

# Transgenerational effects from single larval exposure to azadirachtin on life history and behavior traits of Drosophila melanogaster

Maroua Ferdenache, Radia - Bezzar-Bendjazia, Frédéric Marion-Poll, Samira Kilani-Morakchi

## ▶ To cite this version:

Maroua Ferdenache, Radia - Bezzar-Bendjazia, Frédéric Marion-Poll, Samira Kilani-Morakchi. Transgenerational effects from single larval exposure to azadirachtin on life history and behavior traits of Drosophila melanogaster. Scientific Reports, 2019, 9, pp.17015. 10.1038/s41598-019-53474-x . hal-03326740

## HAL Id: hal-03326740 https://agroparistech.hal.science/hal-03326740

Submitted on 26 Aug 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Transgenerational effects from single larval exposure to azadirachtin on life history and behavior traits of Drosophila melanogaster <sup>1, 2</sup> Ferdenache, M °., <sup>1</sup>Bezzar-Bendjazia, R., <sup>2,3</sup>Marion-Poll, F., <sup>1</sup>Kilani-Morakchi, S\*°. <sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Applied Animal Biology, Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Badji University of Annaba, 23000-Annaba, Algeria, <sup>2</sup>Evolution, Comportement, Ecologie. CNRS, IRD, Univ Paris-Sud. Université Paris-Saclay. F-91198 Gif-sur-Yvette, France, <sup>3</sup>AgroParisTech, Paris, France \*Corresponding author: Samira Kilani-Morakchi (samira.morakchi@univ-annaba.dz; samira.morakchi@gmail.com) Laboratory of Applied Animal Biology Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Badji Mokhtar University of Annaba, 23000-Annaba, Algeria. <sup>©</sup> Both authors contributed equally to this work and considered first authors. 

#### **Abstract**

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

Azadirachtin is one of the successful botanical pesticides in agricultural use with a broad-spectrum insecticide activity, but its possible transgenerational effects have not been the object of much attention. The effects of sublethal doses of azadirachtin on life-table traits and oviposition behaviour of a model organism in toxicological studies, D. melanogaster, were evaluated. The fecundity and oviposition preference of flies surviving to single azadirachtin-treated larvae of parental generation was adversely affected resulting in a reduction of number of eggs laid and increasing aversion to this compound over two successive generations. In parental generation, early exposure to azadirachtin affects adult's development by reducing the number of organisms, delayed larval and pupal development; male biased sex ratio and induced morphological alterations. Moreover, adult's survival of the two generations was significantly decreased as compared to the control. Therefore, Single preimaginal azadirachtin treatment can affect flies population dynamics via transgenerational reductions in survival and reproduction capacity as well as reinforcement of oviposition avoidance which can contribute as repellent strategies in integrated pest management programs. The transgenerational effects observed suggest a possible reduce both in application frequency and total amount of pesticide used, which would aid in reducing both control costs and possible ecotoxicological risks.

## 1. Introduction

The effect of insecticides and other toxicants on insects have been traditionally assessed using measures of the acute mortality as a single endpoint and have relied on the determination of the acute lethal dose/concentration<sup>1</sup>. However, in addition to the direct effect on lethality these compounds may also impair various key biological traits of the individuals that survive exposure through physiological and behavioral effects<sup>1,2</sup>. Among

physiological effects, developmental success, morphological deformities, adult longevity, sex ratio, fertility and fecundity are commonly estimated<sup>3,4</sup>. Behavioral effects may be manifested as impairment in insect mobility, learning ability, host finding, sexual communication as well as feeding and oviposition behavior<sup>5–10</sup>. An accurate assessment of these effects is crucial to acquire knowledge on the overall insecticide efficacy for long-term management of pest insect populations, as well as on their selectivity toward non-target species<sup>11</sup>. Indeed, when studying susceptibility of organisms towards insecticides, and beside the short term influences on the directly exposed individuals, it is important to take into account the entire life-history as a comprehensive method for evaluating the total effect on insect population, including the impacts on the next generation which have important implications for the success of an Integrated Pest Management (IPM) program<sup>2,4</sup>.

Today and among the insecticides used in sublethal effect studies, the botanical insecticides have been the subject of an increasing number of academic research as a potential option for an environment friendly pest management tools<sup>12,13</sup> due to their rapid degradation in environment, low mammalian toxicity, low risk of resistance development in target pest populations and good selectivity to non-target arthropods<sup>14–18</sup>. Azadirachtin (AZA), a natural tetranortriterpenoid compound extracted from the neem tree, *Azadirachta indica*<sup>19</sup>, is considered as one of the most promising plant compounds for pest control in organic agriculture<sup>14,20</sup>. AZA shows variable effects on insects including the model insect *Drosophila melanogaster*<sup>21,22</sup>. This triterpenoid acts as sterilant, insect growth regulators by disruption of the endocrine system, repellent, oviposition and feeding deterrent by activating bitter sensitive gustatory cells<sup>23,24</sup>. Larval exposure of *D. melanogaster* to sublethal doses of azadirachtin was found to affects various aspects of their physiology including digestive enzymes<sup>25</sup> and this effect is also further observed in the adults<sup>10</sup>. This pre-imaginal exposure

affects not only the physiology and the fitness of flies but also adults oviposition and feeding preference<sup>7,10</sup>.

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

Most studies concerning the sublethal effects of insecticides are related to continuously or repeated exposure. This exposure provokes a generalized stress and activating a detoxification response such as up-regulated of cytochrome P450 genes which my lead to the detoxification of insecticide and even the development of resistance<sup>26</sup>. Moreover, the upregulation is thought to provide versatility in environmental adaptation<sup>27</sup>. In botanical insecticide the potential fast desensitization to a feeding deterrent was reported<sup>28,29</sup>. Individual insects initially deterred by feeding inhibitor become increasingly tolerant due to repeated or continuous exposure<sup>29</sup>. Bomford and Isman<sup>15</sup> reported an habitation to pure azadirachtin in the tobacco cutworms which become less sensitive to the antifeedant properties of azadirachtin, but not to a neem containing a same absolute amount of azadirachtin. This might have an important implication to avoid desensitization to commercial neem-based insecticides which contains additional non AZA-compounds<sup>15</sup>. Larval exposure to Neem Azal, a commercial Azadirachtin-rich based formulation, was found to enhance avoidances of this compound in adults of D. melanogaster surviving from previously treated larvae 10,25. This long-lasting avoidance is related to conditioned aversion and may be related to another mechanism such as sensitization<sup>30,31</sup> which also generally occurs after long term or repeated exposure and may increase avoidance to noxious stimulus<sup>32</sup>. Moreover, increasing evidence has highlighted the critical role of early life experience in adult physiology and behavior in insect<sup>33</sup>. Recent studies have revealed that insect can modulate their behavior on the basis of previous experiences early life and that various insecticide-mediated changes in the directly exposed generation can persist into the subsequent non-exposed generations<sup>34,35</sup>. Previously, we focused on the impact of larval exposure to azadirachtin on adult's fitness (fecundity, survival) and oviposition site preference of the parental generation of *D. melanogaster* as model organism for testing insecticide activity<sup>7</sup>. Current study aimed to evaluated, the possible adverse effects of this prior single exposure to azadirachtin experienced by the preceding generations on life table and oviposition site preference of the filial generations. We monitored the oviposition site preference, fecundity, development, sex ratio, survival and morphological abnormalities of exposed and non-exposed generations. All these parameters were investigated over generations until their restoration to predict the outcome of azadirachtin use on pest management practices.

