



HAL
open science

Hydrosol of *Thymbra capitata* Is a Highly Efficient Biocide against *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhimurium Biofilms

F. Karampoula, E. Giaouris, J. Deschamps, A.I. Dougleraki, G.-J.E. Nychas, F. Dubois-Brissonnet

► **To cite this version:**

F. Karampoula, E. Giaouris, J. Deschamps, A.I. Dougleraki, G.-J.E. Nychas, et al.. Hydrosol of *Thymbra capitata* Is a Highly Efficient Biocide against *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhimurium Biofilms. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 2016, 82 (17), pp.5309-5319. <10.1128/AEM.01351-16>. <hal-01569015>

HAL Id: hal-01569015

<https://agroparistech.hal.science/hal-01569015v1>

Submitted on 21 Apr 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

1 Hydrosol of *Thymbra capitata* is a highly efficient biocide against biofilms of
2 *Salmonella* Typhimurium: real-time visualization of bacterial inactivation by CLSM

3

4 Foteini Karampoula^{1,3}, Efstathios Giaouris^{2*}, Julien Deschamps³, Agapi I.
5 Doulgeraki¹, George-John E. Nychas¹, Florence Dubois-Brissonnet^{3*}

6

7 ¹ Laboratory of Microbiology and Biotechnology of Foods, Department of Food
8 Science and Human Nutrition, Faculty of Foods, Biotechnology and Development,
9 Agricultural University of Athens (AUA), Iera Odos 75, Athens, 11855, Greece

10 ²Department of Food Science and Nutrition, Faculty of the Environment, University of
11 the Aegean, Mitropolitou Ioakeim 2, Myrina, 81400, Lemnos Island, Greece

12 ³ UMR Micalis, Inra, AgroParisTech, Université Paris Saclay, 78350 Jouy-en-Josas,
13 France

14

15 * Corresponding authors: Florence Dubois–Brissonnet ([Florence.dubois-
17 brissonnet@agroparistech.fr](mailto:Florence.dubois-
16 brissonnet@agroparistech.fr)) and Efstathios Giaouris (stagiaouris@aegean.gr)

17

18

19 **RUNNING TITLE:** *T. capitata* hydrosol is an efficient antibiofilm agent

20

21 **Abstract**

22 *Salmonella* is recognized as one of the most significant enteric foodborne bacterial
23 pathogens. In recent years, the resistance of pathogens to biocides and other
24 environmental stresses, especially when these are embedded in biofilm structures, has
25 led to the search and development of novel antimicrobial strategies capable of
26 displaying both high efficiency and safety. To this direction, the aim of the present work
27 was to evaluate the antimicrobial activity of hydrosol of the Mediterranean spice
28 *Thymbra capitata* against both planktonic and biofilm cells of *Salmonella*
29 Typhimurium, and to compare its action with the one of benzalkonium chloride (BC),
30 a commonly used industrial biocide. In order to achieve this, the disinfectant activity
31 following 6 min treatments was comparatively evaluated for both disinfectants by
32 calculating their concentrations needed to achieve the same log-reductions against both
33 types of cells. Their bactericidal effect against biofilm cells was also comparatively
34 determined by *in situ* and real-time visualization of cell inactivation through the use of
35 time-lapse confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM). Interestingly, results revealed
36 that hydrosol was almost equally effective against both biofilms and planktonic cells,
37 whereas 200 times higher concentration of BC was needed to achieve the same effect
38 against biofilm compared to planktonic cells. Similarly, time-lapse CLSM revealed the
39 significant advantage of the hydrosol to easily penetrate within the biofilm structure
40 and quickly kill the cells, despite the 3D- structuration of *Salmonella* biofilm.

41

42 **Importance**

43 The results of this paper highlight the significant antimicrobial action of a natural
44 compound that is hydrosol of *T. capitata*, against both planktonic and biofilm cells of
45 a common foodborne pathogen. Hydrosol has numerous advantages as disinfectant of

46 food-contact surfaces. It is an aqueous solution which can easily be rinsed out from
47 surfaces, it does not have the strong smell of the EO and it is a by-product of EOs
48 distillation procedure without any industrial application. Consequently, hydrosol
49 obviously could be of great value to combat biofilms and thus to improve product safety
50 not only for the food industries, but probably also for many other industries which
51 experience problems related to biofilms.

52

53 **Keywords:** Disinfection, hydrosol, natural antimicrobial agent, benzalkonium
54 chloride, anti-biofilm, confocal laser scanning microscopy

55

56 **1. Introduction**

57

58 *Salmonella* is an important foodborne pathogenic bacterium that remains the
59 most frequent causative agent of food-borne outbreaks occurring in the European Union
60 (EU). In 2013, this accounted for 22.5 % of the total outbreaks reported (1). That year,
61 a total of 82,694 confirmed cases of salmonellosis were reported by 27 EU member
62 states, with an average notification rate of 20.4 cases per 100,000 people. The serovars
63 Enteritidis and Typhimurium were the most commonly involved representing
64 respectively 39.5 % and 20.2 %, of all reported serovars in confirmed human cases. It
65 is also noted that while the most important sources of food-borne *Salmonella* outbreaks
66 are eggs and egg products, *Salmonella* was also detected in many other types of foods
67 as well (1).

68 Although the native habitat of *Salmonella* is considered to be the intestinal tract
69 of diverse vertebrates, this genus has been shown to be also able to survive for extended
70 periods of time in non-enteric habitats. It is strongly believed that its survival and

71 persistence in these non-host environments may be attributed to its great ability to form
72 biofilms on various inanimate surfaces (2, 3). Biofilms are consortia of microorganisms
73 adherent to each other and/or to a surface and embedded in a scaffold of self-produced
74 extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) (4, 5). Thus, there is extended documentation
75 on the ability of *Salmonella* to attach to various food-contact surfaces (such as stainless
76 steel, plastic and cement) and form biofilms under *in vitro* conditions (6-10), while
77 *Salmonella* cells have also been recovered from surfaces and products of various food
78 industries (11-14). During the last decades, it has become increasingly clear that
79 biofilms are the predominant mode of bacterial life in most environments (15-17).
80 Biofilms formed in food processing environments are of special importance since they
81 may act as a persistent source of product contamination which may lead to food
82 spoilage and / or transmission of diseases (2, 3, 18, 19).

83 Poor sanitation of food-contact surfaces is believed to be an essential
84 contributing factor in foodborne disease outbreaks. Disinfection procedures using either
85 physical or chemical methods have been extensively used over the years to reduce or
86 eliminate bacteria found on food-contact surfaces. However, current methods of
87 sanitation of food facilities are not always sufficiently efficient because of the great
88 resistance displayed by many foodborne bacteria, especially when these are grown into
89 biofilms (15, 20, 21). Several mechanisms have been proposed to be involved in biofilm
90 resistance to disinfectants, including: (i) limitations to the free diffusion of
91 antimicrobial agents through the biofilm matrix, (ii) variability in the physical and
92 chemical microenvironments within the biofilm (e.g., varied conditions of pH, osmotic
93 strength, or nutrients) leading to varied levels of metabolic activity and cell adaptive
94 responses, (iii) mutations and horizontal transfer of genes coding for resistance
95 mechanisms (e.g. detoxifying membrane transporters), (iv) differentiation of bacterial

96 cells into physiological states less susceptible to treatments (e.g. dormant, viable but
97 not culturable, VBNC), together with the presence of extremely resistant “persister”
98 cells and (v) bacterial protection among multi-species consortium (15, 22).

99 This persistence of pathogens in food environments despite continuous
100 disinfection led to the search and development of novel antimicrobial strategies capable
101 of displaying both high efficiency and safety. The growing negative consumer
102 perception against artificial synthetic chemicals has shifted this research effort toward
103 the development and application of environmental friendly disinfectants. The latter
104 should display high lethal activity against biofilms in small concentrations, be safe and
105 easily degraded in the environment (15, 16, 23).

106 Plant extracts can provide unlimited opportunities for microbial control, owing
107 to their great chemical diversity (24-26). In recent years, several reports demonstrating
108 the antibacterial effect of crude essential oils (EOs) and / or their active components
109 against bacteria embedded in biofilms have been published (27-33). However, there are
110 still strict limitations on the practical application of these compounds for the
111 disinfection of industrial surfaces, arising from their strong hydrophobic nature, which
112 hampers their efficient rinsing out from surfaces after a disinfection program, and their
113 intense smell. Hydrosols, which are by-products of the EOs distillation procedure (these
114 are situated just under the organic phase at the end of steam distillation), are aqueous
115 solutions and may thus be easily rinsed out from surfaces. In addition, they do not
116 present the strong smell of the EOs and they do not have any industrial application until
117 now (34). Interestingly, the antimicrobial action of the hydrosol fraction of *Satureja*
118 *thymbra* EO has been demonstrated against single- and mixed-species biofilms
119 composed of technological, spoilage and pathogenic bacteria (35), but to the best of our
120 knowledge, this is the only report available on the anti-biofilm action of hydrosols. In

121 addition, antibacterial activity of hydrosols of several aromatic plants against
122 planktonic pathogens has been shown (36-40). Using such compounds for the
123 disinfection of surfaces in food industrial environments could thus be an interesting
124 way to valorize them (16).

