect. *PLoS ONE.* **7 (3)**, e34141 (2012).

436 31. Crook, R. J., Dickson, K., Hanlon, R. T. & Walters, E. T. Nociceptive sensitization
437   reduces predation risk. *Curr Biol.* **24**, 1121–1125 (2014).

438 32. Walters, E. T., Illich, P. A., Weeks, J. C. & Lewin, M. R. Defensive responses of
439   larval *Manduca sexta* and their sensitization by noxious stimuli in the laboratory and
440   field. *J Exp Biol.* **204**, 457–69 (2001).

441 33. Caubet, Y., Jaisson, P. & Lenoir, A. Preimaginal Induction of Adult Behaviour in
442   Insects. *Q J Exp Psychol Sect.* **44**, 165–178 (1992).

443   34.   da Costa, J. T. *et al.* Effects of different formulations of neem oil-based products on control *Zabrotes subfasciatus* (Boheman, 1833) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) on beans. *J Stored Prod Res.* **56**, 49–53 (2014).

446   35.   Müller, T., Prosche, A. & Müller, C. Sublethal insecticide exposure affects reproduction, chemical phenotype as well as offspring development and antennae symmetry of a leaf beetle. *Environ Pollut.* **230**, 709–717 (2017).

449   36.   Bensebaa, F., Kilani-Morakchi, S., Aribi, N. & Soltani, N. Evaluation of pyriproxyfen, a juvenile hormone analog, on *Drosophila melanogaster* (Diptera: Drosophilidae): Insecticidal activity, ecdysteroid contents and cuticle formation. *Eur J Entomol.* **112**, 625–631 (2015).

453   37.   Bezzar-bendjazia, R., Kilani-morakchi, S. & Aribi, N. Growth and molting disruption effects of azadirachtin against *Drosophila melanogaster* (Diptera : Drosophilidae). *Pestic Biochem Physiol.* **4**, 363–368 (2016).

456   38.   Flaven-Pouchon, J. *et al.* Transient and permanent experience with fatty acids changes *Drosophila melanogaster* preference and fitness. *PLoS ONE.* **9**, **e92352** (2014).

458   39.   Ventrella, E. *et al. Solanum tuberosum* and *Lycopersicon esculentum* Leaf Extracts and Single Metabolites Affect Development and Reproduction of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *PLoS ONE.* **11**, e0155958 (2016).

461   40.   Linford, N. J., Bilgir, C., Ro, J. & Pletcher, S. D. Measurement of lifespan in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *J Vis Exp.* **7**, 50068 (2013).

463   41.   Pineda, S. *et al.* Influence of Azadirachtin and Methoxyfenozide on Life Parameters of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *J Econ Entomol.* **102**, 1490–1496 (2009).

466   42.   Tine, S., Aribi, N. & Soltani, N. Laboratory evaluation of azadirachtin against the oriental cockroach, *Blatta orientalis* L. (Dictyoptera, Blattellidae): Insecticidal activity

468   and reproductive effects. *African J Biotechnol.* **10**, 19816–19824 (2012).

469 43. Tomé, H. V. V. *et al.* Azadirachtin avoidance by larvae and adult females of the

470   tomato leafminer *Tuta absoluta. Crop Prot.* **46**, 63–69 (2013).

471 44. Er, A., Taşkıran, D. & Sak, O. Azadirachtin-induced effects on various life history

472   traits and cellular immune reactions of *Galleria mellonella* (Lepidoptera : Pyralidae).

473   *Arch Biol Sci.* **69**, 335–344 (2017).

474 45. Oulhaci, C. M., Denis, B. & Kilani-morakchi, S. Azadirachtin effects on mating

475   success, gametic abnormalities and progeny survival in *Drosophila melanogaster*

476   (Diptera). *Pest Manag Sci.* **74**, 174-180 (2018).

477 46. Pandey.R.K. & Tiwari. J.P. Neem based insecticides interactionwith development and

478   fecundity of red cotton bug, *Dysdercus cingulatus* fab. *Int J Agric Biol Res.* **6**, 335–

479   346 (2011).