## **Results**

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

## Fecundity and oviposition site preference

- Azadirachtin, topically applied on the  $3^{rd}$  instar larvae (LD<sub>25</sub> and LD<sub>50</sub> of immature stages) affected fecundity of females by a significant reduction of the number of eggs laid as compared to controls (KW= 24.73; p < 0.001). This reduction was observed over two successive generations (parental and F1), however, the total eggs laid was higher in the unexposed generation (F1) than in parental (P) ones (KW= 50.89; p < 0.001) (Fig.1). Full
- restoration of affected fecundity was noted in the second generations (F2).
- Results of oviposition preference in the no choice experiments (Fig.1) revealed a clear
- preference for oviposition on untreated medium than in azadirachtin-treated ones.
- 117 For parental generation, Kruskal-Wallis test revealed significant effects in medium 0.1 μg/ml
- 118 (KW= 29.42; p < 0.001) and medium 0.25  $\mu$ g/ml (KW= 24.73; p < 0.001). In the first
- generation, a significant effect was also noted for medium 0.1  $\mu$ g/ml (KW= 22.95; p < 0.001)
- 120 and medium 0.25  $\mu$ g/ml (KW= 27, 93; p < 0.001).
- Results concerning the dual choice experiments (Fig. 2) revealed an oviposition preference
- for control medium than treated medium in all tested generations (P, F1 and F2).
- 123 Furthermore, flies previously exposed to azadirachtin (early 3<sup>rd</sup> instar larvae) showed a

124 highest aversion to this substance compared to naïve flies and led fewer eggs for the two first generations (P and F1) with a more marked effects for parental generation (P < 0.001). 125 126 The oviposition preference index (OPI) of adult females of *D. melanogaster* exposed, or not, 127 to azadirachtin at larval stage of parental generation were always negative in all generations 128 (Fig. 3). 129 In the generation P, statistical analysis showed significant differences between OPI of previously treated flies and controls flies with a dose-dependent response (fig. 3). In addition, 130 for medium 0.1 µg/ml, Mann-Whitney revealed significant effects between LD<sub>25</sub> of the 131 parental generation and the first generation (Mann-Whitney test U = 8; P < 0.001),  $LD_{25}$  of 132 133 parental and second generation (Mann-Whitney test U=20; P=0.0018) but there was no 134 difference between the first and the second generations (Mann-Whitney test U=42; 135 P=0.0887). Similar results were observed for the LD<sub>50</sub>, with significant effects observed 136 between the parental generation and the F1 (Mann-Whitney test U=19; P=0.0014), also 137 between P and F2 (Mann-Whitney test U=34; P=0.0284) but no difference between F1 and 138 F2 (Mann-Whitney test U=58; P=0.4428). For control, there was no difference between all 139 tested generations. 140 Similar results were obtained for medium 0.25 µg/ml, Mann-Whitney test revealed 141 significant effects between LD<sub>25</sub> of the parental generation and the first generation (Mann-Whitney test U = 25; P = 0.0045),  $LD_{25}$  of parental and second generation (Mann-Whitney 142 143 test U=24; P=0.0045) but there was no difference between the first and the second 144 generations (Mann-Whitney test U=66; P=0.5512). For the LD<sub>50</sub>, significant effects were 145 observed between the parental generation and the F2 (Mann-Whitney test U=25.50; P=0.0025), also between F1 and F2 (Mann-Whitney test U=34; P=0.0028) but no difference 146 147 was observed between F1 and P (Mann-Whitney test U=49; P=0.1974). There was no difference between controls for all generations. 148

## **Analyses of development**

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

Results from development analysis of D. melanogaster are given in tables 1 and 2, respectively for parental (exposed) and F1 (non-exposed) generation. Treatment of early third instar larvae at two tested doses (LD<sub>25</sub> and LD<sub>50</sub>) decreased the number of larvae, pupae and the final number of organisms of parental generation with a dose-dependent relationship as expressed by the FNO which is always negative for the treated series. The development of F<sub>1</sub> D. melanogaster doesn't seem to be affecting by the early treatment of the parental generation. However, the FNO of tested flies (LD<sub>25</sub>, LD<sub>50</sub> and control) in treated medium was significantly lower than in the control medium for both generations. There is no difference between the number of organisms reached the pupae stage and the final number of organism in both generations. In addition, treatment of early third instar larvae increased significantly (p<0.001) the duration of larval and pupal development as expressed by T<sub>50</sub>, with dosedependent manner only for the Parental generation (exposed) as compared to controls. There is no difference between the  $T_{50}$  of the tested flies in both treated and untreated medium. Larvae, pupae and imagoes of the parental generation showed several types of malformations and anomalies followed by death at each stage of development of *D. melanogaster*. The most prominent malformations detected are incomplete and malformed imagoes (malformed abdomen and wings), curved and smaller body shape, burned larvae, dead adults inside pupae (Fig.4). Pre-imaginal exposure of azadirachtin induced a male-biased sex ratio only for the parental generation with a dose-dependent relationship (Fig.5). Kruskal-Wallis test revealed significant effects between the different tested insect (Control, LD<sub>25</sub> and LD<sub>50</sub>) in untreated medium (KW = 9.30; p =0.0095), medium 0.1  $\mu$ g/ml (KW = 8.02; p < 0.0181) and medium  $0.25 \mu g/ml$  (KW= 18.85; p < 0.0001) for the parental generation.

## Survival analysis of adults

A survival analyses during the 15 first days of adults previously treated with azadirachtin as 3<sup>rd</sup> instars larvae (Fig.6) revealed a rapid reduction of adult surviving of the generation P (Male: Kaplan-Meier test,  $\chi 2 = 184$ , df = 2, P < 0.001; Female: Kaplan-Meier test,  $\chi 2 = 214$ , df = 2, P < 0.001). Lower mortality was noted for the generation F1 compared to parental. (Male: Kaplan-Meier test,  $\chi 2 = 39.1$ , df = 2, P < 0.001; Female: Kaplan-Meier test,  $\chi 2 = 63.1$ , df = 2, P < 0,001). Flies mortality was dose-dependent and the females were more affected by the treatment. For the control series no mortality was recorded for both tested generations. For the treated series, the lowest dose (LD<sub>25</sub>) decline the adult's survival to 49% for males and 36% for females of the P generation versus 94% for males and 84% for females of the F1 generation. The highest dose (LD<sub>50</sub>) induced more marked effects on adult's survival with 27% for males and 16% for females of the P generation and 81% for males and 64% in females for the F1 generation. Survival of 100% was noted for males and females of the F2 generation.