125 For the better characterization and understanding of biofilm resistance
126 mechanisms, development of innovative microscopy techniques, such as confocal laser
127 scanning microscopy (CLSM), together with improvements in fluorescent labeling
128 have emerged. Direct investigation of biocide reactivity within the native structure of
129 biofilms can now provide *in situ* important information on the dynamics of biocide
130 action and spatial heterogeneities of bacterial susceptibility within these structurally
131 and physiologically heterogeneous sessile consortia (41-44).

132 Taking into account all the previous, the aim of the present work was to evaluate
133 the antimicrobial activity of hydrosol of the Mediterranean spice *Thymbra capitata*
134 against both planktonic and biofilm cells of *Salmonella* Typhimurium and to compare
135 its action with the one of benzalkonium chloride (BC), a commonly used industrial
136 biocide. In order to achieve this, the antimicrobial activities of both disinfectants were
137 first comparatively evaluated against 24 h planktonic and biofilm cells (on stainless
138 steel coupons) by plate counting. Concentrations needed to achieve after 6-min
139 treatments the same log-reductions of both type of cells were thus quantitatively
140 determined. Afterwards, the bactericidal effect of the two tested disinfectants, when
141 these were used at some selected concentrations (based on the previously obtained
142 results), was comparatively evaluated by monitoring in real-time and *in-situ*
143 inactivation of biofilm cells on polystyrene microplates through time-lapse confocal
144 laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) analysis.

145

146 **2. Materials and methods**

147

148 *2.1. Bacterial strain, growth conditions, and preparation of bacterial suspension*

149 The bacterium used in this study was *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica*
150 serovar Typhimurim strain CDC 6516-60 (ATCC 14028) isolated from animal tissue
151 of 4-week-old chickens (45). Before each experiment, the microorganism, stored in
152 cryovials at -80°C, was resuscitated in two successive subcultures (7 h and 16 h) in
153 Tryptone Soy Broth (TSB, Biomérieux, France) at 37°C. The working culture was a
154 third subculture, incubated at 20°C for 24h and subsequently harvested by
155 centrifugation (5000 g, 10 min, at 20 °C) for disinfectant testing. For the biofilm
156 formation, the pellets were re-suspended and diluted in 10 ml of 150 mM NaCl in order
157 to obtain a bacterial suspension adjusted at 10⁶ cells/mL.

158

159 *2.2. Antibacterial agents*

160 The hydrosol of *Thymbra capitata* (pharmacy Provata, Athens, Greece) and the
161 quaternary ammonium compound, benzalkonium chloride C14 (BC: MW, 368.04;
162 puriss., anhydrous, 99.0%, Fluka, France) were used to carry out disinfectant tests.
163 Different concentrations of hydrosol (25-75% for planktonic cells; 50-100% for
164 biofilms) and BC (0.0006-0.002 % for planktonic cells; 0.125-0.5 % for biofilms) were
165 prepared by diluting appropriate quantities of each antimicrobial compound in sterilized
166 deionized water the day of the experiment.

167

168 *2.3. Chemical analysis of Thymbra capitata hydrosol and its related essential oil*

169 *T. capitata* hydrosol was chemically analyzed by Solid Phase Micro-Extraction
170 followed by Gas Chromatography - Mass Spectrometry (SPME GC/MS) (46).

171 Hydrosol samples (10 mL) together with 3 g NaCl were placed into a 20 mL headspace
172 vial fitted with a Teflon-lined septum sealed with an aluminum crimp seal, through
173 which the SPME fiber 50/30 mm divinylbenzene/carbozen in poly-dimethyl-siloxane 2
174 cm (Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA) was introduced. 4-methyl-2-pentanol was used as
175 an internal standard at a final concentration 0.8 mg/L. The mix was equilibrated at 60
176 °C for 45 min. After head-space extraction, the fiber was transferred to the GC injection
177 port where the absorbed compounds were thermally desorbed for 3 min at 240 °C.
178 The essential oil was diluted in pure hexane (1:9), 3 g NaCl were added to 10 mL of
179 diluted oil and the mixture was then chemically analyzed by either SPME GC/MS
180 analysis as described above or by GC/MS analysis following filtration using 0.22 µm
181 filters and direct injection (1 µL). Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC/MS)
182 analysis was carried out with a 6890N system (Agilent Technologies, USA) equipped
183 with an HP-5MS column (30 m, 0.25 mm inner diameter, film thickness 0.25 µm,
184 Agilent technologies) and coupled with an Agilent Technologies 5973Networked mass
185 detector. Column temperature was set at 35 °C for 6 min, increased at 60 °C at the rate
186 of 2 °C/min, kept constant for 5 min, increased again to 200°C at the rate of 5 °C/min
187 and then to 250°C at the rate of 25 °C/min and finished constant for 6 min. Helium was
188 used as the carrier gas (linear velocity of 1.8 or 1.5 mL/min for SPME or direct analysis,
189 respectively). The injector was operated in splitless mode for SPME analysis and in
190 split mode (1:50 split ratio) for direct injection analysis. Both injector and detector
191 temperatures were 240 °C. The mass spectrometer was operated in the electron impact
192 mode with the electron energy set at 70 eV and 45–400m/z scan range. Results acquired
193 were processed by ChemStation integrated software (Agilent Technologies) and
194 constituents were identified by comparing mass spectra with reference spectra from
195 NB575, stack and Wiley275 libraries, by spectra from standard compounds (in house

196 libraries) and by determining Kovats' retention indexes and comparing them with those
197 reported in the literature. In SPME GC/MS analysis, the volatile compounds were semi-
198 quantified by dividing the peak areas of the compounds of interest by the peak area of
199 the IS and multiplying this ratio by the initial concentration of the IS (expressed as
200 mg/L), while in direct injection GC/MS analysis the % area of volatile compounds was
201 estimated. The peak areas were measured from the full scan chromatograph using total
202 ion current (TIC). Each experiment was carried out in duplicate and the mean data are
203 presented.

204

205 *2.4. Biofilm formation*

206 Biofilms of *S. Typhimurium* were grown on 1-cm² stainless steel (SS) AISI 204
207 coupons (Goodfellow, Cambridge Science Park, UK) and also on the wells of 96-well
208 polystyrene microtiter plates (Greiner Bio-One 655090, France) with a Clear base
209 (polystyrene; 190±5 µm thick) (47). Before use, the SS coupons were cleaned with
210 surfactant RBS 35 (Société des traitements chimiques de surface, Lambersart, France),
211 rinsed with deionized water and settled in the wells of a 24-well polystyrene microtiter
212 plate (Techno Plastic products, Switzerland) (29, 48). 1 mL or 250 µL of bacterial
213 subculture, as prepared above (~ 10⁶ cells/mL), were respectively poured into the wells
214 of the 24-well (containing SS coupons) and 96-well microplates. Adhesion on SS
215 coupons or on polystyrene wells was done by sedimentation for 2 h at 20 °C.
216 Subsequently, the planktonic bacterial suspension was removed and 1 mL (or 250 µL)
217 of TSB was added in each well. Microtiter plates were incubated at 20 °C for 24 h
218 without shaking to allow biofilm development (on either SS coupons or the polystyrene
219 wells).

220

221 *2.5. Antimicrobial testing against planktonic cells*

222 Planktonic cells were challenged with the disinfectants using the EN 1040
223 standard protocol (49). Briefly, 1 ml of a 100-fold diluted solution of the working
224 culture (prepared as previously described) was centrifuged at 5000 g for 10 min.
225 Subsequently, the pellet ($\sim 10^7$ cells) was resuspended in 1 mL of each antimicrobial
226 solution (at different concentrations) and left in contact for 6 min at 20°C. The
227 antimicrobial action was halted by transferring a volume (1:9) to a quenching solution
228 (3 g/L L- α -phosphatidyl cholin, 30 g/L Tween 80, 5 g/L sodium thiosulfate, 1 g/L L-
229 histidine, 30 g/L saponine) for 10 min. Serial dilutions were then prepared and survivors
230 were enumerated on Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA) using the 6 \times 6 drop count method (50).
231 The control was performed in the same way with sterile deionized water instead of the
232 disinfectant. The logarithm reduction achieved was the difference between the log₁₀ of
233 the survivors after the test with deionized water (control) and the log₁₀ of the survivors
234 after the test with the antimicrobial agent. For each condition, three to seven replicates
235 were performed.