480 47. Toivonen, J. M. & Partridge, L. Endocrine regulation of aging and reproduction in

481   Drosophila. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* **299**, 39–50 (2009).

482 48. Lucantoni, L. *et al.* Effects of a neem extract on blood feeding, oviposition and oocyte

483   ultrastructure in *Anopheles stephensi* Liston (Diptera: Culicidae). *Tissue and Cell.* **38**,

484   361–371 (2006).

485 49. Ghazawi, N. A., El-Shranoubi, E. D., El-Shazly, M. M. & Abdel Rahman, K. M.

486   Effects of azadirachtin on mortality rate and reproductive system of the grasshopper

487   *Heteracris littoralis* Ramb. (Orthoptera: Acrididae). *J Orthoptera Res.* **16**, 57–65

488   (2007).

489 50. Badisco, L., Van Wielendaele, P. V. & Broeck, J. Vanden. Eat to reproduce: A key

490   role for the insulin signaling pathway in adult insects. *Front Physiol.* **4**, 202;

491   10.3389/fphys.2013.00202 (2013).

492 51. Jeong, E. B., Jeong, S. S., Cho, E. & Kim, E. Y. Makorin 1 is required for *Drosophila*

493   oogenesis by regulating insulin/Tor signaling. *PLoS ONE.* **14**, e0215688 (2019).

494   52. Silva, M.A, Bezerra-Silva, G.C.D., Vendramim J.D. & Mastrangelo T. Inhibition of

495   oviposition by neem extract: A behavioral perspective for the control of the

496   mediterranean fruit fly (Diptera: Terphritidae). *Fla Entomol*. **95(2)**, 333–337 (2012).

497   53. Valencia-Botin, A.J., Bautista-Martinez, N. & Lopez-Buenfil, J.A. Uso de extractos de

498   Nim, Azadirachta indica A. Juss, en la oviposicion de la mosca Mexicana de la fruta

499   Anastrepha ludens Loew (Diptera: Tephritidae) en naranja Valencia. Fitosanidad. 8, 57

500   −59 (2004).

501   54. Dhar R, Dawar H, Garg S, Basir SF & Talwar GP. Effect of volatiles from neem and

502   other natural products on gonotrophic cycle and oviposition of *Anopheles stephensi*

503   and *Anopheles culicifacies* (Diptera: Culicidae). *J Med Entomol.* **33**, 195–201 (1996).

504   55. Cordeiro, E. M. G., Corrêa, A. S., Venzon, M. & Guedes, R. N. C. Insecticide survival

505   and behavioral avoidance in the lacewings *Chrysoperla externa* and *Ceraeochrysa*

506   *cubana. Chemosphere.* **81**, 1352–1357 (2010).

507   56. Dukas, R. Evolutionary biology of insect learning. *Annu Rev Entomol.* **53**, 145–60

508   (2008).

509   57. Blackiston, D. J., Casey, E. S. & Weiss, M. R. Retention of memory through

510   metamorphosis: Can a moth remember what it learned as a caterpillar?. *PLoS ONE.* **3**,

511   e1736 (2008).

512   58. Gerber, B., Stocker, R. F., Tanimura, T. & Thum, A. S. Smelling, Tasting, Learning:

513   Drosophila as a Study Case. *Results Probl Cell Differ.* 47,139-85 (2009).

514   59. Vandegehuchte, M. B. & Janssen, C. R. Epigenetics and its implications for

515   ecotoxicology. *Ecotoxicology.* **20**, 607–624 (2011).

516   60. Abdel-Shafy, S. & Zayed, A. A. In vitro acaricidal effect of plant extract of neem seed

517   oil (*Azadirachta indica*) on egg, immature, and adult stages of *Hyalomma anatolicum*

518   excavatum (Ixodoidea: Ixodidae). *Vet Parasitol.* **106**, 89–96 (2002).

519   61.   Kraiss, H. & Cullen, E. M. Insect growth regulator effects of azadirachtin and neem oil

520   on survivorship, development and fecundity of *Aphis glycines* (Homoptera: Aphididae)

521   and its predator, *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *CRC Crit Rev Plant*

522   *Sci.* **64**, 660–668 (2008).