## **Discussion**

Azadirachtin impact on reproduction have been reported on different insect species<sup>21,41–45</sup>. Our study has demonstrated that a single azadirachtin treatment (LD<sub>25</sub>/LD<sub>50</sub>) of *D. melanogaster* larvae reduced eggs number affecting negatively the fecundity of surviving females, not only through direct sublethal effects in exposed individuals, but also through transgenerational effects on F1individuals that were never directly exposed to the insecticide. Oviposition is a complex and critical activity in the life cycle of an insect with a variety of factors that influence both physiology and subsequent behavior, that lead to egg deposition by an insect which tries to ensure safety to their progeny. Reduced fecundity and fertility after azadirachtin treatment has been reported in many insects including *Spodoptera littoralis*, *D. melanogaster*, *Galleria mellonella*, *Dysdercus cingulatus*, *Tuta absoluta* and

Helicoverpa armigera 17,41,43-46 and may be correlated to the negative action of azadirachtin 199 on volk protein synthesis and/or its uptake into oocytes<sup>21</sup>. 200 Ecdysteroids, JH and insulin/insulin-like growth factor signalling (IIS) regulation are crucial 201 for reproduction of D. melanogaster<sup>47</sup>. Vitellogenesis in females is stimulated under JH 202 203 action and led to oocytes development, JH synergic action with 20E and IIS controls the nutrient-sensitive checkpoint necessary for oocytes formation<sup>47</sup>. Consequently, reduced 204 205 fecundity may be related to the antagonist action of azadirachtin on major hormones 206 controlling the reproductive process (JH/ecdysteroids)<sup>7</sup>. In Anopheles stephensi, azadirachtin treatment led to abnormal ovaries structure with a 207 208 complete arrest of oogenesis, vitellogenesis and vitelline envelope formation impairment and follicle cells degeneration <sup>48</sup>. Ovaries of azadirachtin-treated females of *Heteracris littoralis* 209 also showed complete shrinkage with suppression of oocyte growth<sup>49</sup>, disintegration and 210 destruction in follicular cells and mitochondria<sup>49</sup>. In addition, Azadirachtin reduce mating 211 success in *D. melanogaster* flies and negatively affect cyst and oocyte numbers and size <sup>45</sup>. 212 Azadirachtin treatment also affect the amount of food intake in this species and digestive 213 enzyme activity in the midgut<sup>10</sup>, which may affect oogenesis and vitellogenesis since 214 ecdysone and JH rates are affected by nutrient availability which acts as positive regulator on 215 insulin pathway to confer to ovaries the signalling necessary for a normal oogenesis 50,51. 216 217 In addition, flies of all tested generations preferred control medium for oviposition avoiding 218 the azadirachtin ones for the two tested doses and conditions (no-choice and free choice). A 219 low oviposition rate of non-exposed (naïve) flies in azadirachtin-treated areas may be due to 220 the known repellent effect, deterrent effect and locomotor stimulation effect of azadirachtin and other neem based insecticides which were reported by Silva et al.<sup>52</sup> in medflies Ceratitis 221 capitata. Valencia-Botín et al.<sup>53</sup> also suggest that the repellent property of neem extracts is 222

the major factor responsible for the reduction of eggs numbers of *Anastrepha ludens* (Loew)<sup>53</sup>. The ovipository behavior inhibition may have a valuable impact in pest control.

In addition, flies who have already been treated (third instar larvae of P generation) showed an increased aversion to azadirachtin in comparison to the naïf flies and this for two successive generations (P and F1). When oviposition sites were treated with azadirachtin or other neem-based compounds, oviposition repellency, deterrency, or inhibition occurred in several insects' species which can detect the bioinsecticide on the treated surface<sup>7,14,43,54,55</sup>. The capacity of insects to retain memory from early life exposure affecting the adult response was reported <sup>38,56–58</sup>. In *D. melanogaster* females avoid oviposition on sites containing azadirachtin after larval exposure to the bio-insecticide<sup>7</sup>.

Here, we have reported for the first time that the negative effects of a single larval exposure to azadirachtin can also be passed on to the F1 generation (transgenerational effects). Environmental toxicants such as insecticide are able to provoke epigenetic alterations which can be inherited to next generations<sup>59</sup>. This may explain the reduced fecundity and oviposition avoidance in our non-exposed generation (F1).

Our study has also demonstrated that azadirachtin applied during the third larval instar of Parental generation ( $LD_{25}$  and  $LD_{50}$ ) can negatively affect various life traits of D. *melanogaster*, with a dose-dependent manner, by significantly reducing, larval, pupation and emergence rate of the exposed generation. The biopesticide also significantly prolong the larval and pupation period of development inducing an important delays in immature stages development and affect sex ratio (with fewer females in the offspring) of the same generation. Additionally, the treatment induced morphological alterations of larvae, pupae and adults only on the exposed generation (P generation). The most prominent abnormalities are burned larvae, larva-pupa intermediate, pupa-adult intermediate, deformed wings, smaller

body size and deformed abdomen. The recorded malformations finally result to insect dead.

Similar results were noted in *D. melanogaster*<sup>37</sup>, *Hyalomma anatolicum excavatum*<sup>60</sup> and *Spodoptera litura*<sup>22</sup>. Finally, a reducing of adult's survival was noted for the two successive generations with more marked effects among the P generation.

Azadirachtin is known to reduce pupation and eclosion rates of many insects like *Aphis glycines*<sup>61</sup>, *Plodia interpunctella*<sup>62</sup>, *Aedes aegypti*<sup>63</sup> and *D. melanogaster*<sup>21</sup>. A negative impact of azadirachtin on the immature stages was expected in view of its insect's growth disruptor (IGD) action by suppressing haemolymph ecdysteroid and JH peaks<sup>25,64</sup>. Furthermore, azadirachtin is known to cause nucleus degeneration in the different endocrine glands (prothoracic gland, *corpus allatum* and *corpus cardiacum*) controlling insects moulting and ecdysis which may act as generalised disruption of neuroendocrine system<sup>24</sup>. Azadirachtin by altering the growth and molting process of several insects compromise their survival<sup>7,20,43,65,66</sup>. Lai *et al.*<sup>67</sup> reported that azadirachtin down regulated expression of different genes linked to hormonal regulation which may explain the developmental aberrations observed in our results. Azadirachtin also affect *Drosophila* nutrient intake and metabolism compromising the nutritional signals which result in a decrease in insect weights and growth rates resulting in smaller body size and impacting survival<sup>10,25,37,66</sup>. The male biased sex ratio under azadirachtin treatment was also reported in literature<sup>67,68</sup>.