236

237 *2.6. Antimicrobial testing against biofilms formed on stainless steel coupons*

238 Following biofilm formation on SS coupons, the planktonic suspension was
239 removed from each well and each coupon was once rinsed with 1 ml of 150 mM NaCl.
240 Afterwards, each coupon was immediately challenged with 1 mL of each disinfectant
241 solution (at different concentrations) for 6 min at 20°C (the same time as for planktonic
242 cells). Antimicrobial action was halted by placing coupons for 10 min at 20°C in the
243 quenching solution, as previously described. The survivors were removed from the
244 surface by scratching with a plastic rake (folded pipette cone) in a standardized way
245 (horizontal/vertical/oblique) and enumerated by plate counting as previously described.

246 Control was performed in the same way with sterile deionized water instead of the
247 disinfectant and log reductions were calculated as previously described. For each
248 condition, three to eight replicates were performed.

249

250 *2.7. Calculation of resistance coefficients of the two biocides*

251 The resistance coefficient (R_c) was determined for each biocide. R_c is equal to
252 $C_{\text{biofilm}}/C_{\text{planktonic}}$, where C_{biofilm} corresponds to the biocide concentration required to kill
253 a given level of biofilm cells and $C_{\text{planktonic}}$ to the concentration needed to kill the same
254 level of planktonic cells (15).

255

256 *2.8. Antimicrobial testing against biofilms formed on polystyrene evaluated by* 257 *time-lapse microscopy*

258 This method allows the direct investigation of biocide reactivity within the
259 native structure of biofilms (42). To achieve this, cells were initially labelled with a
260 viability fluorescent marker and subsequently submitted to disinfection. The
261 antimicrobial action induces cell membrane's permeabilization and subsequently loss
262 of fluorescence that represents cell's death.

263 Biofilms formed on polystyrene wells were initially stained with the esterase
264 viability marker Chemchrome V6 (AES Chemunex, Ivry-sur-Seine, France) which can
265 penetrate passively into the cell where it is cleaved by cytoplasmic esterases, leading to
266 the intracellular release of fluorescent residues (green fluorescence). After the 24 h
267 biofilm growth period, 100 μL of the medium were gently removed from each well and
268 replaced with 100 μL of V6 solution (diluted 1:100 in B16 buffer) (42). Microplate was
269 incubated in the dark for 20 min at 37 °C in order to reach fluorescence equilibrium.
270 Afterwards, the whole liquid part above the biofilm was gently removed from each well

271 and biofilms were once rinsed with 100 µl of 150 mM NaCl to eliminate any excess of
272 fluorescent dye. All the wells were refilled with 100 µl of 150 mM NaCl, except those
273 wells where the action of 100% hydrosol would be checked (no dilution).

274 Time lapse CLSM analysis of antimicrobial action of the two biocides against
275 *S. Typhimurium* biofilms was performed by using Leica SP2 AOBS confocal laser
276 scanning microscope at MIMA2 microscopy platform (INRA)
277 (http://www6.jouy.inra.fr/mima2_eng/). The following acquisition parameters were
278 adjusted: objective 63x oil with 1.4 numerical aperture, series of time lapse image scans
279 256 x 256 pixels, speed of scan: 400 Hz, excitation 488 nm with argon laser, emission
280 from 500 nm to 600 nm. A first xyz stack was measured (z-step 1 µm) to quantify the
281 structural parameters of the biofilm before disinfection (biovolume, thickness, density
282 etc). Subsequently a xyzt scan was done with a xyz measurement (with five sections in
283 the biofilm) every 15 s during 25 min. Appropriate quantities of either hydrosol or BC
284 were gently added to each well just after completion of the first xyz scan. Tested
285 concentrations were 100%, 75%, 50% for hydrosol and 0.5% for BC (final
286 concentrations in the wells). For each concentration, at least 8 replicates were
287 performed.

288

289 *2.9. Image analysis of fluorescence loss and estimation of inactivation kinetic* 290 *parameters*

291 The intensity of green fluorescence was quantified by the LCS Lite confocal
292 software (Leica microsystems). Intensity curves showing fluorescence loss were
293 extracted separately for four different sections within the biofilms (from the attachment
294 surface to the top of biofilm) (see results for distances), as well as for their maximum
295 projection, which is the 2D projection of the 3D biofilm structure and represents the

296 fluorescence in the whole biofilm. Intensity values were normalized by dividing the
297 fluorescence intensity recorded at the different time points by the initial fluorescence
298 intensity values obtained at the same location. Three-dimensional projections of biofilm
299 structure were reconstructed using the Easy 3D function of the IMARIS 7.0 software
300 (Bitplane, Switzerland). Quantitative structural parameters of the biofilms (i.e.
301 biovolume, density and thickness), were calculated using ICY, an open community
302 platform for bioimage informatics, created by Quantitative Image Analysis Unit at
303 Pasteur Institute (<http://www.bioimageanalysis.org/>).

304 GinaFiT, a freeware add-in for Microsoft Excel was used to model inactivation
305 kinetics (51). This tool enables testing of nine different types of microbial survival
306 models, and the choice of the best fit depends on five statistical measures (i.e., sum of
307 squared errors, mean sum of squared errors and its root, R^2 , and adjusted R^2). During
308 the present study, the “shoulder log-linear tail”, “log-linear tail”, or “log-linear”
309 inactivation models were fitted to the fluorescence intensity curves obtained from the
310 CLSM image series during biocide treatment. Two inactivation kinetic parameters were
311 then extracted from this fitting: Sl, the shoulder length (min) that corresponded to the
312 length of the lag phase (time period where fluorescence remains unreduced), and k_{max} ,
313 the inactivation rate (min^{-1}).

314

315 2.10. Statistical analysis

316 All statistical analyses (oneway ANOVA, linear regression) were performed
317 using JMP v8.0 software (SAS, Cary, USA). Significance was defined as a P value
318 associated with a Fisher test value lower than 0.05.

319

320 3. Results

321

322 *3.1. Chemical analysis of T. capitata hydrosol and essential oil*

323 The chemical composition of *T. capitata* hydrosol was analyzed in order to
324 identify the various antimicrobial compounds that it might contain. As hydrosol is a by-
325 product of EO distillation procedure, it was interesting to also comparatively analyze
326 *T. capitata* EO. Chemical composition of *T. capitata* hydrosol and EO are presented in
327 Table 1.

328 This analysis revealed that *T. capitata* hydrosol is a complex mixture containing
329 24 constituents. Its major compound is carvacrol (946,3 mg/L) followed by 1-octen-3-
330 ol (10,8 mg/L), terpinen-4-ol (9,3 mg/L), borneol (6,6 mg/l), α -terpineol (2,7 mg/l), p-
331 cymene (1,2 mg/l), γ -terpinene (1,2 mg/l), eugenol (0,9 mg/l), linalool (0,8 mg/l) and
332 thymol (0,8 mg/l). On the other hand, the main constituents of *T. capitata* EO are
333 carvacrol (28.3%), γ - terpinene (31.2%) and p-cymene (20%). Other components such
334 as α -terpinene, α -thujene and α -pinene, E-caryophyllene, thymol were also found in
335 this analysis. Interestingly, among the 24 hydrosol compounds, 14 were also detected
336 in the EO, while ten oxygenated compounds were detected in hydrosol but not in the
337 EO.

338

339 *3.2. Antimicrobial activity of hydrosol and BC against planktonic and biofilm cells* 340 *on stainless steel coupons*

341 Disinfectant efficacies of *T. capitata* hydrosol and BC were tested against 24 h
342 *S. Typhimurium* planktonic and biofilm cells on SS coupons. Cell density in biofilms
343 after 24 h of development reached 7.47 ± 0.46 log cfu/cm². To be able to make
344 comparisons, the cell density of planktonic suspension was also adjusted to a similar
345 level (7.96 ± 0.23 log cfu/ml).

346 Log reductions of planktonic (log cfu/ml) and biofilm cells (log cfu/cm²)
347 achieved after 6 min exposure to different concentrations of BC (0.0006 - 0.5%) and *T.*
348 *capitata* hydrosol (37.5 - 100%) are presented in Table 2.

