

Short Chain Fatty Acids – mechanisms and functional importance in the gut

Camille Martin-Gallausiaux, Ludovica Marinelli, Herve H. Blottiere, Pierre

Larraufie, Nicolas Lapaque

▶ To cite this version:

Camille Martin-Gallausiaux, Ludovica Marinelli, Herve H. Blottiere, Pierre Larraufie, Nicolas Lapaque. Short Chain Fatty Acids – mechanisms and functional importance in the gut. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society, 2021, 80 (1), pp. 37-49. 10.1017/S0029665120006916. hal-02536149

HAL Id: hal-02536149 https://agroparistech.hal.science/hal-02536149

Submitted on 18 Jun2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- **1** Short Chain Fatty Acids mechanisms and functional importance in the gut.
- Camille Martin-Gallausiaux^{1*}, Ludovica Marinelli^{2*}, Hervé M. Blottière^{2,3}, Pierre Larraufie⁴
 and Nicolas Lapaque^{2#}
- ¹ Luxembourg Centre for Systems Biomedicine, University of Luxembourg, Esch-sur-Alzette,
 Luxembourg
- ² Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, Micalis Institute, 78350,
 Jouy-en-Josas, France
- ³ Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, Micalis Institute, MetaGenoPoliS, 78350,
 Jouy-en-Josas, France
- ⁴ Université Paris-Saclay, AgroParisTech, INRAE, UMR PNCA, 75005, Paris, France
- 11 * These authors contributed equally to this work
- 12 # Corresponding author: Nicolas Lapaque <u>nicolas.lapaque@inrae.fr</u>
- 13 Running title: Short Chain Fatty Acids mechanisms and functions
- 14 Keywords: SCFA, microbiota, gut

15 Abstract

16 In recent years, the importance of the gut microbiota in human health has been revealed and many publications have highlighted its role as a key component of human physiology. Owing 17 18 to the use of modern sequencing approaches, the characterization of the microbiome in healthy 19 individuals and in disease has demonstrated a disturbance of the microbiota, or dysbiosis, 20 associated with pathological conditions. Microbiota establishes a symbiotic crosstalk with their 21 host: commensal microbes benefit from the nutrient-rich environment provided by the gut and 22 the microbiota produces hundreds of proteins and metabolites that modulate key functions of the host, including nutrient processing, maintenance of energy homeostasis and immune system 23 24 development. Many bacteria-derived metabolites originate from dietary sources. Among them, an important role has been attributed to the metabolites derived from the bacterial fermentation 25 of dietary fibers, namely the short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) linking host nutrition to intestinal 26

homeostasis maintenance. SCFAs are an important fuel for intestinal epithelial cells (IEC) and regulate IEC functions through different mechanisms to modulate their proliferation, differentiation as well as functions of subpopulations such as enteroendocrine cells, to impact gut motility and to strengthen the gut barrier functions as well as host metabolism. Recent findings show that SCFAs, and in particular butyrate, also have important intestinal and immuno-modulatory functions. In this review, we discuss the mechanisms and the impact of SCFAs on gut functions and host immunity and consequently on human health.

34

35 Introduction

Humans are colonized, at birth, by bacteria, archaea, fungi and viruses, which are collectively 36 37 called the microbiota. Distinct microbiota inhabit all epithelial surfaces of the body: skin, oral cavity, respiratory, gastrointestinal and reproductive tracks ⁽¹⁾; with the largest and most diverse 38 39 microbiota residing in the colon. The intestinal microbiota is composed of 100 trillions of bacteria which represent ~25 times as many genes as our own Homo sapiens genome. The 40 41 diversity and complexity of the microbiota is influenced by the host genetic background, the 42 diet and the environment. Reciprocally, this microbiota encodes thousands of genes absent in 43 human genome that exert diverse functions often associated with beneficial physiological effects for its host ⁽²⁻⁴⁾. From this close symbiotic relationship emerged the notion that humans 44 45 and their microbiota form a composite organism, namely a holobiont ⁽⁵⁾. Advances in nextgeneration sequencing and bioinformatics tools have shown that this relationship is far more 46 complex than anticipated. Indeed, over the last decade, studies highlighted that perturbation of 47 the microbiota, referred to as dysbiosis, and loss of bacterial diversity affect different host 48 systems, particularly metabolic and immunes processes, that participate to host physiology and 49 pathophysiologic conditions ⁽²⁾. Moreover, growing lines of evidence suggest that the dialogue 50 51 between microbiota and the host systems has a homeostatic role beyond the gut, and contributes directly to the global wellbeing of the host. In agreement with this, animal studies have 52 demonstrated that microbiota is implicated in liver diseases, allergy, diabetes, airway 53 54 hypersensitivity, autoimmune arthritis and even neurological disorders ⁽⁶⁻⁸⁾.

The human body has evolved to functionally interact with thousands of naturally occurring or 55 56 microbiota-derived metabolites. Thus, the intestinal microbiome provides an extended repertoire of molecules and metabolites that influence the host health. Amongst those 57 58 molecules, short chain fatty acids (SCFAs), derived from bacteria-dependent hydrolysis of fibers, have attracted considerable attention for their role in host health (figure 1A). Indeed, 59 60 decreased abundance of SCFA-producing bacteria or decreased genomic potential for SCFAproduction have been identified in many studies such type-1 diabetes, type-2 diabetes, liver 61 cirrhosis, inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) and atherosclerosis (9-14). Here, we aim to provide 62 an overview of bacterial SCFAs production in the gut, their impact on intestinal cells and host 63 64 functions, and their different mechanisms of action.

65 SCFAs production and transport

66 Production of SCFAs

Complex dietary carbohydrates are metabolised by intestinal microbiota through an extensive 67 68 set of enzymes, mostly absent in mammals and belonging to the large family of carbohydrateactive enzymes (CAZyme) (reviewed in ⁽¹⁵⁾). The degradation of dietary fibers by gut 69 microbiota, produces organic acids, gases and large amount of SCFAs. Acetate (C2), 70 propionate (C3) and butyrate (C4) are the main SCFAs produced (60:20:20 mmol/kg in human 71 72 colon). SCFAs can reach a combined concentration of 50–150 mM mainly in the colon where the microbial biomass is the highest ⁽¹⁶⁻¹⁹⁾. Substrates for bacterial fermentation include non-73 digestible carbohydrates derived from dietary fibers such as polysaccharide plant cell walls, 74 resistant starch, soluble oligosaccharide and endogenously products, such as mucin⁽²⁰⁾. Aside 75 76 bacterial fermentation, SCFAs can also be found in plant oil and animal fats. Butter contains 3 to 4% of butyrate in the form of tributyrin ⁽²¹⁾. However, when fermentable fibers supply 77 78 decreases, some bacterial species can switch to amino acids and protein fermentation as an alternative energy source, also contributing to SCFAs and branched chain fatty acids (BCFA) 79 production ^(22, 23). The BCFAs, *i.e.* isovalerate, 2-methylbutyrate and isobutyrate, are present at 80 81 lower concentrations than SCFAs and originate only from proteins breakdown. Acetate is a net 82 fermentation product for most gut bacteria while butyrate and propionate are produced by more 83 specific bacterial species. Butyrate is produced from acetate, lactate, amino acids and various carbohydrates via the glycolysis from two different pathways, the butyryl-CoA:acetate CoA-84 85 transferase or the phosphotransbutyrykase and butyrate kinase pathway. Using FISH probes 86 and PCR. Flint and colleagues have shown that specific families belonging to the Clostridiales 87 order (Firmicutes) have the capabilities to produce butyrate: Lachnospiraceae (Coprococcus, 88 Eubacterium, Anaerostipes, Roseburia), Ruminococcaceae (Faecalibacterium, (24-26) 89 *Subdoligranulum*), Erysipelotrichaceae (*Holdemanella*) The butyrate-producing capability of Clostridiales has been confirmed using in vitro culture in other genera such as 90 Clostridium, Butyrivibrio, Lachnoclostridium, Marvinbryantia, Oscillibacter, Flavonifractor, 91 Erysipelatoclostridium, Anaerotruncus, Dorea and Blautia, Ruminiclostridium ^(27, 28). 92 93 Propionate is produced in the gut from various substrate, including amino acids, carbohydrates, lactate and 1,2-propanediol. Hence, most hexoses and pentoses enter the succinate pathway 94 95 and result in succinate production, a precursor of propionate. The succinate pathway is present Bacteroidetes and some Firmicutes, such as the Negativicutes (Veillonella, 96 in

97 *Phascolarctobacterium*). Some other Firmicutes, belonging to the Negativicutes (Megasphera), the Lachnospiraceae (Coprococcus) and the Ruminocaccaceae, use the acrylate 98 pathway, in which lactate is the substrate to produce propionate. The propanediol pathways is 99 present in Proteobacteria and Lachnospiraceae species and use deoxyhexose sugars (e.g. 100 fucose) as substrates. The commensal bacterium Akkermansia muciniphila, member of the 101 Verrucomicrobia phylum also produces propionate from this later pathway ⁽²⁹⁾. Some bacteria, 102 103 notably in the Lachnospiraceae family, can produce both propionate and butyrate but from different substrates, e.g. Roseburia inulivorans ⁽³⁰⁾. 104

105 In vitro experiments have shown that Bacteroides growth is reduced relatively to Firmicutes and Actinobacteria because SCFAs negatively impact Bacteroides at mild acid pH ⁽³¹⁾. Thus, 106 107 SCFAs production by Firmicutes and Bacteroides may to be regulated by pH variations, with 108 more Firmicutes fermentation in proximal colon (pH≈5.6) and conditions favoring Bacteroides fermentation in the distal colon with a more neutral pH ($pH\approx 6.3$) ⁽³²⁾. This selective gradient is 109 110 limiting the propionate production and promoting butyrate formation in the more proximal part of the colon ⁽²⁴⁾. Intestinal pH is not the only factor that impact microbiota composition and 111 112 consequently SCFAs production. Indeed, intestinal gases production (e.g. oxygen and hydrogen) and diet composition and intake (e.g. type of fibers and iron) have been reported to 113 influence the microbiota composition and the gut SCFAs concentration ^(33, 34). 114