In summary, the present study indicated that pre-imaginal exposure to sublethal doses of azadirachtin would affect the fecundity, oviposition preference and survival of *D. melanogaster* of parent generation as well as the F1 non exposed generation. The treatment would also trigger life history traits variation at the P generation.

Results demonstrate that a single azadirachtin application can significantly reduce the survival of flies over two successive generations (P: exposed and F1: unexposed) while insects showed clear recovery in the survival rates in the second generation (F2). These

272 findings reflect a long term and delayed effects through developmental stage and generations. 273 This consistent effect over the two first generations may be considered as advantage on pest control by compensate the well known fast degradation by sunlight and low persistence of 274 azadirachtin in environment (half-life DT<sub>50</sub>: 1.7- 25d)<sup>23, 69</sup> and suggest a possible reduce both 275 276 in application frequency and total amount of pesticide used. 277 Moreover, the decreased fecundity and survival in P and F1 generations indicated an absence of induction of the resurgence in offspring, even after full restoration in F2, when parental 278 279 flies were treated translating an absence of hermetic effect, which is considered as serious 280 problem of exposure to sublethal doses in agriculture. 281 In addition, the treatment increases the aversive effect induced by azadirachtin over two 282 successive generations which may contribute as push-pull strategies increasing its insecticidal

## Material and methods

Flies

283

284

285

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

- 286 Wild-type Canton-S strain of D. melanogaster flies were reared on artificial fly food
- 287 (cornmeal/agar/yeast) at 25°C, 70% humidity and 12D-12 L cycle<sup>10</sup>.

effects in integrated pest management programs.

#### 288 Treatment

Neem Azal-TS (1 % azadirachtin A, Trifolio-M GmbH, Lahnau, Germany ) was solubilised in acetone for topical application (1  $\mu$ l/ larvae according to Bensebaa et al.<sup>36</sup>). The bioinsecticide was applied on *D. melanogaster* early third-instar larvae using two lethal doses of immature stages, 0.28  $\mu$ g (LD<sub>25</sub>) and 0.67  $\mu$ g (LD<sub>50</sub>)<sup>37</sup>. Controls received 1 $\mu$ l acetone (solvent) and all flies were kept under the same conditions as cited above. All experiments were performed over two consecutive generations, the exposed (parental generation: P) and non-exposed (first generation: F1) generation.

## Fecundity and oviposition site preference

We assessed the egg-laying performances of the females of D. melanogaster using a no-choice test. Three mated females (3 days old) that were pre-exposed to azadirachtin at the larval stage ( $LD_{25}$  and  $LD_{50}$ ) were tested for 24 h in a petri dish ( $\emptyset$ =65mm) filled with 3 ml medium containing azadirachtin at two concentrations 0.1 and 0.25 µg/ml according to Bezzar-Bendjazia et al.<sup>37</sup>in addition to acetone as control medium. These concentrations were not lethal with the short exposure time (24 h) used. At the end of the test, flies were removed, and the number of eggs laid on each medium was counted. The control medium was used to test the possible effect of azadirachtin on female fecundity. The experiment was repeated 12 times for each medium and each generation. Oviposition site preference was measured by means of dual choice experiments. Three fertilized females (3 days old) from controls and treated series ( $LD_{25}$  and  $LD_{50}$ ) were allowed to oviposit for 24h in a free choice egg-laying device. This device consisted of a two petri dishes either filled with control medium (acetone) or treated medium (azadirachtin: 0.1, 0.25 µg/ml). After 24h, the egg-laying preference was assessed by counting the number of eggs laid in each medium. The test was performed for two successive generations with 12 replicates for each medium and generation.

Oviposition preference index (OPI) defined as (number of eggs on azadirachtin medium – number of eggs on control medium)/total number of eggs was calculated<sup>38</sup>.

## **Development assays**

Ten controls or pre-exposed ( $LD_{25}$  and  $LD_{50}$ ) mated females (3 days old), named parental generation, were released into an oviposition box containing petri dishes filled with control (acetone) or treated medium (azadirachtin: 0.1 or 0.25 µg/ml) and left to lay eggs for 8 hours. At the end of the test, the flies were removed and a pool of 100 eggs for each experiment was transferred to a new petri dish containing the same medium. For all groups, we monitored the

time course of larval development from egg to adult emergence by counting the number of third instar larvae, pupae, imagoes and their sex ratio, expressed as the number of males divided by the total number of emerged insects.

Next, ten parental flies from each condition (controls or treated) were crossed and the experiments were repeated for the non-exposed first generation (F1) as cited above with the same parameters recorded.

Furthermore, the developmental duration of each stage was recorded for the two tested generations expressed by  $T_{50}$  (time in hours, when 50% of population reached larval, pupal and imaginal developmental stage in vials). All insects were observed under stereo zoom microscope to find any morphological distortions and photographs were taken with Leica Z16 APO.

A factor describing the final number of organisms in comparison to control (FNO) according to Ventrella et al.<sup>39</sup> was determined to compare the results:

$$FNO = \frac{T - C}{C} \times 100$$

T = final number of organisms counted in treated medium.

C = final number of organisms counted in control medium.

Positive values of FNO show that number of organisms was higher in tested groups than within control, negative values mean that the number of individuals was higher in control than in exposed groups.

### Survival analysis of adults

Survival analysis was performed according to Linford et al.<sup>40</sup>. For each generation (P: exposed (LD<sub>25</sub> and LD<sub>50</sub>), F1: non-exposed) newly emerged adults were sexed and housed separately into a plastic vials (15 flies per vial) containing fresh food. Insects were transferred

to new vial every 2 days. The flies were kept under observation for 15 days during which mortality was assessed every 24h. Ten replicates were done for each dose and generation.

## Statistical analyses

- Data analysis was performed by R studio version 3.5.0 for Mac OS. The results were expressed as the means ± SE of each series of experiments. The homogeneity of variances was checked using Bartlett's test. The Shapiro-Wilk statistic test was used for testing the normality.

  Data from egg-laving preference and oviposition index preference was subjected to Kruskal—
- Data from egg-laying preference and oviposition index preference was subjected to Kruskal—Wallis test and pairwise multiple comparisons using Dunn's method. Development test were analysed with ANOVA followed by a post-hoc HSD Tukey test. Sex ratio was analysed using Kruskal—Wallis test and the FNO was calculated and shown. The results of the survival analysis were subjected to Kaplan—Meier survival test.