349 First of all, biofilm cells have been found to demonstrate significant greater
350 resistance to both biocides compared to the planktonic cells. Thus, higher
351 concentrations of each biocide were required to kill the same number of biofilm cells
352 compared to planktonic ones. More particularly, a 6 log reduction was achieved after
353 treatment of planktonic cells with 0.0012 % BC, whereas 0.25 % BC was required to
354 achieve the same log reduction of biofilm cells. An approximate 5 log reduction of
355 planktonic and biofilm cells was obtained by applying respectively 42% and 75 %
356 hydrosol solutions.

357 R_c for two different and representative log-reductions and mean R_c are
358 presented in Table 2 for the two biocides. Based on these results, BC has a significantly
359 higher R_c (208.3) than hydrosol (1.6). This actually means that BC needs to be 208 fold
360 more concentrated to exert the same effect against biofilm cells than against planktonic
361 ones, whereas hydrosol is almost equally efficient against both types of cells.

362

363 *3.3. Real time visualization of the biocide action against S. Typhimurium biofilm* 364 *cells on polystyrene by confocal laser scanning microscopy*

365 Antimicrobial efficiency of BC and *T. capitata* hydrosol against 24 h
366 *S. Typhimurium* biofilms was evaluated using real-time visualization of fluorescence
367 loss by CLSM. During control experiments (treatment with distilled water), a
368 fluorescence loss less than 10% ± 3% of initial fluorescence was observed, after 25 min
369 of treatment.

370 Before adding the disinfectant, the biovolume and thickness of *Salmonella*
371 biofilm were respectively $575155 \pm 212356 \mu\text{m}^3$ and $55.4 \pm 10.4 \mu\text{m}$. Three illustrative
372 experiments for treatments with BC 0.5%, hydrosol 100% and hydrosol 75% are
373 presented in Figures 1-3. All sections into biofilms treated with the same biocide were
374 fitted by using the same inactivation model (BC 0.5% “shoulder log-linear tail”,
375 hydrosol 100% ‘log linear tail’, hydrosol 75% ‘log linear’) demonstrating that each
376 biocide acts with the same type of kinetics into the biofilm structure. A few images
377 corresponding to the maximum projection of the whole biofilm as a function of time
378 are represented under each Figure. From the Figures 1-3, it can firstly be observed that
379 fluorescence loss is much quicker following treatment of biofilms with hydrosol 100%
380 (and even 75 %) compared to BC 0.5%.

381 Inactivation parameters obtained following all repetitions conducted in this
382 study (including the illustrative experiments described above) are shown in Table 3.
383 Quantitative comparison of these inactivation parameters revealed some very
384 interesting findings for the two biocides. SI for BC (10.7 ± 7 , $R^2=0.98 \pm 0.08$) was
385 significantly different and markedly higher than the close to zero SI for hydrosol 100%
386 (0.2 ± 0.4 $R^2=0.99 \pm 0.04$). Not only SI value was high, but there was also high standard
387 deviation. In order to explain this big variability, SI values were correlated with biofilm
388 biovolume, and it was found a significant important linear correlation (Prob>F 0.0432*
389 $p=0.05$) but Rsquare of the model was extremely low ($R^2=0.26$). No significant
390 difference was recorded in SI at the different sections inside the biofilm during the
391 application of BC 0.5 %. Nevertheless, in the 1st section, mean SI (11.34 ± 1.7) was a
392 little higher than 2nd (10.93 ± 1.7) which was a little higher than 3rd (10.58 ± 1.7).

393 With regard to the inactivation rates, k_{max} for BC (0.51 ± 0.40 , $R^2=0.98 \pm 0.08$)
394 was statistically significant lower than k_{max} presented for 100% hydrosol (0.82 ± 0.36 ,

395 $R^2=0.99 \pm 0.04$). Consequently, BC 0.5 % has lower bactericidal effect on
396 *S. Typhimurium* biofilms than hydrosol 100%, which was very efficient from the first
397 seconds of its application leading to total biofilm eradication within 2-3 minutes. No
398 significant difference was observed in k_{max} values at different sections with the biofilms
399 whatever the disinfectant.

400 Comparing the two concentrations of hydrosol, hydrosol 75% resulted in a
401 much lower k_{max} (0.24 ± 0.17) than hydrosol 100% and a higher SI (3.44 ± 2.79). For
402 hydrosol 75%, no significant differences were observed in k_{max} and SI within the
403 different sections inside the biofilm, just like for hydrosol 100%. It should be noted that
404 hydrosol 50% was also tested in this study but it didn't exhibit sufficient bactericidal
405 activity presenting an almost zero inactivation rate (0.03 ± 0.02) (data not shown).

406

407 **4. Discussion**

408 *Thymbra capitata* is a species of aromatic plants native to the Mediterranean
409 region of southern Europe, North Africa, and the Middle East. It belongs to the family
410 of Lamiaceae, which includes many widely used culinary herbs (such as basil, mint,
411 rosemary, sage, savory, marjoram, oregano, and thyme). The anti-biofilm activity of its
412 EO has already been described (52, 53), but not the one of its hydrosol. It was first
413 important to characterize hydrosol composition by SPME GC-MS in comparison with
414 the one of the original essential oil (EO). The main constituents of *T. capitata* EO were
415 oxygenated phenolic monoterpene carvacrol, monocyclic monoterpene γ -terpinene and
416 the alkylbenzene p-cymene, as found in previous studies (54-56). However, carvacrol
417 content in this study was much lower (20%) than previous reported concentrations (60-
418 70%). In addition, other components detected here, such as monocyclic monoterpene
419 α -terpinene, bicyclic monoterpenes, as α -thujene and α -pinene, acyclic monoterpenes

420 myrcene or linalool and the sesquiterpene E-caryophyllene, were also described as
421 important compounds (55, 57, 58). The chemical composition of hydrosols of aromatic
422 herbs produced in the same extraction process of EOs is not commonly studied. Their
423 chemical analysis is the subject of only a limited number of publications (34, 59-62).
424 However, to the best of our knowledge, the chemical composition of *T. capitata*
425 hydrosol has not been reported until now. In this study, the chemical analysis revealed
426 that this is a complex mixture containing part of the EO components. Thus, among 24
427 constituents that were detected, 14 were in common with the EO. However, some
428 components, such as α -terpinene, myrcene, camphene and limonene, were missing in
429 the hydrosol. Most of these missing compounds are completely insoluble in water,
430 while some others probably disappear quickly from the acidic hydrosol with diverse
431 degradation mechanisms (61). Some of the components that were found only in
432 hydrosol and not in the EO could derive from chemical reactions occurring during
433 hydro-distillation or extraction procedure before the GC analysis (59).

434 In this study, *T. capita* hydrosol was found to have a high bactericidal activity
435 against planktonic cells, as 1.2 ± 0.2 , 2.8 ± 0.5 and 4.7 ± 0.7 log reductions were achieved
436 by applying 37.5, 40 and 42 % (v/v) respectively. Information on the evaluation of
437 different hydrosols as disinfectants is available (36-40). However, to the best of our
438 knowledge, the antimicrobial action of *T. capita* hydrosol fraction has not been
439 recorded yet.

440 Based on our results, *T. capitata* hydrosol presented a significant anti-biofilm
441 action (complete eradication with 100% (v/v), approximate 5 log reduction with 75%)
442 (Table 2). Antibacterial action of *Satureja thymbra* hydrosol against 5-days mono- and
443 multi-species biofilms of *Pseudomonas putida*, *Salmonella enterica* and *Listeria*
444 *monocytogenes* has been previously shown (35), but it is the only one reporting anti-

445 biofilm action of hydrosols. *T. capitata* and *S. thymbra* are similar species which belong
446 to the same family of Lamiaceae (also called Labiatae). Although it is difficult and often
447 misleading to compare results obtained in different studies, lower exposure time was
448 actually required in the current study for the complete eradication of biofilm (6 min
449 instead of 60 min) and a lower dose (75% instead of 100%) was also sufficient (35).

450 The Rc observed for hydrosol was very low (1.6) showing that this is almost
451 equally active against both planktonic and biofilm cells (Table 2). In the literature, the
452 Rc values of various biocides could range from 1 to 1000 depending on the considered
453 species and antimicrobial agent (15). Among all biocides reported, only hydrogen
454 peroxide and phenol exhibited the minimum Rc=1. Biocides with the second lowest Rc
455 (=4) were of plant origin (oregano, carvacrol, thymol and eucalyptus oil) (15). It is
456 noteworthy that hydrosol had a lower Rc than the ones of its main components carvacrol
457 or thymol alone (however tested against biofilms of different bacterial species),
458 revealing a very promising anti-biofilm agent.