115 SCFAs transport

In the host, SCFAs have distinct roles depending of their absorption and local physiologic 116 concentrations ^(35, 36). Acetate, propionate and butyrate are weak acids with a pKa of 4.8 for 117 butyrate. In physiological conditions the colonic pH range from 5.5 to 6.7, thus most of SCFAs 118 are in the ionized form and require transporters for absorption (37, 38). SCFA transporters are 119 120 expressed at different level: in the small intestine: MCT1 (SLC16A1), SMCT2 (SLC5A12) and SLC16A7 and in the colon: MCT1 (SLC16A1), SMCT2 (SLC5A12), SMCT1 (SL5CA8) and 121 SLC26A3^(20, 39). The transporters MCT1, SMCT1, SLC26A3 show affinities for all three major 122 SCFAs while the other ones are more selective, e.g. SMCT2 only transports butyrate. Butyrate 123 124 is mainly absorbed via MCT-1 that is expressed both at apical and basolateral membrane of colonic epithelial cells ^(39, 40). From approximately 20mM in gut lumen, butyrate concentration 125 on portal vein reaches a range of 5-10µM. The liver significantly uptakes butyrate as there is 126 almost no splanchnic release (41, 42). Butyrate venous concentration ranges from 0.5µM to 127 3.3µM⁽³²⁾. Similarly, a larger amount of propionate is found in portal vein, around 32µM but 128

there is only a very small release from the liver. Venous concentration of propionate ranges from 3.8 to 5.4μ M. In contrast, acetate is weakly absorbed by epithelial cells and the liver. The portal vein concentration of acetate is 98µM-143µM. Hence, liver efficiently metabolizes the butyrate and propionate released by the gut epithelium and avoids any acute increase even in the case of artificial enema ^(32, 41).

Cellular uptake of SCFAs in their anionic form are through H⁺ or Na⁺ coupled transporters. 134 Thus, butyrate transport directly participates in electrolyte absorption with increases of Na⁺ 135 and Cl⁻ absorption and release of bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻) in the lumen ^(39, 43, 44). Interestingly. 136 electrolytes absorption is region specific due to the different transporter expression levels in 137 each gut regions ⁽⁴⁵⁾. Transport of butyrate is electroneutral though SMCT2 (Na⁺), resulting in 138 the transport of one Na⁺ for each butyrate anion absorbed ⁽⁴⁶⁾. On the contrary, SMCT1 139 transport is electrogenic as two Na⁺ are transported with one butyrate anion. This results in 140 electrolytes and water absorption ^(47, 48). MCT1 is a proton coupled transporter and has no direct 141 role in ion transport. However, MCT1 indirectly regulates bicarbonate secretion through 142 Na^{+}/H^{+} and Cl^{-}/HCO_{3}^{-} exchangers. Interestingly, butyrate modulates the expression of many 143 144 transporters including MCT1 and SMCT1, therefore potentially increasing electrolyte exchanges as well as its own transport. Butyrate blocks Cl⁻ secretion by inhibiting NKCC1 145 146 expression and increases expression of the Na⁺/H⁺ transporter NHE3 through HDAC inhibition and a SP1/3 dependent pathway (49-52). 147

148 Mechanisms

149 SCFAs receptors

150 The human genome encodes for six potential G protein-coupled receptors (GPCR) sensitive to SCFAs: GPR41 (FFAR3), GPR42, GPR43 (FFAR2), GPR109a (HCAR2), GPR164 (OR51E1) 151 and OR51E2. GPR41 and GPR109a are exclusively Gai/o coupled receptors whereas GPR43 152 can be coupled to either Gaßyq and Ga_{i/o} and OR51E2 is as coupled ⁽⁵³⁾. GPR42 was recently 153 identified as a functional GPCR modulating Ca²⁺ channel flux, but only the GBy pathway 154 downstream this receptor was explored ⁽⁵⁴⁾. The GPR41, GPR43 and GPR109a are expressed 155 in numerous organs including the small and large intestine by various cell types: immune cells, 156 adipose tissues, heart, skeletal muscle or neurons ⁽²⁰⁾. GPR43 (FFAR2), GPR41 (FFAR3) 157 recognize acetate, butyrate and propionate with affinities that differ between species, whereas 158 only butyrate activates GPR109a (Figure 1B) (55-58). Schematically, GPR41 activation by 159

propionate and butyrate and GPR109a activation by butyrate lead to the inhibition of cAMP 160 161 (cyclic adenosine monophosphate) accumulation and protein kinase A (PKA) and MAP kinases (ERK et p38) activation. On the other hand, GPR43 is activated by the three main SCFAs with 162 approximately the same affinities. GPR43 engagement stimulates the phospholipase-C β , which 163 releases intracellular Ca²⁺ and activates the protein kinase C (PKC) in addition to cAMP 164 accumulation inhibition and PKA and ERK activation ⁽⁵⁹⁾. The highly polymorphic GPR42 is 165 activated by propionate and modulates Ca^{2+} by a vet unknown mechanism that could be similar 166 to GPR43 due to the very high homology between these two receptors. In humans, GPR42 is 167 expressed in the colon and in sympathetic ganglia ⁽⁵⁴⁾. Butyrate is the ligand of GPR164 168 (OR51E1) expressed all along the gastrointestinal tract and specifically by enteroendocrine 169 cells ^(60, 61). The olfactory receptor OR51E2 (Olfr78 in mouse) is activated by propionate and 170 acetate and result in cAMP and Ca^{2+} increase. Olfr78 is expressed at the gut mucosal level by 171 PYY-positive colonic enteroendocrine cells ⁽⁶²⁾. It is also detected in various tissues, including 172 kidney, blood vessels, lung and specific nerves in the heart and gut ⁽⁶³⁾. 173

174 Transcriptional regulations and post-translational modifications

SCFAs have a broad impact in the host: metabolism, differentiation, proliferation mainly due 175 to their impact on gene regulation. Indeed, several studies revealed that butyrate regulates the 176 expression of 5 to 20% of human genes ⁽⁶⁴⁻⁶⁶⁾. Within the cells, butyrate and propionate exhibit 177 strong inhibition capacity of lysine/histone deacetylase (K/HDAC) activity, with butyrate being 178 more potent than propionate ^(67, 68). Moreover, butyrate is metabolized into acetyl-CoA which 179 180 stimulates histone acetyltransferase (HAT) by further enhancing histone acetylation (Figure 1B) ^(66, 69). By their HDAC inhibitor and HAT stimulatory properties, SCFAs promote post-181 182 translational modification of histones through increasing their acetylation. Histone hyperacetylation leads to an increased accessibility of transcription factors to the promoter 183 184 regions of targeted genes owing the modulation of their transcription. HDAC inhibition by butyrate does not only up-regulate gene transcription, repression of several genes such as LHR, 185 XIAP or IDO-1 has been reported $^{(27, 70)}$. In colonic cell line, 75% of the upregulated genes are 186 dependent of the ATP citrate lyase (ACL) activity and 25% are independent at 0.5mM 187 188 concentration but the proportion is reversed at high concentration (5mM). This suggests that the gene regulation mechanisms are different, depending on the butyrate concentration. It has 189 been shown that butyrate does not only tune histone acetylation level but also acetylation of 190 other proteins, "K/HDAC" inhibitor, including transcription factors such as SP1 and FOXP3 191

192 ^(71, 72). SCFAs derived from the gut microbiota also promote crotonylation through their histone 193 acetylase properties ⁽⁷³⁾. Histone crotonylation is abundant in the small and large bowel 194 epithelium as well as in the brain. Crotonyl-CoA modification of histones is linked to the cell 195 cycle regulation. Moreover, several studies have shown that butyrate also modifies DNA and 196 protein methylation and phosphorylation levels ⁽⁷⁴⁻⁷⁶⁾.

197 Novel role of butyrate as ligand of transcription factors

Beside the extensive described effects of SCFAs on host physiology through GPRs and post-198 199 translational modifications, a novel role emerged for butyrate as ligand of two transcription factors, expanding our knowledge on microbial-host crosstalk. By exploring the mechanisms 200 201 involved in the microbial modulation of Angiopoietin-like protein 4 (ANGPTL4), Alex and co-workers demonstrated that SCFAs induce ANGPTL4 transcription and secretion through a 202 novel role as selective modulator of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPAR γ) in 203 colonic cell lines (77). In this study, Alex and co-workers showed that butyrate promotes, 204 similarly to PPAR γ ligands, the interactions between PPAR γ and multiple coactivators and 205 binds into PPARy binding pocket with a conformation similar to the known PPARy agonists, 206 207 the decanoic acid ⁽⁷⁷⁾. The evidence suggests, for the first time, an original function of butyrate as ligand for a transcription factor. This original mechanism was also reported for another 208 209 nuclear transcription factor, the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) in human colonic cell lines 210 ⁽⁷⁸⁾. This latter study described a ligand-dependent activation of human AhR by butyrate in synergy with its role as HDAC inhibitor. By using selective ligand antagonists and structural 211 212 modelling, it emerges that butyrate activates human AhR by binding into its ligand binding pocket similarly to the AhR ligand FICZ⁽⁷⁸⁾. Together these reports provide an expanded view 213 214 of the possible mechanisms for butyrate to modulate human transcription factors activity that 215 might apply to other transcription factors (Figure 1B).