355

356

345

346

347

348

349

## References

- Desneux, N., Decourtye, A. & Delpuech, J.-M. The Sublethal Effects of Pesticides on
   Beneficial Arthropods. *Annu Rev Entomol.* 52, 81–106 (2007).
- 359 2. Müller, C. Impacts of sublethal insecticide exposure on insects Facts and knowledge gaps. *Basic Appl Ecol.* **30**, 1439-1791 (2018).
- 361 3. Rodríguez Enríquez, C.-L., Pineda, S., Figueroa, J. I., Schneider, M.-I. & Martínez,
- A.-M. Toxicity and Sublethal Effects of Methoxyfenozide on Spodoptera exigua
- 363 (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Annu Rev Entomol.* **103**, 662–667 (2010).
- 4. Ali, E. *et al.* Sublethal effects of buprofezin on development and reproduction in the white-backed planthopper, *Sogatella furcifera* (Hemiptera: Delphacidae). *Sci Rep.* **7**, 16913; 10.1038/s41598-017-17190-8 (2017).
- 5. El Hassani, A. K. et al. Effects of sublethal doses of acetamiprid and thiamethoxam on

- 368 the behavior of the honeybee (*Apis mellifera*). Arch Environ Contam Toxicol. **54**, 653–
- 369 661 (2008).
- 370 6. Xavier, V. M. et al. Acute toxicity and sublethal effects of botanical insecticides to
- 371 honey bees. *J Insect Sci.* **15**, 137 (2015).
- 372 7. Bezzar-Bendjazia, R., Kilani-Morakchi, S. & Aribi, N. Larval exposure to azadirachtin
- 373 affects fitness and oviposition site preference of *Drosophila melanogaster*. Pestic
- 374 *Biochem Physiol.* **133**, 85–90 (2016).
- 375 8. Navarro-Roldán, M. A. & Gemeno, C. Sublethal Effects of Neonicotinoid Insecticide
- on Calling Behavior and Pheromone Production of Tortricid Moths. *J Chem Ecol.* **43**,
- 377 881–890 (2017).
- 378 9. Tappert, L., Pokorny, T., Hofferberth, J. & Ruther, J. Sublethal doses of imidacloprid
- disrupt sexual communication and host finding in a parasitoid wasp. *Sci Rep.* **7**, 42756;
- 380 10.1038/srep42756 (2017).
- 381 10. Kilani-Morakchi, S., Bezzar-Bendjazia, R., Ferdenache, M. & Aribi, N. Preimaginal
- 382 exposure to azadirachtin affects food selection and digestive enzymes in adults of
- 383 Drosophila melanogaster (Diptera: Drosophilidae). Pestic Biochem Physiol. 140, 58–
- 384 64 (2017).
- 385 11. De França, S. M., Breda, M. O., Barbosa, D. R. S., Araujo, A. M. N. & Guede, C. A.
- The Sublethal Effects of Insecticides in Insects. *Biological Control of Pest and Vector*
- 387 (*ed* Shields, V.D.C.) 23-40 (InTech, 2017).
- 388 12. Isman, M. B. Botanical Insecticides, Deterrents, and Repellents in Modern Agriculture
- and an Increasingly Regulated World. *Annu Rev Entomol.* **51**, 45–66 (2006).
- 390 13. Hikal, W. M., Baeshen, R. S. & Said-Al Ahl, H. A. H. Botanical insecticide as simple
- extractives for pest control. *Cogent Biol.* **3**, 1–16 (2017).
- 392 14. Schmetterer, G. R. Sequence conservation among the glucose transporter from the

- 393 cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 and mammalian glucose transporters. J
- 394 *Plant Mol Biol Biotechnol.* **14**, 697–706 (1990).
- 395 15. Bomford, M. K. & Isman, M. B. Desensitization of fifth instar Spodoptera litura to
- 396 azadirachtin and neem. *Entomol Exp Appl.* **81**, 307–313 (1996).
- 397 16. Greenberg, S. M., Showler, A. T. & Liu, T. X. Effects of neem-based insecticides on
- beet armyworm (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Insect Sci.* **12**, 17–23 (2005).
- 399 17. Ahmad, S., Ansari, M. S. & Moraiet, M. A. Demographic changes in Helicoverpa
- 400 armigera after exposure to neemazal (1% EC azadirachtin). Crop Prot. 50, 30–36
- 401 (2013).
- 402 18. Khan, N. et al. Determination of minor and trace elements in aromatic spices by
- 403 micro-wave assisted digestion and inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry.
- 404 Food Chem. **158**, 200–206 (2014).
- 405 19. Mordue(Luntz), A. J. & Nisbet, A. J. Azadirachtin from the neem tree Azadirachta
- 406 indica: its action against insects. Anais da Sociedade Entomológica do Brasil. 29, 615–
- 407 632 (2000).
- 408 20. Hasan, F. & Shafiq Ansari, M. Toxic effects of neem-based insecticides on *Pieris*
- 409 brassicae (Linn.). Crop Prot. **30**, 502–507 (2011).
- 410 21. Boulahbel, B., Aribi, N., Kilani-Morakchi, S. & Soltani, N. Insecticidal activity of
- 411 azadirachtin on *Drosophila melanogaster* and recovery of normal status by exogenous
- 412 20-Hydroxyecdysone. *Afr Entomol.* **23**, 224–233 (2015).
- 413 22. Shu, B. et al. Azadirachtin affects the growth of Spodoptera litura Fabricius by
- inducing apoptosis in larval midgut. Front Physiol. 9, 137; 10.3389/fphys.2018.00137
- 415 (2018).
- 416 23. Mordue (Luntz), A. J., Morgan, E. D. & Nisbet, A. J. Azadirachtin, a Natural Product
- 417 in Insect Control. Comprehensive Molecular Insect Science 117–135 (2005).