459 BC (Rc 208.3) was shown much less efficient compared to hydrosol against
460 *Salmonella* biofilms. This thus needed to be more than 200 times more concentrated to
461 exert the same effect on biofilm cells than on planktonic ones. In the literature, the Rc
462 values for BC range from 10 to 1000, but in most cases these are above 50 (15). Typical
463 sanitizing concentrations of quaternary ammonium compounds, such as BC, range from
464 0.02 to 0.1% (v/v), but these are more generally applied at 0.02% (v/v) (63). According
465 to some authors, the recommended user concentration of BC can be a little bit higher at
466 0.07% (v/v) (64). However, in the present study it was demonstrated that BC at 0.125%
467 has an inadequate bactericidal effect against *S. Typhimurium* biofilm cells, resulting
468 only in a 2 log reduction, whereas a sufficient 6 log reduction was only achieved with
469 BC 0.25% (Table 2). Similarly, 0.02% BC failed to eradicate 48 h *S. Typhimurium*

470 biofilm on concrete (only 0.22 log-reduction) (65). It was also reported that BC 0.75 %
471 was required to eradicate 3 days biofilms of *S. Typhimurium* (64). All these findings
472 point out that BC, a nevertheless very common industrial disinfectant, is not effective
473 against *S. Typhimurium* biofilms when this is applied at the recommended or generally
474 used concentrations. This constitutes a common phenomenon for many industrial
475 sanitizers, since the standards for testing disinfectant efficiency, such as European EN
476 1040 method (49), widely utilize planktonic cultures and results do not reflect the
477 efficacy against bacteria in a biofilm state. However, it should be noted that the use of
478 BC at high concentrations required for biofilm eradication may be not easily applied in
479 food industry because of the risk of leaving residues in food. BC maximum residue
480 level (MRL) for food and feed, laid down in Regulation (EC) No 396/2005, is 0.5 mg/kg
481 (June 2014). Moreover, 0.1% is the maximum concentration of BC that does not
482 produce primary irritation on intact skin or act as a sensitizer (66).

483 In the present study, spatial and temporal dynamics of the biocide actions were
484 evaluated towards biofilms in real time and *in situ* by confocal laser scanning
485 microscopy (CLSM). The spatial information obtained from CLSM analysis is of great
486 importance because biofilms are known to present structural and physiological
487 heterogeneity (67-69). The obtained temporal information can provide clues about the
488 protective mechanisms of cells into the biofilm. For example, when a tolerant
489 subpopulation is present, the shape of the inactivation curve should be concave up, and
490 when a reaction-diffusion interaction limits the rate of access of the antimicrobial agent
491 into the biofilm the shape of the inactivation curve should be concave down (70, 71).
492 We have examined here the spatial action of both biocides in *S. Typhimurium* biofilms
493 (Fig. 1-3, Table 3). BC 0.5% exhibited high SI values which means that there is an
494 important initial time period at which it is totally ineffective against biofilm cells. Such

495 patterns of inactivation indicate the existence of transport limitations which is in
496 accordance with previous references presuming that the restricted penetration of BC
497 into biofilms might be one of the key processes explaining the resistance of biofilms to
498 this biocide (42). However, contrarily to *P. aeruginosa* ATCC 15442 biofilm (42), no
499 significant difference in inactivation kinetics at different depths of the biofilm were
500 obtained, even if there was approximately 40 sec more delay in the biocide action of
501 BC 0.5% at each section situated deeper into the biofilm. This is probably due to
502 differences in biofilm thickness and in composition of matrix for the two bacterial
503 species.

504 On the contrary, hydrosol 100% caused a uniform and direct linear loss of
505 fluorescence in biofilm cell clusters of *S. Typhimurium*, suggesting that the slightly
506 greater resistance of the biofilm compared to planktonic cells observed in this study for
507 this biocide could not be due to limitations affecting its penetration into the biofilm. In
508 addition, hydrosol 100% displayed a relatively high inactivation rate resulted in a rapid
509 and total cells permeabilization throughout the biofilm within a few minutes (Fig. 2,
510 Table 3).

511 The composition of hydrosol can explain its tremendous efficiency. *T. capitata*
512 hydrosol's main constituent is carvacrol with its concentration to be 943.6 mg/L
513 (0.0943%). Several studies have demonstrated that carvacrol has both bacteriostatic and
514 bactericidal activity against foodborne microorganisms including *Salmonella enterica*
515 (27, 72-74). The effectiveness of carvacrol as a natural antimicrobial compound is well
516 established and its mechanism of action is believed to be associated with structural and
517 functional damage to cellular membranes (25, 28, 74-76). It has also been previously
518 demonstrated as an anti-biofilm compound. Biofilm eradication concentration (BEC, 5
519 log reduction) of carvacrol against *L. monocytogenes* biofilms on SS coupons and

520 microplates was obtained at 5 mM (0,0750 mg/L) (77). Similarly, it was reported that
521 carvacrol BEC against *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* biofilms on microplates ranged
522 from 0.125 to 0.5 % (v/v) (78). Low levels (2 and 3 log CFU/g) of *S. Enteritidis* and *E.*
523 *coli* O157:H7 were inactivated when radish seeds were treated with 4000 ppm and 8000
524 ppm (0.4-0.5%) of carvacrol for 60 min (79). The markedly effective antimicrobial and
525 anti-biofilm action of the *T. capitata* hydrosol against *S. Typhimurium* could thus be
526 partly attributed to its high carvacrol content. However, other constituents of this
527 hydrosol, such as terpinen-4-ol, thymol and eugenol, have also previously shown
528 antibacterial properties (25, 72, 78, 80, 81). Their concentrations in the hydrosol were
529 much lower compared to carvacrol's concentration, but synergistic action can occur
530 between all these compounds and can explain the overall high efficiency of the
531 hydrosol. In previous studies, combinations of different compounds from EOs, in
532 particular carvacrol, thymol and eugenol, showed synergistic activity (29, 72, 82, 83).
533 The high efficiency of hydrosol is also probably due to its high water solubility. We
534 can thus assume that active molecules of the hydrosol can better diffuse in aqueous
535 medium around planktonic bacteria or biofilm cells and their efficiency get improved
536 compared to the EOs that need initial solubilization in an organic solvent (ethanol,
537 DMSO) before introduction into an aqueous medium.

538

539 **5. Conclusions**

540 It is of great importance to take into consideration that bacteria can manage to
541 survive in many harsh environments, like those encountered in food processing, mainly
542 being enclosed into biofilms, sessile consortia which present higher resistance against
543 many environmental stresses (e.g. application of antimicrobial agents) compared to
544 their planktonic counterparts. Although the study of biofilms has come into sharp focus

545 in recent years, more research is required to further understand the intricate mechanisms
546 accounting for biofilm recalcitrance and to develop efficient methods to control them.
547 Both methods used in this study led to the same conclusion for both biocides. BC, which
548 is a common industrial disinfectant, proved to have insufficient anti-biofilm activity at
549 user's recommended concentration. On the contrary, *T. capita* hydrosol was clearly
550 demonstrated as a highly efficient anti-biofilm agent. The findings of this study i.e. the
551 efficiency to kill biofilm cells through a hydrosol fraction, an aqueous solution, which
552 is easily rinsed out from surfaces, and does not have the strong smell of the EO, may
553 be of great value not only for the food industries, but probably also for many other
554 industries which experience problems related to biofilms. This obviously could be an
555 interesting alternative and / or supplementary way to combat biofilms, being one
556 innovative, natural and environmentally safe antimicrobial agent. Indeed as hydrosols
557 are by-products of EOs distillation procedure, without any industrial application until
558 now, it could be useful and smart to apply such natural compounds for the disinfection
559 of surfaces in these environments. However, before its extensive use as disinfectant in
560 the food industry and other environments facing hygiene problems, more safety studies
561 based on toxicology data should be carried out.

562

563 **Acknowledgements**

564 Authors acknowledge the European Cooperation in Science and Technology
565 (COST) Action FA1202 BacFoodNet: "A European network for mitigating bacterial
566 colonization and persistence on foods and food processing environments"
567 (<http://www.bacfoodnet.org/>) for facilitating collaboration and providing FK a short
568 term scientific mission in UMR Micalis, Inra, AgroParisTech, France.

569 The authors also thank Dr. Yiannis Kourkoutas for carrying out the SPME
570 GC/MS analysis at the Laboratory of Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology,
571 Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Democritus University of Thrace,
572 Greece.