216 Functional impact of SCFA on the host.

217 SCFA, regulators of the gut metabolism, proliferation and differentiation

SCFAs are efficiently taken up from the gut lumen by the intestinal epithelial cells (IEC) with different fates (Figure 1B). Butyrate is the primary energy source of IECs, being oxidized via the β -oxidation in the mitochondria. This catabolic process represents from 73% to 75% of oxygen consumption by human colonocytes, by which part of butyrate is converted into ketone bodies ⁽⁷⁹⁻⁸¹⁾. The main substrates of colonocytes are by order of preference, butyrate > ketone 223 bodies > amino acid > glucose. By using a high level of oxygen, the colonocytes metabolism 224 maintains epithelial hypoxia with an oxygen partial pressure $[pO_2]$ of less than < 1% oxygen (7.6 mmHg), thus favoring anaerobic commensals ⁽⁸²⁾. The capacity to produce ketone bodies 225 and oxidize butyrate is a crucial difference between the small and large bowel. Epithelial cell 226 227 butyrate oxidative capacity has been determined in vitro to be between 1 to 5 mM of butyrate, therefore when a greater concentration is available, SCFAs can affect cells functions such as 228 K/HDAC inhibition ^(69, 83). Moreover, butyrate absorption increases the pyruvate 229 dehydrogenase kinases (PDK) which negatively regulates the pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) 230 231 complex. The PDH decarboxylates pyruvate to produce acetyl-CoA and NADH, both necessary to the tricarboxylic acid (TCA)⁽⁸⁴⁾. This dual action pushes the colonocyte 232 metabolism from glycolysis to β -oxidation. After transport into the cells, butyrate enhances 233 oxidative phosphorylation, which consumes oxygen ⁽⁸³⁾. Similarly, it has been demonstrated 234 that fatty acid oxidation is reduced in germ-free mice compared to conventional mice ⁽⁸⁵⁾. 235 Butyrate is not the only fatty acid metabolized. Acetate is a substrate for cholesterol and fatty 236 237 acids synthesis and is metabolized in muscles. Propionate is a precursor for the synthesis of glucose in the liver ^(20, 25, 85). Acetate and butyrate are also major substrates for lipogenesis in 238 rat colonocytes (86). 239

240 Through the production of SCFAs, gut microbiota actively communicates with host cells and 241 strongly modulates a variety of cellular mechanisms. Two of the main functions influenced by SCFAs and thus gut microbiota are cell proliferation and differentiation. Indeed, the 242 proliferative activity of crypt epithelial cells as well as the migration of mature epithelial cells 243 along the crypt-villus axis are greatly attenuated in antibiotic-treated and germ-free mice ⁽⁸⁷⁾. 244 At physiological state, butyrate favors cells differentiation and inhibits proliferation. First 245 evidences on IECs were demonstrated on cell lines ^(88, 89). In these studies, long term incubation 246 of intestinal cancerous cell lines with SCFAs resulted in differentiated phenotypes coupled to 247 decreased cell proliferation. High concentration of butyrate is associated with inhibition of stem 248 cells and proliferative cells in the crypts, through a HDAC inhibition-dependent binding of 249 FOXO3 to promoters of key genes in the cell cycle ⁽⁹⁰⁾. Butyrate concentration near the crypt 250 base is estimated to be 50–800 µM dose equivalent ^(85, 91, 92). These studies indicate that butyrate 251 concentration is low in the deep crypts and increasing in a gradient along the lumen-to-crypt 252 253 axis. Butyrate metabolization by differentiated cells on the epithelium plateau may result in a 254 protective depletion in the crypts that is protective for stem cells proliferation. Hence, the crypt structure is suggested to be an adaptive mechanism protecting the gut epithelial stem cells of
 butyrate high concentration found in the lumen ⁽⁹⁰⁾.

Interestingly, butyrate has a dualistic role in epithelial cellular metabolisms: it supports healthy 257 cells as primary energy source for IECs and represses cancerous cells expansion. This is known 258 as the "butyrate paradox" or "Warburg effect" (66). This is explained by a metabolic shift 259 occurring in cancerous cells using preferentially glucose as energy source. The inhibition of 260 cell proliferation is generally characterized by an increase in reactive oxygen species (ROS) 261 production, DNA damages and cell cycle arrest, suggesting that SCFAs initiate apoptosis 262 signaling in cancer cells ⁽⁹³⁻⁹⁶⁾. Indeed, through the activation of the pro-apoptotic protein BAX, 263 264 the upregulation of apoptosis-inducing factor-mitochondria associated 1 isoform 6 (AIFM1) 265 and the reduction of mitochondrial membrane potential, SCFAs stimulate the cytochrome c release which drives caspase 3 activation ⁽⁹³⁾. Coherently, the induction of the CDK inhibitors 266 p21 and p27 and the downregulation of heat-shock cognate 71 kDa protein isoform and survival 267 is observed, leading to growth arrest (97, 98). 268

Another mechanism for propionate to inhibit cell proliferation is suggested to involve its role as GPCR agonist. In human monocyte and lymphoblast cancer cell lines, Bindels and colleagues observe that the effect on cell proliferation is dependent on GPR43 activation ⁽⁹⁹⁾. GPR43 displays a dual coupling through G_i and G_q protein families. While PLC blockage does not influence cell proliferation, increase in cAMP, mediated by the inhibition of G_i subunit, slightly reduces the propionate anti-proliferative effect, suggesting a mechanism dependent on cAMP levels ⁽⁹⁹⁾.

276 Considering the important metabolic shift occurring in cancer cells, the production and 277 availability of a large variety of metabolites are modified among which acetyl-CoA. Acetyl-278 CoA is crucial in several metabolic pathways and a fundamental cofactor for HATs. 279 Consequently, different cell metabolites are produced, such as a large amount of lactate, which 280 in turn could stimulate the growth of commensal bacteria and partially explain the anti-281 tumorigenic effect of some probiotics ⁽¹⁰⁰⁾.

282 Regulation of gut endocrine functions, importance on host physiology

Among intestinal epithelial cells, enteroendocrine cells (EECs) play an important role in host physiology by secreting hormones that regulate food intake, insulin secretion and gut functions

in response to a variety of stimuli (101). Among these stimuli, fiber-rich diets or infusion with 285 SCFAs have been associated with increased circulating levels of gut hormones (102, 103). 286 Supporting these results, expression of butyrate receptors GPR43, GPR41 and GPR109a have 287 been reported in enteroendocrine cells (104-106). Acute stimulation of EECs by SCFAs is shown 288 to trigger hormones secretion such as GLP-1 and PYY. The mechanism involves GPR43 289 activation leading to increased intracellular calcium, corresponding to the activation of a G_a 290 coupled receptor ⁽¹⁰⁷⁾. Several studies have confirmed the role of GPR43 in the EEC response 291 to SCFAs using additional knockout models or agonists⁽¹⁰⁸⁻¹¹⁰⁾. In particular EECs, the L-cells, 292 GPR41 is also involved in the GLP-1 secretory response as suggested by the results in GPR41 293 knockout animals or GPR41 agonists (106, 107). However, GPR41 stimulation also inhibits GIP 294 secretion from glucose insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) producing EECs (111). This inhibition 295 of GIP-producing cells could correspond to the activation of Gi/o pathways which are mainly 296 297 resulting in inhibitory responses. The exact role of GPR41 in GLP-1 secretion remains to be fully understood. The possibility of GPR41 hetero-dimerization with GPR43 has been recently 298 highlighted and could explain a role of GPR41 in GLP-1 stimulatory activity⁽¹¹²⁾. Additionally, 299 species differences are described in response to the different SCFAs. If propionate and acetate 300 301 are strong stimuli for PYY and GLP-1 secretion in rodents at low concentrations, much higher concentrations are required to induce secretion in human ^(110, 113). These divergences can be 302 explained both by the variation of SCFAs affinities to the receptor families as well as the 303 304 different receptors expression levels. Indeed, GPR41 is expressed in fewer EECs in humans compared to rodents ^(106, 114). The role of other SCFAs receptors GPR109a, GPR42, OR51E1 305 306 and OR51E2, is still to be deciphered but some studies show that they are also enriched in some EECs subpopulations (62, 115). 307

308 In addition to the SCFA-dependent acute stimulation of gut hormone secretion, it emerged that SCFAs also tune EECs identity and consequently long-term hormonal production. Indeed, 309 animals fed with fiber-rich diets have, in addition to a higher circulating gut hormone levels, 310 an elevated number of enteroendocrine cells (102). Supporting this result, an increase 311 differentiation of epithelial cells into L-cells by SCFAs have been reported, with a higher GLP-312 1, PYY and serotonin production (103, 116-120). GPR43 and GPR41 play important but different 313 roles in the differentiation of enteroendocrine cells. GPR43 stimulation increased the number 314 315 of the PYY-producing cells and PYY expression but not the number of GLP-1-positive cells which is dependent on GPR41^(116, 117). 316

317 Moreover, receptor-independent pathways are also involved in the expression regulation of gut hormone genes. Indeed, butyrate HDAC inhibitory activity highly increased PYY expression in 318 human L-cells with a much stronger effect than GPR43 stimulation ⁽¹¹⁶⁾. Modulation of *PYY* 319 gene expression is associated with increased production and secretion both in basal and 320 321 stimulated conditions and could explain the long-term effects of SCFAs on circulating gut hormone levels seen with fiber-enriched diets. Butyrate also impacts EECs responses to 322 external stimuli by regulating the expression of receptors sensing exogenous molecules 323 deriving from the microbiota. In particular, butyrate increases Toll-like receptors (TLR) 324 325 expression in L-cells leading to an amplified stimulation by TLR ligands and a consequent higher NF-KB activation and butyrate-dependent PYY expression (121). 326

327 Due to their important functions on host, gut hormones link SCFAs and the modulation of other gut functions such as electrolyte absorption. Indeed, PYY is strongly associated with the 328 modulation of electrolyte and water absorption functions due to the expression of NPY 329 receptors on epithelial cells and neuronal cells (122, 123). As SCFAs stimulate PYY release, they 330 impact electrolyte absorption (124). Similarly, serotonin is also important in water and 331 electrolyte absorption. SCFAs also increase serotonin production, and blockade of serotonin 332 receptors decreases butyrate-dependent electrolyte absorption ^(119, 125). These results indicate 333 that regulation of electrolytes absorption by SCFAs is mediated by multiple pathways including 334 335 gut hormone modulations.