- 418 24. Weiss, L. A., Dahanukar, A., Kwon, J. Y., Banerjee, D. & Carlson, J. R. The
- 419 molecular and cellular basis of bitter taste in *Drosophila*. Neuron **69**, 258–272 (2011).
- 420 25. Bezzar-Bendjazia, R., Kilani-Morakchi, S., Maroua, F. & Aribi, N. Azadirachtin
- induced larval avoidance and antifeeding by disruption of food intake and digestive
- 422 enzymes in *Drosophila melanogaster* (Diptera: Drosophilidae). *Pestic Biochem*
- 423 *Physiol.* **143**, 135–140 (2017).
- 424 26. Liang, X. et al. Insecticide-mediated up-regulation of cytochrome P450 genes in the
- red flour beetle (*Tribolium castaneum*). *Int J Mol Sci.* **16**, 2078–2098 (2015).
- 426 27. Terriere, L. Induction of Detoxication Enzymes in Insects. *Annu Rev Entomol.* **29**, 71–
- 427 88 (1984).
- 428 28. Akhtar, Y., Rankin, C. H. & Isman, M. B. Decreased response to feeding deterrents
- following prolonged exposure in the larvae of a generalist herbivore, *Trichoplusia ni*
- 430 (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *J Insect Behav.* **16**, 811–831 (2003).
- 431 29. Koul, O. & Walia, S. Comparing impacts of plant extracts and pure allelochemicals
- and implications for pest control. CAB Rev Perspect Agric Vet Sci Nutr Nat Resour. 4,
- 433 049 (2009).
- 434 30. Minoli, S. et al. Brief exposure to sensory cues elicits stimulus-nonspecific general
- 435 sensitization in an insect. *PLoS ONE*. **7 (3)**, e34141 (2012).
- 436 31. Crook, R. J., Dickson, K., Hanlon, R. T. & Walters, E. T. Nociceptive sensitization
- 437 reduces predation risk. *Curr Biol.* **24**, 1121–1125 (2014).
- 438 32. Walters, E. T., Illich, P. A., Weeks, J. C. & Lewin, M. R. Defensive responses of
- larval Manduca sexta and their sensitization by noxious stimuli in the laboratory and
- 440 field. J Exp Biol. **204**, 457–69 (2001).
- 441 33. Caubet, Y., Jaisson, P. & Lenoir, A. Preimaginal Induction of Adult Behaviour in
- 442 Insects. *Q J Exp Psychol Sect.* **44**, 165–178 (1992).

- 443 34. da Costa, J. T. et al. Effects of different formulations of neem oil-based products on
- 444 control Zabrotes subfasciatus (Boheman, 1833) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) on beans. J
- 445 Stored Prod Res. **56**, 49–53 (2014).
- 446 35. Müller, T., Prosche, A. & Müller, C. Sublethal insecticide exposure affects
- reproduction, chemical phenotype as well as offspring development and antennae
- 448 symmetry of a leaf beetle. *Environ Pollut.* **230**, 709–717 (2017).
- 449 36. Bensebaa, F., Kilani-Morakchi, S., Aribi, N. & Soltani, N. Evaluation of pyriproxyfen,
- a juvenile hormone analog, on *Drosophila melanogaster* (Diptera: Drosophilidae):
- Insecticidal activity, ecdysteroid contents and cuticle formation. Eur J Entomol. 112,
- 452 625–631 (2015).
- 453 37. Bezzar-bendjazia, R., Kilani-morakchi, S. & Aribi, N. Growth and molting disruption
- effects of azadirachtin against *Drosophila melanogaster* (Diptera: Drosophilidae ).
- 455 *Pestic Biochem Physiol.* **4**, 363–368 (2016).
- 456 38. Flaven-Pouchon, J. et al. Transient and permanent experience with fatty acids changes
- 457 *Drosophila melanogaster* preference and fitness. *PLoS ONE.* **9**, **e92352** (2014).
- 458 39. Ventrella, E. et al. Solanum tuberosum and Lycopersicon esculentum Leaf Extracts
- and Single Metabolites Affect Development and Reproduction of Drosophila
- 460 *melanogaster. PLoS ONE.* **11**, e0155958 (2016).
- 461 40. Linford, N. J., Bilgir, C., Ro, J. & Pletcher, S. D. Measurement of lifespan in
- 462 *Drosophila melanogaster. J Vis Exp.* **7**, 50068 (2013).
- 463 41. Pineda, S. et al. Influence of Azadirachtin and Methoxyfenozide on Life Parameters of
- 464 Spodoptera littoralis (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). J Econ Entomol. 102, 1490–1496
- 465 (2009).
- 466 42. Tine, S., Aribi, N. & Soltani, N. Laboratory evaluation of azadirachtin against the
- oriental cockroach, *Blatta orientalis* L. (Dictyoptera, Blattellidae): Insecticidal activity

- and reproductive effects. *African J Biotechnol.* **10**, 19816–19824 (2012).
- 469 43. Tomé, H. V. V. et al. Azadirachtin avoidance by larvae and adult females of the
- 470 tomato leafminer *Tuta absoluta*. *Crop Prot.* **46**, 63–69 (2013).
- 471 44. Er, A., Taşkıran, D. & Sak, O. Azadirachtin-induced effects on various life history
- traits and cellular immune reactions of *Galleria mellonella* (Lepidoptera: Pyralidae).
- 473 *Arch Biol Sci.* **69**, 335–344 (2017).
- 474 45. Oulhaci, C. M., Denis, B. & Kilani-morakchi, S. Azadirachtin effects on mating
- success, gametic abnormalities and progeny survival in *Drosophila melanogaster*
- 476 (Diptera). Pest Manag Sci. **74**, 174-180 (2018).
- 477 46. Pandey.R.K. & Tiwari. J.P. Neem based insecticides interactionwith development and
- fecundity of red cotton bug, Dysdercus cingulatus fab. Int J Agric Biol Res. 6, 335–
- 479 346 (2011).
- 480 47. Toivonen, J. M. & Partridge, L. Endocrine regulation of aging and reproduction in
- 481 Drosophila. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* **299**, 39–50 (2009).
- 482 48. Lucantoni, L. et al. Effects of a neem extract on blood feeding, oviposition and oocyte
- 483 ultrastructure in *Anopheles stephensi* Liston (Diptera: Culicidae). *Tissue and Cell.* **38**,
- 484 361–371 (2006).
- 485 49. Ghazawi, N. A., El-Shranoubi, E. D., El-Shazly, M. M. & Abdel Rahman, K. M.
- Effects of azadirachtin on mortality rate and reproductive system of the grasshopper
- 487 Heteracris littoralis Ramb. (Orthoptera: Acrididae). J Orthoptera Res. 16, 57–65
- 488 (2007).
- 489 50. Badisco, L., Van Wielendaele, P. V. & Broeck, J. Vanden. Eat to reproduce: A key
- role for the insulin signaling pathway in adult insects. Front Physiol. 4, 202;
- 491 10.3389/fphys.2013.00202 (2013).
- 492 51. Jeong, E. B., Jeong, S. S., Cho, E. & Kim, E. Y. Makorin 1 is required for *Drosophila*