573

574 **References**

- 575 1. **EFSA.** 2015. Trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne
576 outbreaks in 2013. *EFSA Journal* **13**:3991.
- 577 2. **Dubois-Brissonnet F.** 2012. Adaptation of *Salmonella* to antimicrobials in
578 food-processing environments, p. 123-146. *In* Annous BA, Gurtler J (ed.),
579 "*Salmonella* - Distribution, Adaptation, Control Measures and Molecular
580 Technologies". Intech.
- 581 3. **Giaouris E, Chorianopoulos N, Skandamis P, Nychas GJ.** 2012. Attachment
582 and biofilm formation by *Salmonella* in food processing environments. *In*
583 Mahmoud B (ed.), *Salmonella - A Dangerous Foodborne Pathogen*, vol. DOI:
584 10.5772/1308. Intechopen, <http://www.intechopen.com/>.
- 585 4. **Costerton J, Lewandowski Z, Caldwell D, Korber D, Lappin-Scott H.** 1995.
586 Microbial biofilms. *Annual Review of Microbiology* **49**:711-745.
- 587 5. **Giaouris E, Heir E, Desvaux M, Hebraud M, Moretro T, Langsrud S,**
588 **Doulgeraki A, Nychas GJ, Kacaniova M, Czaczyk K, Olmez H, Simoes M.**
589 2015. Infra- and inter-species interactions within biofilms of important
590 foodborne bacterial pathogens. *Frontiers in Microbiology* **6**.
- 591 6. **Chia TWR, Goulter RM, McMeekin T, Dykes GA, Fegan N.** 2009.
592 Attachment of different *Salmonella* serovars to materials commonly used in a
593 poultry processing plant. *Food Microbiology* **26**:853-859.

- 594 7. **Giaouris E, Choriantopoulos N, Nychas GJE.** 2005. Effect of temperature,
595 pH, and water activity on biofilm formation by *Salmonella enterica* Enteritidis
596 PT4 on stainless steel surfaces as indicated by the bead vortexing method and
597 conductance measurements. *Journal of Food Protection* **68**:2149-2154.
- 598 8. **Giaouris ED, Nychas G-JE.** 2006. The adherence of *Salmonella* Enteritidis
599 PT4 to stainless steel: The importance of the air-liquid interface and nutrient
600 availability. *Food Microbiology* **23**:747-752.
- 601 9. **Marin C, Hernandez A, Lainez M.** 2009. Biofilm development capacity of
602 *Salmonella* strains isolated in poultry risk factors and their resistance against
603 disinfectants. *Poultry Science* **88**:424-431.
- 604 10. **Oliveira K, Oliveira T, Teixeira P, Azeredo J, Henriques M, Oliveira R.**
605 2006. Comparison of the adhesion ability of different *Salmonella enteritidis*
606 serotypes to materials used in kitchens. *Journal of Food Protection* **69**:2352-
607 2356.
- 608 11. **Er B, Demirhan B, Onurdag FK, Ozgacar SO, Oktem AB.** 2014.
609 Antimicrobial and antibiofilm effects of selected food preservatives against
610 *Salmonella* spp. isolated from chicken samples. *Poultry Science* **93**:695-701.
- 611 12. **Finn S, Hinton JCD, McClure P, Amezquita A, Martins M, Fanning S.**
612 2013. Phenotypic characterization of *Salmonella* isolated from food production
613 environments associated with low-water activity foods. *Journal of Food*
614 *Protection* **76**:1488-1499.
- 615 13. **Jakociune D, Bisgaard M, Pedersen K, Olsen JE.** 2014. Demonstration of
616 persistent contamination of a cooked egg product production facility with
617 *Salmonella enterica* serovar Tennessee and characterization of the persistent
618 strain. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **117**:547-553.

- 619 14. **Piras F, Fois F, Consolati SG, Mazza R, Mazzette R.** 2015. Influence of
620 temperature, source, and serotype on biofilm formation of *Salmonella enterica*
621 isolates from pig slaughterhouses. *Journal of Food Protection* **78**:1875-1878.
- 622 15. **Bridier A, Briandet R, Thomas V, Dubois-Brissonnet F.** 2011. Resistance of
623 bacterial biofilms to disinfectants: a review. *Biofouling* **27**:1017-1032.
- 624 16. **Giaouris E, Heir E, Hebraud M, Chorianopoulos N, Langsrud S, Moretro**
625 **T, Habimana O, Desvaux M, Renier S, Nychas G-J.** 2014. Attachment and
626 biofilm formation by foodborne bacteria in meat processing environments:
627 Causes, implications, role of bacterial interactions and control by alternative
628 novel methods. *Meat Science* **97**:298-309.
- 629 17. **Lindsay D, von Holy A.** 2006. What food safety professionals should know
630 about bacterial biofilms. *British Food Journal* **108**:27-37.
- 631 18. **Stocki SL, Annett CB, Sibley CD, McLaws M, Checkley SL, Singh N,**
632 **Surette MG, White AP.** 2007. Persistence of *Salmonella* on egg conveyor belts
633 is dependent on the belt type but not on the rdar morphotype. *Poultry Science*
634 **86**:2375-2383.
- 635 19. **Srey S, Jahid IK, Ha S-D.** 2013. Biofilm formation in food industries: a food
636 safety concern. *Food Control* **31**:572-585.
- 637 20. **Langsrud S, Sundheim G, Holck AL.** 2004. Cross-resistance to antibiotics of
638 *Escherichia coli* adapted to benzalkonium chloride or exposed to stress-
639 inducers. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **96**:201-208.
- 640 21. **Moen B, Rudi K, Bore E, Langsrud S.** 2012. Subminimal inhibitory
641 concentrations of the disinfectant benzalkonium chloride select for a tolerant
642 subpopulation of *Escherichia coli* with inheritable characteristics. *International*
643 *Journal of Molecular Sciences* **13**:4101-4123.

- 644 22. **Giaouris E, Nesse LL.** 2015. Attachment of *Salmonella* spp. to food contact
645 and product surfaces and biofilm formation on them as a stress adaptation and
646 survival strategies. In Hackett CB (ed.), *Salmonella: prevalence, risk factors and*
647 *treatment options.* Nova science Publishers, Inc., New York (USA).
- 648 23. **Simoes M, Simoes LC, Vieira MJ.** 2010. A review of current and emergent
649 biofilm control strategies. *Lwt-Food Science and Technology* **43**:573-583.
- 650 24. **Borges A, Saavedra MJ, Simoes M.** 2015. Insights on antimicrobial
651 resistance, biofilms and the use of phytochemicals as new antimicrobial agents.
652 *Current Medicinal Chemistry* **22**:2590-2614.
- 653 25. **Burt S.** 2004. Essential oils: their antibacterial properties and potential
654 applications in foods - a review. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*
655 **94**:223-253.
- 656 26. **Negi PS.** 2012. Plant extracts for the control of bacterial growth: efficacy,
657 stability and safety issues for food application. *International Journal of Food*
658 *Microbiology* **156**:7-17.
- 659 27. **Knowles JR, Roller S, Murray DB, Naidu AS.** 2005. Antimicrobial action of
660 carvacrol at different stages of dual-species biofilm development by
661 *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium. *Applied*
662 *and Environmental Microbiology* **71**:797-803.
- 663 28. **Lambert RJW, Skandamis PN, Coote PJ, Nychas GJE.** 2001. A study of the
664 minimum inhibitory concentration and mode of action of oregano essential oil,
665 thymol and carvacrol. *Journal of Applied Microbiology* **91**:453-462.
- 666 29. **Neyret C, Herry JM, Meylheuc T, Dubois-Brissonnet F.** 2014. Plant-derived
667 compounds as natural antimicrobials to control paper mill biofilms. *J Ind*
668 *Microbiol Biotechnol* **41**:87-96.