SCFAs have also been associated with tuning of intestinal transit ⁽¹²⁵⁾. Acute effect of SCFAs 336 on gut motility is hormone dependent with an important role of PYY (126, 127). Moreover, germ-337 free animals have decreased gut motility which is partially restored by SCFAs infusion in the 338 colonic lumen, with butyrate having the highest effect ⁽¹²⁸⁾. The gut motility dysfunction in 339 germ-free mouse could be partially explained by the highly dysregulated gut endocrine 340 functions. However, no difference could be found in non-producing serotonin mouse model 341 using TPH1 knockout mice ⁽¹²⁸⁾. This suggests that serotonin might not play an important role 342 in the SCFAs-dependent regulation of gut motility and effects previously described could be 343 minor compared to other pathways ⁽¹²⁵⁾. Interestingly, SCFAs, and mostly butyrate, have a 344 direct effect on gut motility through regulation of enteric neurons ⁽¹²⁶⁾. Indeed, some enteric 345 neurons express GPR41 and can therefore respond to SCFAs (106). Additionally, HDAC 346 inhibition by butyrate increases gut motility on the long term by increasing the number of 347

acetylcholine and substance P positive neurons, highlighting the importance of distinct
 mechanisms triggering similar effects ⁽¹²⁹⁾.

Butyrate and other SCFAs are therefore important regulators of EECs functions, both by acutely stimulating gut hormone secretion, and modulating their production. Indeed, SCFAs increase EECs subpopulation cell numbers and regulate genes expression. Different mechanisms including receptor activation and HDAC inhibition are involved in these functions, highlighting the important and diverse roles of SCFAs as signaling molecules. Modulations of gut hormones participate in the many roles of SCFAs on host physiology including gut homeostasis.

357 Barrier function and Immune responses

358 In the last decade, SCFAs have attracted considerable attention for their impact on host immune responses and barrier functions. SCFAs play one of their major roles by maintaining an 359 360 environment favourable for commensal bacteria and controlling pathogens growth. By stabilising the transcription factor HIF, butyrate increases O₂ consumption by IECs favouring 361 the physiologic hypoxia in the colon⁽¹³⁰⁾. Maintenance of the colonic anaerobic environment 362 is key to favour the anaerobes commensal component of the gut microbiota and control the 363 pathogens level such as Salmonella in a virtuous cycle (131-133). However, enteric pathogens 364 such as Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium are highly adapted to the colonic 365 environment and utilize the gut microbiota-derived butyrate to compete with resident bacteria 366 ⁽¹³⁴⁾. Besides effect on the O₂ level in the intestinal tract, butyrate promotes the epithelial barrier 367 functions by reducing the epithelial permeability via HIF ⁽¹³⁰⁾. Moreover, butyrate reduces 368 epithelial permeability by the regulation of IL-10 receptor, occludin, zonulin and claudins, 369 reinforcing the tight junctions and the trans-epithelial resistance in vitro (135, 136). Another 370 371 important mechanism involved in the epithelial barrier function is the modulation of the mucus layer thickness protecting the mucosa. In the colon, MUC2 is the predominant mucin 372 glycoprotein produced by the goblet cells. Treatment with butyrate increases MUC2 production 373 both *in vitro* and in human colonic biopsies ^(32, 137). SCFAs enhance the epithelial barrier 374 functions by modulating the antimicrobial peptides (AMP) secretion by the gut epithelium. 375 Butyrate increases the level of colonic LL-37 in vitro and an in vivo (138, 139). Activation of 376 GPR43 by butyrate induce RegIII γ and β -defensing expression by the activation of the mTOR 377 pathway and STAT3 phosphorylation in mouse IECs $^{(140)}$. The modulations of β -defensions in 378

epithelial cells relies on the inhibition of HDAC3 ⁽¹⁴¹⁾. Interestingly, SCFAs and butyrate in
 particular, promote AMPs targeting both gram positive and negative bacteria.

381 It is now clear that gut microbiota plays an important role in intestinal homeostasis by controlling the human immune response notably by the production of SCFAs. Indeed, SCFAs 382 383 have a global anti-inflammatory effect by up-regulating both anti-inflammatory and downregulating pro-inflammatory cytokines by different mechanisms and consequently promoting 384 mucosal homeostasis ⁽¹⁴²⁾. This anti-inflammatory effect can be mediated by IECs as binding 385 of SCFAs to GPR43 and GPR109a induces Ca^{2+} efflux and membrane hyperpolarization which 386 387 activate the inflammasome activating protein NLRP3 thereby induce the release of IL-18 with a protective effect on DSS colitis mouse model ⁽¹⁴³⁾. In vitro experiments demonstrate that the 388 increase of protein acetylation by butyrate decreases IL-8 production in IECs (144). Moreover, 389 390 butyrate, and to a lesser extent propionate, upregulate the production of TGFβ1 in IECs, a cytokine promoting anti-inflammatory regulatory T cells (Treg)^(145, 146). Our group have shown 391 that butyrate acts independently of the main GPCRs, via its HDAC inhibition property and the 392 SP1 transcription factor present on the human TGFβ1 promoter ⁽²⁸⁾. Moreover, in mice, fiber 393 394 supplementation promotes vitamin A metabolism in small intestine epithelial cells by increasing *RALDH-1*. The production of retinoic acid by epithelial cells, the active metabolite 395 of vitamin A, is crucial for the tolerogenic imprinting of dendritic cells ⁽¹⁴⁷⁾. 396

397 The impact of SCFAs go beyond the epithelial cells, with similar mechanisms reported in 398 macrophages and dendritic cells (DCs). In mice, macrophages stimulation with butyrate, 399 imprints through HDAC3 inhibition, a metabolic reprogramming and elevates antimicrobial peptides. Hence, upon stimulation, AMPs belonging to the S100 family, ficolin and lysozyme 400 are increased ⁽¹⁴⁸⁾. Here again, butyrate has a stronger antimicrobial effect than propionate and 401 no protective impact is detected with acetate. Butyrate treatment of DCs derived from human 402 403 donors, decreases their capacity to present antigens and increases IL-10 production leading to a tolerogenic phenotype ⁽¹⁴⁹⁾. Upon LPS treatment, butyrate induces the IL-23 production by 404 405 DCs thus promoting the differentiation of naive T lymphocytes into pro-inflammatory Th17 ⁽¹⁵⁰⁾. Another study shows that DCs treated with butyrate induce the differentiation of naive T 406 lymphocytes into anti-inflammatory Tr1 producers of IL-10⁽¹⁵¹⁾. By regulating the 407 transcriptional activity, butyrate decreases the inflammatory response of macrophages exposed 408 to inflammatory microbial molecules such as LPS and induces their polarisation through a M2 409 anti-inflammatory phenotype (152, 153). Similarly, butyrate-dependent activation of GPR109a 410

increases the tolerogenic response of colonic macrophages and DCs reducing colonic
inflammation and promoting homeostasis ⁽¹⁵⁴⁾. Furthermore, it has been shown that butyrate
pre-treatment down-regulates nitric oxide (NO), IL-6, and IL-12 in mice independently of
TLRs and GPCRs pathways. Neutrophils migration is increased upon treatment with SCFAs,
in a GPR43-dependent mechanism ⁽¹⁵⁵⁾.

Regulatory T cells (Treg) are critical for limiting intestinal inflammation and have thus been 416 subject of considerable attention to improve diseases such as inflammatory bowel disease 417 (IBD). Many studies showed that Tregs depend on microbiota-derived signals for proper 418 development and function (145, 146, 156, 157). Recently several groups identify SCFAs as key 419 420 metabolites for promoting differentiation of naive T lymphocytes into Treg cells in the intestine (71, 145, 146, 152, 154, 158, 159). By interacting directly with naive T cells, butyrate and propionate 421 increase the acetylation of the promoter of the transcription factor Foxp3 essential for the 422 differentiation of Tregs, leading to an increase of Foxp3 expression ^(71, 152, 158). Another group 423 suggested that propionate might induce the same changes via GPR43 ^(71, 159). Moreover, 424 butyrate-dependent activation of GPR109a increases the tolerogenic response of colonic 425 macrophages and DCs, promoting Treg and IL-10 producing T cells⁽¹⁵⁴⁾. Interestingly, SCFAs 426 increase the TGF^{β1} production by IECs via its HDAC inhibition property thus promoting the 427 Tregs differentiation in the gut ^(28, 145, 146). Altogether, these studies highlight that the molecular 428 429 mechanisms induced by SCFAs to control Treg-development are complex and involve many cells types involved in the tolerogenic environment such as myeloid cells and IECs. 430

431 Impact of SCFAs on other lymphocyte populations such as B cells has not been as extensively studied than their Treg counterparts. Acetate supplementation in mice increases intestinal IgA 432 in a GPR43 dependent mechanism ⁽¹⁶⁰⁾. Dietary fibres and SCFAs enhance antibody response 433 to bacteria by supporting B cell differentiation into plasma B cells via the increase of histone 434 acetylation and of B cell metabolism ^(161, 162). Mechanistically, it is through the downregulation 435 of B cell AID and Blimp1, dependent on their HDAC inhibitory activity that SCFAs inhibited 436 class-switch DNA recombination, somatic hypermutation and plasma cell differentiation. 437 Interestingly, SCFAs also modulate the fate of B-cell producing autoantibodies and reduce 438 439 autoimmunity in lupus-prone mice (162).