- 493 oogenesis by regulating insulin/Tor signaling. *PLoS ONE.* **14**, e0215688 (2019).
- 494 52. Silva, M.A, Bezerra-Silva, G.C.D., Vendramim J.D. & Mastrangelo T. Inhibition of
- 495 oviposition by neem extract: A behavioral perspective for the control of the
- 496 mediterranean fruit fly (Diptera: Terphritidae). Fla Entomol. 95(2), 333–337 (2012).
- 497 53. Valencia-Botin, A.J., Bautista-Martinez, N. & Lopez-Buenfil, J.A. Uso de extractos de
- Nim, Azadirachta indica A. Juss, en la oviposicion de la mosca Mexicana de la fruta
- Anastrepha ludens Loew (Diptera: Tephritidae) en naranja Valencia. Fitosanidad. 8, 57
- 500 -59 (2004).
- 501 54. Dhar R, Dawar H, Garg S, Basir SF & Talwar GP. Effect of volatiles from neem and
- other natural products on gonotrophic cycle and oviposition of *Anopheles stephensi*
- and Anopheles culicifacies (Diptera: Culicidae). J Med Entomol. 33, 195–201 (1996).
- 504 55. Cordeiro, E. M. G., Corrêa, A. S., Venzon, M. & Guedes, R. N. C. Insecticide survival
- and behavioral avoidance in the lacewings Chrysoperla externa and Ceraeochrysa
- 506 cubana. Chemosphere. **81**, 1352–1357 (2010).
- 507 56. Dukas, R. Evolutionary biology of insect learning. Annu Rev Entomol. 53, 145-60
- 508 (2008).
- 509 57. Blackiston, D. J., Casey, E. S. & Weiss, M. R. Retention of memory through
- metamorphosis: Can a moth remember what it learned as a caterpillar?. *PLoS ONE.* **3**,
- 511 e1736 (2008).
- 512 58. Gerber, B., Stocker, R. F., Tanimura, T. & Thum, A. S. Smelling, Tasting, Learning:
- Drosophila as a Study Case. *Results Probl Cell Differ*. 47,139-85 (2009).
- 514 59. Vandegehuchte, M. B. & Janssen, C. R. Epigenetics and its implications for
- 515 ecotoxicology. *Ecotoxicology*. **20**, 607–624 (2011).
- 516 60. Abdel-Shafy, S. & Zayed, A. A. In vitro acaricidal effect of plant extract of neem seed
- oil (Azadirachta indica) on egg, immature, and adult stages of Hyalomma anatolicum

- excavatum (Ixodoidea: Ixodidae). Vet Parasitol. 106, 89–96 (2002).
- 519 61. Kraiss, H. & Cullen, E. M. Insect growth regulator effects of azadirachtin and neem oil
- on survivorship, development and fecundity of *Aphis glycines* (Homoptera: Aphididae)
- and its predator, *Harmonia axyridis* (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). *CRC Crit Rev Plant*
- 522 *Sci.* **64**, 660–668 (2008).
- 523 62. Lynn, O. M., Kim, J. E. & Lee, K. Y. Effects of azadirachtin on the development and
- gene expression of fifth instar larvae of Indianmeal moth, *Plodia interpunctella*. J Asia
- 525 *Pac Entomol.* **15**, 101–105 (2012).
- 526 63. Koodalingam, A., Deepalakshmi, R., Ammu, M. & Rajalakshmi, A. Effects of
- NeemAzal on marker enzymes and hemocyte phagocytic activity of larvae and pupae
- of the vector mosquito Aedes aegypti. J Asia Pac Entomol. 17, 175–181 (2014).
- 529 64. Mordue (Luntz), A. J. & Blackwell, A. Azadirachtin: an update. J Insect Physiol. 39,
- 530 903–924 (1993).
- 531 65. Alouani, A., Rehimi, N. & Soltani, N. Larvicidal Activity of a Neem Tree Extract
- 532 (Azadirachtin) Against Mosquito Larvae in the Republic of Algeria. *Jordan J Biol Sci.*
- **2**, 15–22 (2009).
- 534 66. Lai, D., Jin, X., Wang, H., Yuan, M. & Xu, H. Gene expression profile change and
- growth inhibition in *Drosophila* larvae treated with azadirachtin. *J Biotechnol.* **185**,
- 536 51–56 (2014).
- 537 67. Arnason, J. T. et al. Antifeedant and insecticidal properties of azadirachtin to the
- European corn borer, *Ostrinia nubilalis*. *Entomol Exp Appl.* **38**, 29–34 (1985).
- 539 68. Schneider, M., Smagghe, C. & Viñuela, E. Comparative effects of several insect
- growth regulators and spinosad on the different developmental stages of the
- endoparasitoid Hyposoter didymator (Thunberg). Pesticides and Beneficial
- 542 *Organisms.* **27**, 13–19 (2004).

543	69. European Food Safety Authority. Conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk
544	assessment of the active substance azadirachtin. EFSA Journal. 9(3),1858 (2011).
545	Acknowledgements
546	This research was supported by the National Fund for Scientific Research of Algeria
547	(Laboratory of Applied Animal Biology to Prof. N. Soltani) and by the Ministry of Higher
548	Education and Scientific Research of Algeria (CNEPRU project D01N01UN23012014106 to
549	Prof. S. Kilani-Morakchi). MF was supported by a grant from the French government in
550	association with Campus France (Algerian-French Bilateral cooperation PROFAS B+
551	scholarship) and the doctoral school ABIES.
552	<b>Author Contributions</b>
553	S.K.M. designed the experiment; M.F and R.B.B. performed the experiments; M.F. and
554	S.K.M analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript; S.K.M. and F.M.P reviewed and
555	approved the manuscript.
556	Additional Information
557	Competing Interests: The authors declare no competing interests.
558	
559	
560	
561	
562	
563	
564	
565	
566	
567	

## List of figure captions

568

**Figure 1.** Effect of azadirachtin (LD<sub>25</sub> and LD<sub>50</sub>), topically applied on early third instars 569 570 larvae of D. melanogaster on fecundity of females (number of eggs laid) subjected to non-571 choice experiments (m  $\pm$  SE; n =12 replicates of 3 flies). Different small letters indicate a 572 significant difference between control and treated individuals of the same medium (P<0.05). 573 Different capital letters indicate a significant difference between generations of the same 574 medium (P<0.05). 575 **Figure 2.** Egg-laying preference (m  $\pm$  SE; n=12 replicates) of female adults of D. 576 melanogaster subjected to a free-choice test on food treated with azadirachtin at two doses 577 (0.1µg/ml and 0, 25µg/ml). Different small letters indicate a significant difference between 578 control and treated individuals of medium untreated and treated (P<0.05). Different capital 579 letters indicate a significant difference between individuals of the same dose in the different 580 medium (P<0.05). 581 **Figure 3.** Oviposition preference index (m  $\pm$  SE; n=12 replicates) of female adults of D. melanogaster subjected to a free-choice test on food treated with azadirachtin at two doses 582 583 (A: 0, 1 µg/ml; B: 0, 25µg/ml). Different small letters indicate a significant difference 584 between the same dose of different generations (P<0.05). Different capital letters indicate a 585 significant difference between difference tested doses of the same generation (P<0.05). 586 **Figure 4.** Examples of the most frequent malformations of *D. melanogaster* (n=50). A) 587 Malformed abdomen and wings curved and smaller body shape; B) dead adults inside pupae; C) malformed adult; D) burned larvae. 588 589 **Figure 5.** Effect of azadirachtin (LD<sub>25</sub> and LD<sub>50</sub>), topically applied on early third instars larvae of D. melanogaster on sex ratio of adults emerged. Different small letters indicate a 590 591 significant difference between generations of the same medium (P<0.05). Capital letters