- 669 30. **Perez-Conesa D, Cao J, Chen L, McLandsborough L, Weiss J.** 2011.
670 Inactivation of *Listeria monocytogenes* and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 biofilms
671 by micelle-encapsulated eugenol and carvacrol. *Journal of Food Protection*
672 **74:55-62.**
- 673 31. **Sandasi M, Leonard CM, Viljoen AM.** 2008. The effect of five common
674 essential oil components on *Listeria monocytogenes* biofilms. *Food Control*
675 **19:1070-1075.**
- 676 32. **Mith H, Duré R, Delcenserie V, Zhiri A, Daube G, Clinquart A.** 2014.
677 Antimicrobial activities of commercial essential oils and their components
678 against food-borne pathogens and food spoilage bacteria. *Food Science and*
679 *Nutrition* **2:403-416.**
- 680 33. **Faleiro L, Miguel G, Gomes S, Costa L, Venancio F, Teixeira A, Figueiredo**
681 **AC, Barroso JG, Pedro LG.** 2005. Antibacterial and antioxidant activities of
682 essential oils isolated from *Thymbra capitata* L. (Cav.) and *Origanum vulgare*
683 L. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **53:8162-8168.**
- 684 34. **Garneau F-X, Collin G, Gagnon H, Pichette A.** 2012. Chemical composition
685 of the hydrosol and the essential oil of three different species of the Pinaceae
686 Family : *Picea glauca* (Moench) Voss., *Picea mariana* (Mill.) BSP, and *Abies*
687 *balsamea* (L.) Mill. *Journal of Essential Oil Bearing Plants* **15:227-236.**
- 688 35. **Chorianopoulos NG, Giaouris ED, Skandamis PN, Haroutounian SA,**
689 **Nychas GJE.** 2008. Disinfectant test against monoculture and mixed-culture
690 biofilms composed of technological, spoilage and pathogenic bacteria:
691 bactericidal effect of essential oil and hydrosol of *Satureja thymbra* and
692 comparison with standard acid-base sanitizers. *Journal of Applied*
693 *Microbiology* **104:1586-1596.**

- 694 36. **Chorianopoulos N, Evergets E, Mallouchos A, Kalpoutzakis E, Nychas GJ,**
695 **Haroutounian SA.** 2006. Characterization of the essential oil volatiles of
696 *Satureja thymbra* and *Satureja parnassica*: Influence of harvesting time and
697 antimicrobial activity. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* **54**:3139-
698 3145.
- 699 37. **Sagdic O.** 2003. Sensitivity of four pathogenic bacteria to Turkish thyme and
700 oregano hydrosols. *Lebensmittel-Wissenschaft Und-Technologie-Food Science*
701 *and Technology* **36**:467-473.
- 702 38. **Sagdic O, Ozcan M.** 2003. Antibacterial activity of Turkish spice hydrosols.
703 *Food Control* **14**:141-143.
- 704 39. **Tornuk F, Cankurt H, Ozturk I, Sagdic O, Bayram O, Yetim H.** 2011.
705 Efficacy of various plant hydrosols as natural food sanitizers in reducing
706 *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella* Typhimurium on fresh cut carrots
707 and apples. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* **148**:30-35.
- 708 40. **Vermaa R, Pandeyab V, Padaliaa RC, Saikiac D, Krishnac B.** 2011.
709 Chemical composition and antimicrobial potential of aqueous distillate volatiles
710 of Indian peppermint (*Mentha piperita*) and spearmint (*Mentha spicata*).
711 *Journal of Herbs, Spices and Medicinal Plants* **17**:258-267.
- 712 41. **Bridier A, Dubois-Brissonnet F, Briandet R.** 2014. Methods for biofilms
713 constituents and turnover: destructive and nondestructive methods, p. 139-149.
714 *In* Dobretsov S, Thomason J, Williams D (ed.), *Biofouling methods*. John Wiley
715 & Sons Ltd, Chichester, UK.
- 716 42. **Bridier A, Dubois-Brissonnet F, Greub G, Thomas V, Briandet R.** 2011.
717 Dynamics of biocide action in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms.
718 *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy* **55**:2648-2654.

- 719 43. **Davison WM, Pitts B, Stewart PS.** 2010. Spatial and temporal patterns of
720 biocide action against *Staphylococcus epidermidis* biofilms. Antimicrobial
721 Agents and Chemotherapy **54**:2920-2927.
- 722 44. **Takenaka S, Trivedi HM, Corbin A, Pitts B, Stewart PS.** 2008. Direct
723 visualization of spatial and temporal patterns of antimicrobial action within
724 model oral biofilms. Applied and Environmental Microbiology **74**:1869-1875.
- 725 45. **Jarvik T, Smillie C, Groisman EA, Ochman H.** 2010. Short-term signatures
726 of evolutionary change in the *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium 14028
727 genome. Journal of Bacteriology **192**:560-567.
- 728 46. **Kopsahelis N, Nisiotou A, Kourkoutas Y, Panas P, Nychas GJE, Kanellaki**
729 **M.** 2009. Molecular characterization and molasses fermentation performance of
730 a wild yeast strain operating in an extremely wide temperature range.
731 Bioresource Technology **100**:4854-4862.
- 732 47. **Bridier A, Dubois-Brissonnet F, Boubetra A, Thomas V, Briandet R.** 2010.
733 The biofilm architecture of sixty opportunistic pathogens deciphered using a
734 high throughput CLSM method. Journal of Microbiological Methods **82**:64-70.
- 735 48. **Meylheuc T, van Oss CJ, Bellon-Fontaine MN.** 2001. Adsorption of
736 biosurfactant on solid surfaces and consequences regarding the bioadhesion of
737 *Listeria monocytogenes* LO28. Journal of Applied Microbiology **91**:822-832.
- 738 49. **Anonymous.** 1997. Chemical disinfectants and antiseptics - Basic bactericidal
739 activity - Test method and requirements (phase 1). Ed. AFNOR:NF EN 1040.
- 740 50. **Chen CY, Nace GW, Irwin PL.** 2003. A 6 x 6 drop plate method for
741 simultaneous colony counting and MPN enumeration of *Campylobacter jejuni*,
742 *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Escherichia coli*. Journal of Microbiological
743 Methods **55**:475-479.

- 744 51. **Geeraerd AH, Valdramidis V, Van Impe JF.** 2005. GInaFiT, a freeware tool
745 to assess non-log-linear microbial survivor curves. *International Journal of Food*
746 *Microbiology* **102**:95-105.
- 747 52. **Benbelaid F, Khadir A, Abdoune MA, Bendahou M, Muselli A, Costa J.**
748 2014. Antimicrobial activity of some essential oils against oral multidrug-
749 resistant *Enterococcus faecalis* in both planktonic and biofilm state. *Asian*
750 *Pacific journal of tropical biomedicine* **4**:463-472.
- 751 53. **Palmeira-de-Oliveira A, Gaspar C, Palmeira-de-Oliveira R, Silva-Dias A,**
752 **Salgueiro L, Cavaleiro C, Pina-Vaz C, Martinez-de-Oliveira J, Queiroz JA,**
753 **Rodrigues AG.** 2012. The anti-Candida activity of *Thymbra capitata* essential
754 oil: effect upon pre-formed biofilm. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* **140**:379-
755 383.
- 756 54. **Bakhy K, Benhabib O, Al Faiz C, Bighelli A, Casanova J, Tomi F.** 2013.
757 Wild *Thymbra capitata* from Western Rif (Morocco): essential oil composition,
758 chemical homogeneity and yield variability. *Nat. Prod. Commun.* **8**:1155-1158.
- 759 55. **Blanco Salas J, Ruiz Tellez T, Perez Alonso MJ, Vazquez Pardo FM, Cases**
760 **Capdevila MdlA, Gervasini Rodriguez C.** 2010. Chemical composition and
761 antioxidant activity of the essential oil of *Thymbra capitata* (L.) Cav. in Spain.
762 *Acta Botanica Gallica* **157**:55-63.
- 763 56. **Hortigon-Vinagre MP, Blanco J, Ruiz T, Henao F.** 2014. *Thymbra capitata*
764 essential oil prevents cell death induced by 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal in neonatal rat
765 cardiac myocytes. *Planta Medica* **80**:1284-1290.
- 766 57. **Galego L, Almeida V, Gonçalves V, Costa M, Monteiro I, Matos F, Miguel**
767 **G.** 2008. Antioxidant activity of the essential oils of *Thymbra capitata*,

- 768 *Origanum vulgare*, *Thymus mastichina* and *Calamintha baetica*. Acta Hort.
769 **765**:325-334.
- 770 58. **Salgueiro LR, Pinto E, Goncalves MJ, Pina-Vaz C, Cavaleiro C, Rodrigues**
771 **AG, Palmeira A, Tavares C, Costa-de-Oliveira S, Martinez-de-Oliveira J.**
772 2004. Chemical composition and antifungal activity of the essential oil of
773 *Thymbra capitata*. Planta Medica **70**:572-575.
- 774 59. **Garneau FX, Collin G, Gagnon H.** 2014. Chemical composition and stability
775 of the hydrosols obtained during essential oil production. I. The case of *Melissa*
776 *officinalis* L. and *Asarum canadense* L. . American Journal of essential oils and
777 natural products **2**:54-62.
- 778 60. **Inouye S, Takahashi M, Abe S.** 2008. A comparative study on the composition
779 of forty four hydrosols and their essential oils. Int. J. essential oil Therapeutics
780 **2**:89-104.
- 781 61. **Lei G, Wang L, Liu X, Zhang A.** 2014. Chemical composition of essential oils
782 and hydrosols from fresh flowers of *Cerasus subhirtella* and *Cerasus serrulata*
783 from East China. Natural Product Research **28**:1923-1925.
- 784 62. **Paolini J, Leandri C, Desjobert J-M, Barboni T, Costa J.** 2008. Comparison
785 of liquid-liquid extraction with headspace methods for the characterization of
786 volatile fractions of commercial hydrolats from typically Mediterranean
787 species. Journal of Chromatography A **1193**:37-49.
- 788 63. **Gaulin C, Lê M-L, Shum M, Fong D.** 2011. Disinfectants and sanitizers for
789 use on food contact surfaces. National Collaborating centre for environmental
790 health
791 [http://www.dev.nccch.ca/sites/default/files/Food_Contact_Surface_Sanitiz](http://www.dev.nccch.ca/sites/default/files/Food_Contact_Surface_Sanitizers_Aug_2011.pdf)
792 [ers_Aug_2011.pdf](http://www.dev.nccch.ca/sites/default/files/Food_Contact_Surface_Sanitizers_Aug_2011.pdf).