440 **Conclusion:**

The past decade of biological research through a combination of translation-focused animal 441 models and studies in humans has highlighted the overarching roles that the gut microbiota 442 plays in human health. It has become clear that dysbiotic microbiota is associated with a wide 443 range of pathologies such as obesity, diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, autoimmune diseases 444 and neuronal disorders. Despite the lack of evidence in human, causality has been demonstrated 445 in rodent models. Factors such as antibiotics use, modern sanitation, quality of diet and 446 447 environmental factors linked with the lifestyle changes that occurred in the last century in developed societies are suggested to contribute to a decrease in the diversity of the human 448 microbiome⁽¹⁶³⁾. 449

450 Diet and nutritional status are important determinants in human health. Numerous studies have 451 shown that diet modulates the composition and functions of the microbiota in humans and animal models (164-166). These interventional studies showed that microbiota composition is 452 453 dynamic, can shift rapidly to dietary changes and that this shift is individual dependent and depends on the microbiota diversity of the donor. Thus, the role of diet in shaping microbiota 454 455 is changing our view of the strategies to take to improve the systemic health. Indeed, it is though that nutritional interventions could manipulate the microbial ecology and consequently 456 modulate human physiology with beneficial health outcomes. However, what constitutes an 457 458 optimal health-promoting microbiota and how individuals with distinct microbiota can achieve 459 such level of diversity are still open questions.

As discussed in this review, the gut microbial metabolites, SCFAs, are well known to exert a 460 wide beneficial impact to the host ^(167, 168). Hence, fiber-induced increase of SCFA-producing 461 bacteria has been proposed to play an important role in the prevention and treatment of many 462 463 diseases. Supporting this idea, clinical studies reported that prebiotics and dietary fibers increased the relative abundance of these beneficial SCFAs-producing bacteria and butyrate 464 fermentation, leading to the improvement of type 2 diabetes and ulcerative colitis (169, 170). 465 However, the microbiota produces a vast number of metabolites that modulate host responses, 466 sometimes in synergy with SCFAs ⁽¹²¹⁾. Many studies support the benefits of increasing both 467 468 the amount and the variety of dietary fibers ingested but it is difficult to establish whether it is a direct role of SCFAs or the increased bacterial diversity that impact host homeostasis. As the 469 470 microbiota is a complex ecosystem, much work remains to be done to investigate fully the 471 functions of SCFAs alone or with other beneficial metabolites in physiology and 472 pathophysiology.

473	Acknowledgements:	
474	The authors would like to thank all the members of the "functionality of the intestina"	
475	ecosys	stem" for helpful discussions.
476		
477	Finan	cial support:
478	This work was supported by the by Institut national de recherche pour l'agriculture	
479	l'alimentation et l'environnement (INRAE) and by grants funded by EU-FP7 METACARDIS	
480	(HEA	LTH-F4-2012-305312), by the ANR FunMetagen (ANR-11-BSV6-0013).
481		
482	Conflict of interest:	
483	The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.	
484		
485	Figure legend:	
486	Figure 1: A. Functional impact of SCFA on the host. B. Mechanisms: 1: GPCR-dependent	
487	signaling, 2. Histone and transcription factor acetylation by SCFAs, 3. Role of butyrate as	
488	ligand of transcription factors.	
489	References:	
490 491 492	1.	Reid G, Younes JA, Van der Mei HC <i>et al.</i> (2011) Microbiota restoration: natural and supplemented recovery of human microbial communities. <i>Nature reviews Microbiology</i> 9, 27-38.
493 494	2.	Lepage P, Leclerc MC, Joossens M <i>et al.</i> (2013) A metagenomic insight into our gut's microbiome. <i>Gut</i> 62, 146-158.
495 496	3.	Gomez de Aguero M, Ganal-Vonarburg SC, Fuhrer T <i>et al.</i> (2016) The maternal microbiota drives early postnatal innate immune development. <i>Science</i> 351, 1296-1302.
497 498 499	4.	Rakoff-Nahoum S, Kong Y, Kleinstein SH <i>et al.</i> (2015) Analysis of gene-environment interactions in postnatal development of the mammalian intestine. <i>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</i> 112, 1929-1936.
500 501	5.	van de Guchte M, Blottiere HM Dore J (2018) Humans as holobionts: implications for prevention and therapy. <i>Microbiome</i> 6, 81.
502 503	6.	Atarashi K & Honda K (2011) Microbiota in autoimmunity and tolerance. <i>Curr Opin Immunol</i> 23, 761-768.

- 504 7. Miyake S, Kim S, Suda W *et al.* (2015) Dysbiosis in the Gut Microbiota of Patients
 505 with Multiple Sclerosis, with a Striking Depletion of Species Belonging to Clostridia
 506 XIVa and IV Clusters. *PloS one* 10, e0137429.
- 5078.Benakis C, Brea D, Caballero S *et al.* (2016) Commensal microbiota affects ischemic508stroke outcome by regulating intestinal gammadelta T cells. Nature medicine, 22(5):509516-23.
- 5109.Qin J, Li R, Raes J et al. (2010) A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by511metagenomic sequencing. Nature 464, 59-65.
- 512 10. Qin N, Yang F, Li A *et al.* (2014) Alterations of the human gut microbiome in liver 513 cirrhosis. *Nature* 513, 59-64.
- 514 11. Le Chatelier E, Nielsen T, Qin J *et al.* (2013) Richness of human gut microbiome
 515 correlates with metabolic markers. *Nature* 500, 541-546.
- 516 12. Karlsson FH, Fak F, Nookaew I *et al.* (2012) Symptomatic atherosclerosis is associated
 517 with an altered gut metagenome. *Nature communications* 3, 1245.
- Formation 13. Pozuelo M, Panda S, Santiago A *et al.* (2015) Reduction of butyrate- and methane producing microorganisms in patients with Irritable Bowel Syndrome. *Scientific reports* 5, 12693.
- Vatanen T, Franzosa EA, Schwager R *et al.* (2018) The human gut microbiome in earlyonset type 1 diabetes from the TEDDY study. *Nature* 562, 589-594.
- 523 15. Garron ML & Henrissat B (2019) The continuing expansion of CAZymes and their
 524 families. *Curr Opin Chem Biol* 53, 82-87.
- 525 16. Duncan SH, Holtrop G, Lobley GE *et al.* (2004) Contribution of acetate to butyrate
 526 formation by human faecal bacteria. *Br J Nutr* 91, 915-923.
- 527 17. Pryde SE, Duncan SH, Hold GL *et al.* (2002) The microbiology of butyrate formation
 528 in the human colon. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 217, 133-139.
- 529 18. Cummings JH (1981) Short chain fatty acids in the human colon. *Gut* 22, 763-779.
- Macfarlane GT & Macfarlane S (1997) Human colonic microbiota: ecology,
 physiology and metabolic potential of intestinal bacteria. *Scand J Gastroenterol Suppl* 222, 3-9.
- Dalile B, Van Oudenhove L, Vervliet B *et al.* (2019) The role of short-chain fatty acids
 in microbiota-gut-brain communication. *Nature reviews Gastroenterology & hepatology* 16, 461-478.
- Bourassa MW, Alim I, Bultman SJ *et al.* (2016) Butyrate, neuroepigenetics and the gut
 microbiome: Can a high fiber diet improve brain health? *Neurosci Lett* 625, 56-63.

538 22. Smith EA & Macfarlane GT (1997) Dissimilatory amino Acid metabolism in human
539 colonic bacteria. *Anaerobe* 3, 327-337.

- 540 23. Davila AM, Blachier F, Gotteland M *et al.* (2013) Re-print of "Intestinal luminal nitrogen metabolism: role of the gut microbiota and consequences for the host".
 542 *Pharmacol Res* 69, 114-126.
- 543 24. Flint HJ (2016) Gut microbial metabolites in health and disease. *Gut Microbes* 7, 187544 188.
- 545 25. Louis P, Hold GL Flint HJ (2014) The gut microbiota, bacterial metabolites and colorectal cancer. *Nature reviews Microbiology* 12, 661-672.
- 547 26. Louis P & Flint HJ (2009) Diversity, metabolism and microbial ecology of butyrate548 producing bacteria from the human large intestine. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 294, 1-8.
- 549 27. Martin-Gallausiaux C, Larraufie P, Jarry A *et al.* (2018) Butyrate Produced by
 550 Commensal Bacteria Down-Regulates Indolamine 2,3-Dioxygenase 1 (IDO-1)
 551 Expression via a Dual Mechanism in Human Intestinal Epithelial Cells. *Frontiers in*552 *immunology* 9, 2838.
- Martin-Gallausiaux C, Beguet-Crespel F, Marinelli L *et al.* (2018) Butyrate produced
 by gut commensal bacteria activates TGF-beta1 expression through the transcription
 factor SP1 in human intestinal epithelial cells. *Scientific reports* 8, 9742.
- Belzer C, Chia LW, Aalvink S *et al.* (2017) Microbial Metabolic Networks at the Mucus
 Layer Lead to Diet-Independent Butyrate and Vitamin B12 Production by Intestinal
 Symbionts. *mBio* 8.
- 30. Reichardt N, Duncan SH, Young P *et al.* (2014) Phylogenetic distribution of three
 pathways for propionate production within the human gut microbiota. *The ISME journal* 8, 1323-1335.
- 56231.Duncan SH, Louis P, Thomson JM *et al.* (2009) The role of pH in determining the563species composition of the human colonic microbiota. *Environmental microbiology* 11,5642112-2122.
- 32. Hamer HM, Jonkers D, Venema K *et al.* (2008) Review article: the role of butyrate on colonic function. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 27, 104-119.
- 567 33. Dostal A, Lacroix C, Bircher L *et al.* (2015) Iron Modulates Butyrate Production by a
 568 Child Gut Microbiota In Vitro. *mBio* 6, e01453-01415.
- 569 34. Dostal A, Lacroix C, Pham VT *et al.* (2014) Iron supplementation promotes gut
 570 microbiota metabolic activity but not colitis markers in human gut microbiota571 associated rats. *Br J Nutr* 111, 2135-2145.
- 572 35. Conn AR, Fell DI Steele RD (1983) Characterization of alpha-keto acid transport across
 573 blood-brain barrier in rats. *Am J Physiol* 245, E253-260.
- 574 36. Frost G, Sleeth ML, Sahuri-Arisoylu M *et al.* (2014) The short-chain fatty acid acetate 575 reduces appetite via a central homeostatic mechanism. *Nature communications* 5, 3611.
- 576 37. Cummings JH, Pomare EW, Branch WJ *et al.* (1987) Short chain fatty acids in human
 577 large intestine, portal, hepatic and venous blood. *Gut* 28, 1221-1227.