indicate a significant difference between control and treated individuals of the same medium (P<0.05). (m  $\pm$  SE; n =15 replicates). **Figure 6.** Effect of azadirachtin (LD<sub>25</sub> and LD<sub>50</sub>), topically applied on early third-instar larvae of *D. melanogaster* on the adult's survival (male and female) of two generations tested (p<0.05). List of tables captions **Table1**. Effect of larval exposure to azadirachtin on development of parental generation (exposed) of *D. melanogaster*. Letters indicate a significant difference between the different tested doses of the same medium for each stage of development (P<0.05). Different capital letters indicate a significant difference same doses tested of different medium (P<0.05). (m ± SE; n=15 replicates). Table 2. Effect of larval exposure to azadirachtin on development of first generation (nonexposed) of *D. melanogaster*. Letters indicate a significant difference between the different tested doses of the same medium for each stage of development (P<0.05). Different capital letters indicate a significant difference same doses tested of different medium (P<0.05). (m ± SE; n=15 replicates). 

**Table1**. Effect of larval exposure to azadirachtin on development of parental generation (exposed) of *D. melanogaster*. Letters indicate a significant difference between the different tested doses of the same medium for each stage of development (P<0.05). Different capital letters indicate a significant difference same doses tested of different medium (P<0.05). (m  $\pm$  SE; n=15 replicates).

Concentration	Larvae			Pupae			Imagoes				
	N° of individuals	T <sub>50</sub> (h)	Malformations (%)	N° of individuals	T <sub>50</sub> (h)	Malformations (%)	N° of individuals	Malformations (%)	FNO		
Control Medium											
Control	93.73±1.31 A a	41.93±0.25 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	92.66±1.41 A a	150.86±1.43 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	90.8±1.48 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	0		
$\mathrm{DL}_{25}$	85.86±1.22 A b	49.8±0.63 A b	2.53±0.96 A b	79.4±1.37 A b	159.4±0.35 A b	1.53±0.70 A b	78.4±1.52 A b	17.86±2.65 A b	-13.41		
$\mathrm{DL}_{50}$	80.20±2.24 A b	60.93±0.61 A c	3.6±1.03 A b	75.06±2.50 A b	166.2±0.53 A c	4.4±2.53 A c	73.2±2.53 A c	20.33± 2.65 A b	-19,25		
Medium treated with azadirachtin 0.1μg/ml											
Control	89.93±0.64 A a	42.06±0.61 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	86.26±1 A a	151.46±0.89 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	81.60±1.15 B a	0.0±0.0 A a	0		
$\mathrm{DL}_{25}$	79.93±1.27 A b	49.6±1.64 A b	4.06±0.93 B b	69.4±0.98 B b	160.13±0.50 A b	4.20±0.92 B b	67.4±1.1 B b	15.86± 2.06 A b	-17.08		
$\mathrm{DL}_{50}$	77.46±1.52 A b	62.53±1.68 A A c	7.6±1.21 B c	66.93±0.81 B b	167.06±0.91 A c	3.73±0.72 A b	65.66±1.37 A c	16.13± 1.85 A b	-19.34		
Medium treated with azadirachtin 0.25 $\mu g/ml$											
Control	80.13±1.74 B a	43.86±0.90 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	74.8±1.67 B a	150.8±0.75 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	73.53±1.93 C a	0.0±0.0 A a	0		
$\mathrm{DL}_{25}$	72.53±1.56 B b	54.13±1.10 B b	7.06±1.10 C b	63±1.48 B b	159.06±0.89 A b	9.06±0.97 C b	60.64±1.77 B b	19.4±2.15 A b	-17.31		
$\mathrm{DL}_{50}$	66.2±2.18 B b	61.6±0.98 A c	10.86±0.91 B c	54.13±1.85 C c	171.06±0.69 A c	11.86±1.07 B c	53.13±1.82 B b	24.13±1.76 B b	-26.94		

**Table2**. Effect of larval exposure to azadirachtin on development of first generation (non-exposed) of *D. melanogaster*. Letters indicate a significant difference between the different tested doses of the same medium for each stage of development (P<0.05). Different capital letters indicate a significant difference same doses tested of different medium (P<0.05). (m  $\pm$  SE; n=15 replicates).

Concentration		Larvae		Pupae			Imagoes				
	N° of individuals	T50 (h)	Malformations (%)	N° of individuals	T50 (h)	Malformations (%)	N° final organisms	Malformations (%)	FNO		
Control Medium											
Control	97.53±0.80 A a	42.93± 0.46 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	97.2±0.80 A a	150.4±1.22 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	96.8±0.76 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	0		
DL <sub>25</sub>	97.2±0.82 A a	43.73±0.85 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	96.26±0.94 A a	152.6±1.05 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	94.00±0.95 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	-2.85		
$\mathrm{DL}_{50}$	97.73±0.85 A a	42.93±0.69 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	96.13±0.97 A a	152±0.80 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	93.93±1.17 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	-2.90		
Medium treated with azadirachtin θ.1μg/ml											
Control	89.62±1.80 A a	43.06±0.50 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	87.86±1.84 B a	150.86±0.57 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	85.60±1.59 B a	0.0±0.0 A a	0		
$\mathrm{DL}_{25}$	84.2±1.3 B a	42.6±0.77 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	82.4±1.37 B a	152.73±1.12 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	82.51±1.36 B a	0.0±0.0 A a	-4.44		
$\mathrm{DL}_{50}$	84.60±1.32 B a	42.86±0.79 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	81.26±1.48 B	151.86±1.19 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	80.86±1.57 B a	0.0±0.0 A a	-5.30		
Medium treated with azadirachtin 0.25 μg/ml											
Control	86.53±1.97 A a	42.64±0.83 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	78.73±2.17 B a	149.46±0.94 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	78.73±2.17 B a	0.0±0.0 A a	0		
$\mathrm{DL}_{25}$	83.66±1.73 B a	41.8±0.82 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	76.40±1.23 B a	150.33±0.71 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	73.2±1.48 C a	0.0±0.0 A a	-6.41		
DL <sub>50</sub> .	78.8±1.52 B b	42±0.81 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	76.86±1.38 B a	151.4±0.60 A a	0.0±0.0 A a	73.73±1.55 B a	0.0±0.0 A a	-5.37		