523   62.   Lynn, O. M., Kim, J. E. & Lee, K. Y. Effects of azadirachtin on the development and

524   gene expression of fifth instar larvae of Indianmeal moth, *Plodia interpunctella. J Asia*

525   *Pac Entomol.* **15**, 101–105 (2012).

526   63.   Koodalingam, A., Deepalakshmi, R., Ammu, M. & Rajalakshmi, A. Effects of

527   NeemAzal on marker enzymes and hemocyte phagocytic activity of larvae and pupae

528   of the vector mosquito *Aedes aegypti. J Asia Pac Entomol.* **17**, 175–181 (2014).

529   64.   Mordue (Luntz), A. J. & Blackwell, A. Azadirachtin: an update. *J Insect Physiol.* **39**,

530   903–924 (1993).

531   65.   Alouani, A., Rehimi, N. & Soltani, N. Larvicidal Activity of a Neem Tree Extract

532   (Azadirachtin) Against Mosquito Larvae in the Republic of Algeria. *Jordan J Biol Sci.*

533   **2**, 15–22 (2009).

534   66.   Lai, D., Jin, X., Wang, H., Yuan, M. & Xu, H. Gene expression profile change and

535   growth inhibition in *Drosophila* larvae treated with azadirachtin. *J Biotechnol.* **185**,

536   51–56 (2014).

537   67.   Arnason, J. T. *et al.* Antifeedant and insecticidal properties of azadirachtin to the

538   European corn borer, *Ostrinia nubilalis. Entomol Exp Appl.* **38**, 29–34 (1985).

539   68.   Schneider, M., Smagghe, C. & Viñuela, E. Comparative effects of several insect

540   growth regulators and spinosad on the different developmental stages of the

541   endoparasitoid *Hyposoter didymator* (Thunberg). *Pesticides and Beneficial*

542   *Organisms.* **27**, 13–19 (2004).

543   69. European Food Safety Authority. Conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk

544   assessment of the active substance azadirachtin. *EFSA Journal*. **9(3)**,1858 (2011).

545 **Acknowledgements**

552 **Author Contributions**

553 S.K.M. designed the experiment; M.F and R.B.B. performed the experiments; M.F. and

554 S.K.M analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript; S.K.M. and F.M.P reviewed and

555 approved the manuscript.

556 **Additional Information**

557 **Competing Interests**: The authors declare no competing interests.

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

592 indicate a significant difference between control and treated individuals of the same medium

593 (P<0.05). (m ± SE; n =15 replicates).

594 **Figure 6.** Effect of azadirachtin (LD_{25} and LD_{50}), topically applied on early third-instar

595 larvae of *D. melanogaster* on the adult's survival (male and female) of two generations tested

596 (p<0.05).

List of tables captions

598 **Table1**. Effect of larval exposure to azadirachtin on development of parental generation

599 (exposed) of *D. melanogaster*. Letters indicate a significant difference between the different

600 tested doses of the same medium for each stage of development (P<0.05). Different capital

601 letters indicate a significant difference same doses tested of different medium (P<0.05). (m ±

602 SE; n=15 replicates).

603 **Table2**. Effect of larval exposure to azadirachtin on development of first generation (non-

604 exposed) of *D. melanogaster*. Letters indicate a significant difference between the different

605 tested doses of the same medium for each stage of development (P<0.05). Different capital

606 letters indicate a significant difference same doses tested of different medium (P<0.05). (m ±

607 SE; n=15 replicates).

608
609
610

611

612

613

614

615

616

Table1. Effect of larval exposure to azadirachtin on development of parental generation (exposed) of *D. melanogaster*. Letters indicate a significant difference between the different tested doses of the same medium for each stage of development (P<0.05). Different capital letters indicate a significant difference same doses tested of different medium (P<0.05). (m ± SE; n=15 replicates).