- 578 38. Bergman EN (1990) Energy contributions of volatile fatty acids from the gastrointestinal tract in various species. *Physiological reviews* 70, 567-590.
- Sivaprakasam S, Bhutia YD, Yang S *et al.* (2017) Short-Chain Fatty Acid Transporters:
 Role in Colonic Homeostasis. *Compr Physiol* 8, 299-314.
- 582 40. Sepponen K, Ruusunen M, Pakkanen JA *et al.* (2007) Expression of CD147 and
 583 monocarboxylate transporters MCT1, MCT2 and MCT4 in porcine small intestine and
 584 colon. *Vet J* 174, 122-128.
- Bloemen JG, Venema K, van de Poll MC *et al.* (2009) Short chain fatty acids exchange
 across the gut and liver in humans measured at surgery. *Clin Nutr* 28, 657-661.
- van der Beek CM, Bloemen JG, van den Broek MA *et al.* (2015) Hepatic Uptake of
 Rectally Administered Butyrate Prevents an Increase in Systemic Butyrate
 Concentrations in Humans. *J Nutr* 145, 2019-2024.
- McNeil NI, Cummings JH James WP (1979) Rectal absorption of short chain fatty acids
 in the absence of chloride. *Gut* 20, 400-403.
- 592 44. Binder HJ & Mehta P (1989) Short-chain fatty acids stimulate active sodium and chloride absorption in vitro in the rat distal colon. *Gastroenterology* 96, 989-996.
- Lutz T & Scharrer E (1991) Effect of short-chain fatty acids on calcium absorption by
 the rat colon. *Exp Physiol* 76, 615-618.
- 596 46. Srinivas SR, Gopal E, Zhuang L *et al.* (2005) Cloning and functional identification of
 597 slc5a12 as a sodium-coupled low-affinity transporter for monocarboxylates (SMCT2).
 598 *Biochem J* 392, 655-664.
- 599 47. Coady MJ, Chang MH, Charron FM *et al.* (2004) The human tumour suppressor gene
 600 SLC5A8 expresses a Na+-monocarboxylate cotransporter. *J Physiol* 557, 719-731.
- 601 48. Gupta N, Martin PM, Prasad PD *et al.* (2006) SLC5A8 (SMCT1)-mediated transport
 602 of butyrate forms the basis for the tumor suppressive function of the transporter. *Life*603 *Sci* 78, 2419-2425.
- Matthews JB, Hassan I, Meng S *et al.* (1998) Na-K-2Cl cotransporter gene expression
 and function during enterocyte differentiation. Modulation of Cl- secretory capacity by
 butyrate. *The Journal of clinical investigation* 101, 2072-2079.
- 50. Musch MW, Bookstein C, Xie Y *et al.* (2001) SCFA increase intestinal Na absorption
 by induction of NHE3 in rat colon and human intestinal C2/bbe cells. *American journal*of physiology Gastrointestinal and liver physiology 280, G687-693.
- Amin MR, Dudeja PK, Ramaswamy K *et al.* (2007) Involvement of Sp1 and Sp3 in
 differential regulation of human NHE3 promoter activity by sodium butyrate and IFNgamma/TNF-alpha. *American journal of physiology Gastrointestinal and liver physiology* 293, G374-382.

- 52. Subramanya SB, Rajendran VM, Srinivasan P *et al.* (2007) Differential regulation of
 cholera toxin-inhibited Na-H exchange isoforms by butyrate in rat ileum. *American journal of physiology Gastrointestinal and liver physiology* 293, G857-863.
- 617 53. Gelis L, Jovancevic N, Veitinger S *et al.* (2016) Functional Characterization of the
 618 Odorant Receptor 51E2 in Human Melanocytes. *The Journal of biological chemistry*619 291, 17772-17786.
- 54. Puhl HL 3rd, Won YJ, Lu VB *et al.* (2015) Human GPR42 is a transcribed multisite
 variant that exhibits copy number polymorphism and is functional when heterologously
 expressed. *Scientific reports* 5, 12880.
- 55. Le Poul E, Loison C, Struyf S *et al.* (2003) Functional characterization of human receptors for short chain fatty acids and their role in polymorphonuclear cell activation. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 278, 25481-25489.
- 56. Brown AJ, Goldsworthy SM, Barnes AA *et al.* (2003) The Orphan G protein-coupled
 receptors GPR41 and GPR43 are activated by propionate and other short chain
 carboxylic acids. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 278, 11312-11319.
- 57. Thangaraju M, Cresci GA, Liu K *et al.* (2009) GPR109A is a G-protein-coupled
 receptor for the bacterial fermentation product butyrate and functions as a tumor
 suppressor in colon. *Cancer Res* 69, 2826-2832.
- 58. Hudson BD, Tikhonova IG, Pandey SK *et al.* (2012) Extracellular ionic locks determine
 variation in constitutive activity and ligand potency between species orthologs of the
 free fatty acid receptors FFA2 and FFA3. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 287,
 41195-41209.
- 59. Dorsam RT & Gutkind JS (2007) G-protein-coupled receptors and cancer. *Nat Rev* 637 *Cancer* 7, 79-94.
- 638 60. Priori D, Colombo M, Clavenzani P *et al.* (2015) The Olfactory Receptor OR51E1 Is
 639 Present along the Gastrointestinal Tract of Pigs, Co-Localizes with Enteroendocrine
 640 Cells and Is Modulated by Intestinal Microbiota. *PloS one* 10, e0129501.
- 641 61. Han YE, Kang CW, Oh JH *et al.* (2018) Olfactory Receptor OR51E1 Mediates GLP-1
 642 Secretion in Human and Rodent Enteroendocrine L Cells. *J Endocr Soc* 2, 1251-1258.
- 643 62. Fleischer J, Bumbalo R, Bautze V *et al.* (2015) Expression of odorant receptor Olfr78
 644 in enteroendocrine cells of the colon. *Cell Tissue Res* 361, 697-710.
- 645 63. Pluznick JL (2013) Renal and cardiovascular sensory receptors and blood pressure 646 regulation. *Am J Physiol Renal Physiol* 305, F439-444.
- 647 64. Basson MD, Liu YW, Hanly AM *et al.* (2000) Identification and comparative analysis
 648 of human colonocyte short-chain fatty acid response genes. *J Gastrointest Surg* 4, 501649 512.
- 65. Rada-Iglesias A, Enroth S, Ameur A *et al.* (2007) Butyrate mediates decrease of histone
 acetylation centered on transcription start sites and down-regulation of associated
 genes. *Genome Res* 17, 708-719.

- 653 66. Donohoe DR, Collins LB, Wali A *et al.* (2012) The Warburg effect dictates the
 654 mechanism of butyrate-mediated histone acetylation and cell proliferation. *Mol Cell* 48,
 655 612-626.
- 656 67. Candido EP, Reeves R Davie JR (1978) Sodium butyrate inhibits histone deacetylation
 657 in cultured cells. *Cell* 14, 105-113.
- 658 68. Sealy L & Chalkley R (1978) The effect of sodium butyrate on histone modification.
 659 *Cell* 14, 115-121.
- 660 69. Donohoe DR & Bultman SJ (2012) Metaboloepigenetics: interrelationships between
 661 energy metabolism and epigenetic control of gene expression. *J Cell Physiol* 227, 3169662 3177.
- 663 70. Bose P, Dai Y Grant S (2014) Histone deacetylase inhibitor (HDACI) mechanisms of
 664 action: emerging insights. *Pharmacol Ther* 143, 323-336.
- Arpaia N, Campbell C, Fan X *et al.* (2013) Metabolites produced by commensal
 bacteria promote peripheral regulatory T-cell generation. *Nature* 504, 451-455.
- Thakur BK, Dasgupta N, Ta A *et al.* (2016) Physiological TLR5 expression in the
 intestine is regulated by differential DNA binding of Sp1/Sp3 through simultaneous
 Sp1 dephosphorylation and Sp3 phosphorylation by two different PKC isoforms. *Nucleic Acids Res* 44, 5658-5672.
- Fellows R, Denizot J, Stellato C *et al.* (2018) Microbiota derived short chain fatty acids
 promote histone crotonylation in the colon through histone deacetylases. *Nature communications* 9, 105.
- 674 74. Boffa LC, Gruss RJ Allfrey VG (1981) Manifold effects of sodium butyrate on nuclear
 675 function. Selective and reversible inhibition of phosphorylation of histones H1 and
 676 H2A and impaired methylation of lysine and arginine residues in nuclear protein
 677 fractions. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 256, 9612-9621.
- Mathew OP, Ranganna K Yatsu FM (2010) Butyrate, an HDAC inhibitor, stimulates
 interplay between different posttranslational modifications of histone H3 and
 differently alters G1-specific cell cycle proteins in vascular smooth muscle cells. *Biomed Pharmacother* 64, 733-740.
- Parker MI, de Haan JB Gevers W (1986) DNA hypermethylation in sodium butyratetreated WI-38 fibroblasts. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 261, 2786-2790.
- Alex S, Lange K, Amolo T *et al.* (2013) Short-chain fatty acids stimulate angiopoietinlike 4 synthesis in human colon adenocarcinoma cells by activating peroxisome
 proliferator-activated receptor gamma. *Mol Cell Biol* 33, 1303-1316.
- 78. Marinelli L, Martin-Gallausiaux C, Bourhis J *et al.* (2019) Identification of the novel
 role of butyrate as AhR ligand in human intestinal epithelial cells. *Scientific reports* 9,
 643.
- Fleming SE, Choi SY Fitch MD (1991) Absorption of short-chain fatty acids from the
 rat cecum in vivo. *J Nutr* 121, 1787-1797.