Concentration	Larvae			Pupae			Imagoes		
	N° of individuals	T_{50} (h)	Malformations (%)	N° of individuals	T_{50} (h)	Malformations (%)	N° of individuals	Malformations (%)	FNO
Control Medium									
Control	93.73±1.31 A a	41.93±0.25 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	92.66±1.41 A a	150.86±1.43 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	90.8±1.48 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	0
DL$_{25}$	85.86±1.22 A b	49.8±0.63 A b	2.53±0.96 A b	79.4±1.37 A b	159.4±0.35 A b	1.53±0.70 A b	78.4±1.52 A b	17.86±2.65 A b	-13.41
DL$_{50}$	80.20±2.24 A b	60.93±0.61 A c	3.6±1.03 A b	75.06±2.50 A b	166.2±0.53 A c	4.4±2.53 A c	73.2±2.53 A c	20.33± 2.65 A b	-19,25
Medium treated with azadirachtin 0.1µg/ml									
Control	89.93±0.64 A a	42.06±0.61 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	86.26±1 A a	151.46±0.89 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	81.60±1.15 B a	0.0±0.0 A a	0
DL$_{25}$	79.93±1.27 A b	49.6±1.64 A b	4.06±0.93 B b	69.4±0.98 B b	160.13±0.50 A b	4.20±0.92 B b	67.4±1.1 B b	15.86± 2.06 A b	-17.08
DL$_{50}$	77.46±1.52 A b	62.53±1.68 A c	7.6±1.21 B c	66.93±0.81 B b	167.06±0.91 A c	3.73±0.72 A b	65.66±1.37 A c	16.13± 1.85 A b	-19.34
Medium treated with azadirachtin 0.25 µg/ml									
Control	80.13±1.74 B a	43.86±0.90 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	74.8±1.67 B a	150.8±0.75 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	73.53±1.93 C a	0.0±0.0 A a	0
DL$_{25}$	72.53±1.56 B b	54.13±1.10 B b	7.06±1.10 C b	63±1.48 B b	159.06±0.89 A b	9.06±0.97 C b	60.64±1.77 B b	19.4±2.15 A b	-17.31
DL$_{50}$	66.2±2.18 B b	61.6±0.98 A c	10.86±0.91 B c	54.13±1.85 C c	171.06±0.69 A c	11.86±1.07 B c	53.13±1.82 B b	24.13±1.76 B b	-26.94

623 **Table2**. Effect of larval exposure to azadirachtin on development of first generation (non-

624 exposed) of *D. melanogaster*. Letters indicate a significant difference between the different

625 tested doses of the same medium for each stage of development (P<0.05). Different capital

626 letters indicate a significant difference same doses tested of different medium (P<0.05). (m ±

627 SE; n=15 replicates).

Concentration	Larvae			Pupae			Imagoes		
	N° of individuals	T50 (h)	Malformations (%)	N° of individuals	T50 (h)	Malformations (%)	N° final organisms	Malformations (%)	FNO
Control Medium									
Control	97.53±0.80 A a	42.93± 0.46 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	97.2±0.80 A a	150.4±1.22 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	96.8±0.76 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	0
DL25	97.2±0.82 A a	43.73±0.85 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	96.26±0.94 A a	152.6±1.05 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	94.00±0.95 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	-2.85
DL50	97.73±0.85 A a	42.93±0.69 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	96.13±0.97 A a	152±0.80 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	93.93±1.17 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	-2.90
Medium treated with azadirachtin 0.1µg/ml									
Control	89.62±1.80 A a	43.06±0.50 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	87.86±1.84 B a	150.86±0.57 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	85.60±1.59 B a	0.0±0.0 A a	0
DL25	84.2±1.3 B a	42.6±0.77 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	82.4±1.37 B a	152.73±1.12 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	82.51±1.36 B a	0.0±0.0 A a	-4.44
DL50	84.60±1.32 B a	42.86±0.79 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	81.26±1.48 B a	151.86±1.19 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	80.86±1.57 B a	0.0±0.0 A a	-5.30
Medium treated with azadirachtin 0.25 µg/ml									
Control	86.53±1.97 A a	42.64±0.83 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	78.73±2.17 B a	149.46±0.94 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	78.73±2.17 B a	0.0±0.0 A a	0
DL25	83.66±1.73 B a	41.8±0.82 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	76.40±1.23 B a	150.33±0.71 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	73.2±1.48 C a	0.0±0.0 A a	-6.41
DL50`	78.8±1.52 B b	42±0.81 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	76.86±1.38 B a	151.4±0.60 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	73.73±1.55 B a	0.0±0.0 A a	-5.37