- 692 80. Ardawi MS & Newsholme EA (1985) Fuel utilization in colonocytes of the rat.
 693 *Biochem J* 231, 713-719.
- Roediger WE (1980) Role of anaerobic bacteria in the metabolic welfare of the colonic mucosa in man. *Gut* 21, 793-798.
- Furuta GT, Turner JR, Taylor CT *et al.* (2001) Hypoxia-inducible factor 1-dependent
 induction of intestinal trefoil factor protects barrier function during hypoxia. *J Exp Med*193, 1027-1034.
- Andriamihaja M, Chaumontet C, Tome D *et al.* (2009) Butyrate metabolism in human colon carcinoma cells: implications concerning its growth-inhibitory effect. *J Cell Physiol* 218, 58-65.
- 84. Blouin JM, Penot G, Collinet M *et al.* (2011) Butyrate elicits a metabolic switch in human colon cancer cells by targeting the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex. *Int J Cancer* 128, 2591-2601.
- 85. Donohoe DR, Garge N, Zhang X *et al.* (2011) The microbiome and butyrate regulate
 energy metabolism and autophagy in the mammalian colon. *Cell metabolism* 13, 517526.
- Zambell KL, Fitch MD Fleming SE (2003) Acetate and butyrate are the major
 substrates for de novo lipogenesis in rat colonic epithelial cells. *J Nutr* 133, 3509-3515.
- Park JH, Kotani T, Konno T *et al.* (2016) Promotion of Intestinal Epithelial Cell
 Turnover by Commensal Bacteria: Role of Short-Chain Fatty Acids. *PloS one* 11,
 e0156334.
- Augeron C & Laboisse CL (1984) Emergence of permanently differentiated cell clones
 in a human colonic cancer cell line in culture after treatment with sodium butyrate. *Cancer Res* 44, 3961-3969.
- 89. Barnard JA & Warwick G (1993) Butyrate rapidly induces growth inhibition and differentiation in HT-29 cells. *Cell Growth Differ* 4, 495-501.
- 718 90. Kaiko GE, Ryu SH, Koues OI *et al.* (2016) The Colonic Crypt Protects Stem Cells from
 719 Microbiota-Derived Metabolites. *Cell* 167, 1137.
- 720 91. Csordas A (1996) Butyrate, aspirin and colorectal cancer. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 5, 221721 231.
- Sengupta S, Muir JG Gibson PR (2006) Does butyrate protect from colorectal cancer?
 J Gastroenterol Hepatol 21, 209-218.
- K BA, Madhavan A, T RR *et al.* (2019) Short chain fatty acids enriched fermentation
 metabolites of soluble dietary fibre from Musa paradisiaca drives HT29 colon cancer
 cells to apoptosis. *PloS one* 14, e0216604.

Matthews GM, Howarth GS Butler RN (2012) Short-chain fatty acids induce apoptosis
in colon cancer cells associated with changes to intracellular redox state and glucose
metabolism. *Chemotherapy* 58, 102-109.

- 730 95. Okabe S, Okamoto T, Zhao CM *et al.* (2014) Acetic acid induces cell death: an in vitro
 731 study using normal rat gastric mucosal cell line and rat and human gastric cancer and
 732 mesothelioma cell lines. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 29 Suppl 4, 65-69.
- Verma SP, Agarwal A Das P (2018) Sodium butyrate induces cell death by autophagy
 and reactivates a tumor suppressor gene DIRAS1 in renal cell carcinoma cell line
 UOK146. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol Anim* 54, 295-303.
- 736 97. Kim K, Kwon O, Ryu TY *et al.* (2019) Propionate of a microbiota metabolite induces
 737 cell apoptosis and cell cycle arrest in lung cancer. *Mol Med Rep* 20, 1569-1574.
- Wang TT, Chiang AS, Chu JJ *et al.* (1998) Concomitant alterations in distribution of
 739 70 kDa heat shock proteins, cytoskeleton and organelles in heat shocked 9L cells. *Int J*740 *Biochem Cell Biol* 30, 745-759.
- Pindels LB, Porporato P, Dewulf EM *et al.* (2012) Gut microbiota-derived propionate
 reduces cancer cell proliferation in the liver. *Br J Cancer* 107, 1337-1344.
- 100. Casanova MR, Azevedo-Silva J, Rodrigues LR *et al.* (2018) Colorectal Cancer Cells
 Increase the Production of Short Chain Fatty Acids by Propionibacterium
 freudenreichii Impacting on Cancer Cells Survival. *Front Nutr* 5, 44.
- Gribble FM & Reimann F (2019) Function and mechanisms of enteroendocrine cells
 and gut hormones in metabolism. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 15, 226-237.
- Cani PD, Amar J, Iglesias MA *et al.* (2007) Metabolic endotoxemia initiates obesity
 and insulin resistance. *Diabetes* 56, 1761-1772.
- Samuel BS, Shaito A, Motoike T *et al.* (2008) Effects of the gut microbiota on host
 adiposity are modulated by the short-chain fatty-acid binding G protein-coupled
 receptor, Gpr41. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 105, 16767-16772.
- Karaki S, Tazoe H, Hayashi H *et al.* (2008) Expression of the short-chain fatty acid
 receptor, GPR43, in the human colon. *J Mol Histol* 39, 135-142.
- Lu VB, Gribble FM Reimann F (2018) Free Fatty Acid Receptors in Enteroendocrine
 Cells. *Endocrinology* 159, 2826-2835.
- Nohr MK, Pedersen MH, Gille A *et al.* (2013) GPR41/FFAR3 and GPR43/FFAR2 as
 cosensors for short-chain fatty acids in enteroendocrine cells vs FFAR3 in enteric
 neurons and FFAR2 in enteric leukocytes. *Endocrinology* 154, 3552-3564.
- Tolhurst G, Heffron H, Lam YS *et al.* (2012) Short-chain fatty acids stimulate
 glucagon-like peptide-1 secretion via the G-protein-coupled receptor FFAR2. *Diabetes*61, 364-371.
- 108. Bolognini D, Tobin AB, Milligan G *et al.* (2016) The Pharmacology and Function of
 Receptors for Short-Chain Fatty Acids. *Mol Pharmacol* 89, 388-398.

- Hudson BD, Due-Hansen ME, Christiansen E *et al.* (2013) Defining the molecular basis
 for the first potent and selective orthosteric agonists of the FFA2 free fatty acid receptor. *The Journal of biological chemistry* 288, 17296-17312.
- Psichas A, Sleeth ML, Murphy KG *et al.* (2015) The short chain fatty acid propionate stimulates GLP-1 and PYY secretion via free fatty acid receptor 2 in rodents. *International journal of obesity* 39, 424-429.
- 111. Lee EY, Zhang X, Miyamoto J *et al.* (2018) Gut carbohydrate inhibits GIP secretion
 via a microbiota/SCFA/FFAR3 pathway. *J Endocrinol* 239, 267-276.
- Ang Z, Xiong D, Wu M *et al.* (2018) FFAR2-FFAR3 receptor heteromerization
 modulates short-chain fatty acid sensing. *FASEB J* 32, 289-303.
- 113. Chambers ES, Morrison DJ Frost G (2015) Control of appetite and energy intake by
 SCFA: what are the potential underlying mechanisms? *Proc Nutr Soc* 74, 328-336.
- Tazoe H, Otomo Y, Karaki S *et al.* (2009) Expression of short-chain fatty acid receptor
 GPR41 in the human colon. *Biomed Res* 30, 149-156.
- Roberts GP, Larraufie P, Richards P *et al.* (2019) Comparison of Human and Murine
 Enteroendocrine Cells by Transcriptomic and Peptidomic Profiling. *Diabetes* 68, 1062 1072.
- 116. Larraufie P, Martin-Gallausiaux C, Lapaque N *et al.* (2018) SCFAs strongly stimulate
 PYY production in human enteroendocrine cells. *Scientific reports* 8, 74.
- 117. Brooks L, Viardot A, Tsakmaki A *et al.* (2017) Fermentable carbohydrate stimulates
 FFAR2-dependent colonic PYY cell expansion to increase satiety. *Mol Metab* 6, 48 60.
- Petersen N, Reimann F, Bartfeld S *et al.* (2014) Generation of L cells in mouse and
 human small intestine organoids. *Diabetes* 63, 410-420.
- Reigstad CS, Salmonson CE, Rainey JF, 3rd *et al.* (2015) Gut microbes promote colonic
 serotonin production through an effect of short-chain fatty acids on enterochromaffin *FASEB J* 29, 1395-1403.
- The analysis and the second sec
- 121. Larraufie P, Dore J, Lapaque N *et al.* (2017) TLR ligands and butyrate increase Pyy
 expression through two distinct but inter-regulated pathways. *Cellular microbiology* 19.
- Cox HM (2007) Neuropeptide Y receptors; antisecretory control of intestinal epithelial
 function. *Auton Neurosci* 133, 76-85.
- Pais R, Rievaj J, Larraufie P *et al.* (2016) Angiotensin II Type 1 Receptor-Dependent
 GLP-1 and PYY Secretion in Mice and Humans. *Endocrinology* 157, 3821-3831.

- 803 124. Okuno M, Nakanishi T, Shinomura Y *et al.* (1992) Peptide YY enhances NaCl and
 804 water absorption in the rat colon in vivo. *Experientia* 48, 47-50.
- Fukumoto S, Tatewaki M, Yamada T *et al.* (2003) Short-chain fatty acids stimulate
 colonic transit via intraluminal 5-HT release in rats. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 284, R1269-1276.
- 808 126. Cherbut C, Ferrier L, Roze C *et al.* (1998) Short-chain fatty acids modify colonic motility through nerves and polypeptide YY release in the rat. *Am J Physiol* 275, 810
 G1415-1422.
- 811 127. Cuche G, Cuber JC Malbert CH (2000) Ileal short-chain fatty acids inhibit gastric
 812 motility by a humoral pathway. *American journal of physiology Gastrointestinal and*813 *liver physiology* 279, G925-930.
- Vincent AD, Wang XY, Parsons SP *et al.* (2018) Abnormal absorptive colonic motor
 activity in germ-free mice is rectified by butyrate, an effect possibly mediated by
 mucosal serotonin. *American journal of physiology Gastrointestinal and liver physiology* 315, G896-G907.
- 818 129. Soret R, Chevalier J, De Coppet P *et al.* (2010) Short-chain fatty acids regulate the
 819 enteric neurons and control gastrointestinal motility in rats. *Gastroenterology* 138,
 820 1772-1782.
- 130. Kelly CJ, Zheng L, Campbell EL *et al.* (2015) Crosstalk between Microbiota-Derived
 Short-Chain Fatty Acids and Intestinal Epithelial HIF Augments Tissue Barrier
 Function. *Cell host & microbe* 17, 662-671.
- Faber F, Tran L, Byndloss MX *et al.* (2016) Host-mediated sugar oxidation promotes
 post-antibiotic pathogen expansion. *Nature* 534, 697-699.
- Litvak Y, Byndloss MX, Tsolis RM *et al.* (2017) Dysbiotic Proteobacteria expansion:
 a microbial signature of epithelial dysfunction. *Current opinion in microbiology* 39, 16.
- Rivera-Chavez F, Zhang LF, Faber F *et al.* (2016) Depletion of Butyrate-Producing
 Clostridia from the Gut Microbiota Drives an Aerobic Luminal Expansion of
 Salmonella. *Cell host & microbe* 19, 443-454.
- Bronner DN, Faber F, Olsan EE *et al.* (2018) Genetic Ablation of Butyrate Utilization
 Attenuates Gastrointestinal Salmonella Disease. *Cell host & microbe* 23, 266-273 e264.
- 135. Zheng L, Kelly CJ, Battista KD *et al.* (2017) Microbial-Derived Butyrate Promotes
 Epithelial Barrier Function through IL-10 Receptor-Dependent Repression of Claudin2. *Journal of immunology* 199, 2976-2984.
- 136. Wang HB, Wang PY, Wang X *et al.* (2012) Butyrate enhances intestinal epithelial
 barrier function via up-regulation of tight junction protein Claudin-1 transcription. *Dig Dis Sci* 57, 3126-3135.

- 137. Gaudier E, Jarry A, Blottiere HM *et al.* (2004) Butyrate specifically modulates MUC
 gene expression in intestinal epithelial goblet cells deprived of glucose. *American journal of physiology Gastrointestinal and liver physiology* 287, G1168-1174.
- Hase K, Eckmann L, Leopard JD *et al.* (2002) Cell differentiation is a key determinant
 of cathelicidin LL-37/human cationic antimicrobial protein 18 expression by human
 colon epithelium. *Infection and immunity* 70, 953-963.
- Raqib R, Sarker P, Bergman P *et al.* (2006) Improved outcome in shigellosis associated
 with butyrate induction of an endogenous peptide antibiotic. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 103, 9178-9183.
- I40. Zhao Y, Chen F, Wu W *et al.* (2018) GPR43 mediates microbiota metabolite SCFA regulation of antimicrobial peptide expression in intestinal epithelial cells via activation of mTOR and STAT3. *Mucosal immunology* 11, 752-762.
- Fischer N, Sechet E, Friedman R *et al.* (2016) Histone deacetylase inhibition enhances
 antimicrobial peptide but not inflammatory cytokine expression upon bacterial
 challenge. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 113, E2993-3001.
- Maslowski KM, Vieira AT, Ng A *et al.* (2009) Regulation of inflammatory responses
 by gut microbiota and chemoattractant receptor GPR43. *Nature* 461, 1282-1286.
- Macia L, Tan J, Vieira AT *et al.* (2015) Metabolite-sensing receptors GPR43 and
 GPR109A facilitate dietary fibre-induced gut homeostasis through regulation of the
 inflammasome. *Nature communications* 6, 6734.
- Huang N, Katz JP, Martin DR *et al.* (1997) Inhibition of IL-8 gene expression in Caco2 cells by compounds which induce histone hyperacetylation. *Cytokine* 9, 27-36.
- Atarashi K, Tanoue T, Oshima K *et al.* (2013) Treg induction by a rationally selected mixture of Clostridia strains from the human microbiota. *Nature* 500, 232-236.
- Atarashi K, Tanoue T, Shima T *et al.* (2011) Induction of colonic regulatory T cells by
 indigenous Clostridium species. *Science* 331, 337-341.
- 867 147. Goverse G, Molenaar R, Macia L *et al.* (2017) Diet-Derived Short Chain Fatty Acids
 868 Stimulate Intestinal Epithelial Cells To Induce Mucosal Tolerogenic Dendritic Cells.
 869 Journal of immunology 198, 2172-2181.
- Schulthess J, Pandey S, Capitani M *et al.* (2019) The Short Chain Fatty Acid Butyrate
 Imprints an Antimicrobial Program in Macrophages. *Immunity* 50, 432-445 e437.
- Liu L, Li L, Min J *et al.* (2012) Butyrate interferes with the differentiation and function
 of human monocyte-derived dendritic cells. *Cell Immunol* 277, 66-73.
- Berndt BE, Zhang M, Owyang SY *et al.* (2012) Butyrate increases IL-23 production by
 stimulated dendritic cells. *American journal of physiology Gastrointestinal and liver physiology* 303, G1384-1392.

- Kaisar MMM, Pelgrom LR, van der Ham AJ *et al.* (2017) Butyrate Conditions Human
 Dendritic Cells to Prime Type 1 Regulatory T Cells via both Histone Deacetylase
 Inhibition and G Protein-Coupled Receptor 109A Signaling. *Frontiers in immunology*8, 1429.
- 152. Chang PV, Hao L, Offermanns S *et al.* (2014) The microbial metabolite butyrate regulates intestinal macrophage function via histone deacetylase inhibition. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 111, 2247-2252.
- I53. Ji J, Shu D, Zheng M *et al.* (2016) Microbial metabolite butyrate facilitates M2
 macrophage polarization and function. *Scientific reports* 6, 24838.
- 154. Singh N, Gurav A, Sivaprakasam S *et al.* (2014) Activation of Gpr109a, receptor for niacin and the commensal metabolite butyrate, suppresses colonic inflammation and carcinogenesis. *Immunity* 40, 128-139.
- Vinolo MA, Ferguson GJ, Kulkarni S *et al.* (2011) SCFAs induce mouse neutrophil
 chemotaxis through the GPR43 receptor. *PloS one* 6, e21205.
- Beaking MB, Cahenzli J, Lawson MA *et al.* (2011) Intestinal bacterial colonization
 induces mutualistic regulatory T cell responses. *Immunity* 34, 794-806.
- Round JL & Mazmanian SK (2010) Inducible Foxp3+ regulatory T-cell development
 by a commensal bacterium of the intestinal microbiota. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 107, 12204-12209.
- Furusawa Y, Obata Y, Fukuda S *et al.* (2013) Commensal microbe-derived butyrate
 induces the differentiation of colonic regulatory T cells. *Nature* 504, 446-450.
- 899 159. Smith PM, Howitt MR, Panikov N *et al.* (2013) The microbial metabolites, short-chain fatty acids, regulate colonic Treg cell homeostasis. *Science* 341, 569-573.
- Wu W, Sun M, Chen F *et al.* (2017) Microbiota metabolite short-chain fatty acid acetate
 promotes intestinal IgA response to microbiota which is mediated by GPR43. *Mucosal immunology* 10, 946-956.
- 161. Kim M, Qie Y, Park J *et al.* (2016) Gut Microbial Metabolites Fuel Host Antibody
 Responses. *Cell host & microbe* 20, 202-214.
- Sanchez HN, Moroney JB, Gan H *et al.* (2020) B cell-intrinsic epigenetic modulation
 of antibody responses by dietary fiber-derived short-chain fatty acids. *Nature communications* 11, 60.
- 909 163. Moskowitz JE & Devkota S (2019) Determinants of Microbial Antibiotic
 910 Susceptibility: The Commensal Gut Microbiota Perspective. *Cell host & microbe* 26,
 911 574-576.
- 912 164. David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN *et al.* (2014) Diet rapidly and reproducibly alters
 913 the human gut microbiome. *Nature* 505, 559-563.

- 165. Cotillard A, Kennedy SP, Kong LC *et al.* (2013) Dietary intervention impact on gut
 microbial gene richness. *Nature* 500, 585-588.
- 916 166. De Filippo C, Cavalieri D, Di Paola M *et al.* (2010) Impact of diet in shaping gut microbiota revealed by a comparative study in children from Europe and rural Africa.
 918 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 107, 14691-14696.
- 167. Canfora EE, Jocken JW Blaak EE (2015) Short-chain fatty acids in control of body
 weight and insulin sensitivity. *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 11, 577-591.
- Sanna S, van Zuydam NR, Mahajan A *et al.* (2019) Causal relationships among the gut
 microbiome, short-chain fatty acids and metabolic diseases. *Nat Genet* 51, 600-605.
- Haller D (2010) Nutrigenomics and IBD: the intestinal microbiota at the cross-road
 between inflammation and metabolism. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 44 Suppl 1, S6-9.
- 170. Zhao L, Zhang F, Ding X *et al.* (2018) Gut bacteria selectively promoted by dietary
 fibers alleviate type 2 diabetes. *Science* 359, 1151-1156.

928