- 793 64. **Wong HS, Townsend KM, Fenwick SG, Trengove RD, O'Handley RM.**
794 2010. Comparative susceptibility of planktonic and 3-day-old *Salmonella*
795 Typhimurium biofilms to disinfectants. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*
796 **108:2222-2228.**
- 797 65. **Corcoran M, Morris D, De Lappe N, O'Connor J, Lalor P, Dockery P,**
798 **Cormican M.** 2014. Commonly used disinfectants fail to eradicate *Salmonella*
799 *enterica* biofilms from food contact surface materials. *Applied and*
800 *Environmental Microbiology* **80:1507-1514.**
- 801 66. **Block SS.** 2001. *Disinfection, sterilization and preservation.* Lippincott
802 Williams & Wilkins, Philadelphia.
- 803 67. **Joux F, Lebaron P.** 2000. Use of fluorescent probes to assess physiological
804 functions of bacteria at single-cell level. *Microbes and Infection* **2:1523-1535.**
- 805 68. **Rani SA, Pitts B, Beyenal H, Veluchamy RA, Lewandowski Z, Davison**
806 **WM, Buckingham-Meyer K, Stewart PS.** 2007. Spatial patterns of DNA
807 replication, protein synthesis, and oxygen concentration within bacterial
808 biofilms reveal diverse physiological states. *Journal of Bacteriology* **189:4223-**
809 **4233.**
- 810 69. **Stewart PS.** 2003. Diffusion in biofilms. *Journal of Bacteriology* **185:1485-**
811 **1491.**
- 812 70. **Cogan NG.** 2006. Effects of persister formation on bacterial response to dosing.
813 *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **238:694-703.**
- 814 71. **Dodds MG, Grobe KJ, Stewart PS.** 2000. Modeling biofilm antimicrobial
815 resistance. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **68:456-465.**
- 816 72. **Nazer A, Kobilinsky A, Tholozan JL, Dubois-Brissonnet F.** 2005.
817 Combinations of food antimicrobials at low levels to inhibit the growth of

- 818 *Salmonella* sv.Typhimurium: a synergistic effect ? Food Microbiology **22**:391-
819 398.
- 820 73. **Ravishankar S, Zhu L, Reyna-Granados J, Law B, Joens L, Friedman M.**
821 2010. Carvacrol and cinnamaldehyde inactivate antibiotic-resistant *Salmonella*
822 *enterica* in buffer and on celery and oysters. Journal of Food Protection **73**:234-
823 240.
- 824 74. **Zhou F, Ji B, Zhang H, Jiang H, Yang Z, Li J, Li J, Ren Y, Yan W.** 2007.
825 Synergistic effect of thymol and carvacrol combined with chelators and organic
826 acids against *Salmonella* Typhimurium. Journal of Food Protection **70**:1704-
827 1709.
- 828 75. **White SB.** 2011. Antibacterial efficacy of phosvitin, carvacrol, or nisin alone
829 or combined against foodborne human enteric pathogens, vol. Paper 10151.
830 Graduate Theses and Dissertations, Iowa State University.
- 831 76. **Xu J, Zhou F, Ji BP, Pei RS, Xu N.** 2008. The antibacterial mechanism of
832 carvacrol and thymol against *Escherichia coli*. Letters in Applied Microbiology
833 **47**:174-179.
- 834 77. **Upadhyay A, Upadhyaya I, Kollanoor-Johny A, Venkitanarayanan K.**
835 2013. Antibiofilm effect of plant derived antimicrobials on *Listeria*
836 *monocytogenes*. Food Microbiology **36**:79-89.
- 837 78. **Nostro A, Roccaro AS, Bisignano G, Marino A, Cannatelli MA, Pizzimenti**
838 **FC, Cioni PL, Procopio F, Blanco AR.** 2007. Effects of oregano, carvacrol
839 and thymol on *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*
840 biofilms. Journal of Medical Microbiology **56**:519-523.
- 841 79. **Landry KS, Micheli S, McClements DJ, McLandsborough L.** 2015.
842 Effectiveness of a spontaneous carvacrol nanoemulsion against *Salmonella*

- 843 *enterica* Enteritidis and *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on contaminated broccoli
844 and radish seeds. Food Microbiology **51**:10-17.
- 845 80. **Devi KP, Nisha SA, Sakthivel R, Pandian SK.** 2010. Eugenol (an essential oil
846 of clove) acts as an antibacterial agent against *Salmonella typhi* by disrupting
847 the cellular membrane. Journal of Ethnopharmacology **130**:107-115.
- 848 81. **Mondello F, De Bernardis F, Girolamo A, Cassone A, Salvatore G.** 2006. In
849 vivo activity of terpinen-4-ol, the main bioactive component of *Melaleuca*
850 *alternifolia* Cheel (tea tree) oil against azole-susceptible and -resistant human
851 pathogenic *Candida* species. BMC Infectious Diseases **6**.
- 852 82. **Garcia-Garcia R, Lopez-Malo A, Palou E.** 2011. Bactericidal action of binary
853 and ternary mixtures of carvacrol, thymol, and eugenol against *Listeria innocua*.
854 Journal of Food Science **76**:M95-M100.
- 855 83. **Hyldgaard M, Mygind T, Meyer RL.** 2012. Essential oils in food
856 preservation: mode of action, synergies, and interactions with food matrix
857 components. Frontiers in Microbiology **3**. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2012.00012.
- 858
- 859
- 860

861 List of tables:

862 **Table 1.** Constituents of *T. capitata* hydrosol and EO, as identified by SPME GC/MS,
863 and their (w/v) concentration or percentage composition

Compounds	KI	Hydrosol mg/L	Essential oil %area SPME
Toluene	<800	0.2	
2-methyl-Butanoic acid, methyl ester	<800	0.3	
N-ethyl-1,3-dithioisindoline	821	0.6	
(Z)-3-Hexen-1-ol	847	0.7	
α -Thujene	912	0.1	2.5
.alpha.-Pinene	917	0.1	2.7
Camphene	933		0.8
Mesitylene	957	0.2	
.beta.-Pinene	964		0.7
1-Octen-3-ol	980	10.8	0.2
.beta.-Myrcene	990		2.0
3-octanol	997	0.4	
.alpha.-Phellandrene	999		0.3
3-Carene	1005		0.1
α -Terpinene	1013		2.4
p-Cymene	1020	1.3	2.3
Eucalyptol	1026	0.1	nd
Limonene	1028		0.8
trans- β -Ocimene	1061		0.1
γ -Terpinene	1063	1.2	35.3
1-methyl-4-(1-methylethenyl)-Benzene	1105	0.1	0.1
α -Terpinolene	1109		0.1
Linalool	1123	0.9	0.8
Borneol	1172	6.6	0.3
Terpinen-4-ol	1181	9.3	0.3
α -Terpineol	1224	2.7	<0.1
1-Isopropyl-2-methoxy-4-methylbenze	1250		0.7
D-Carvone	1257		<0.1
Thymol	1299	0.8	1.1
Carvacrol	1332	946.3	20.4
p-Cymen-7-ol	1323		1.2
4-Hydroxy-3-methylacetophenone	1329		0.2
Eugénol	1368	1.2	
Phenol, 5-methyl-2-(1-methylethyl)-, acetate	1377		0.1
Anethole	1381	0.2	
Caryophyllene	1421		0.4
Ethanone	1428	0.1	
1-Acetyl-4-methyldibenzofuran	1451	0.2	
Phenol, 2,4-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)	1517	0.1	
(-)-Spathulenol	1581		nd
Caryophyllene oxide	1587		0.1
(α -Caryophylladienol)	1640		nd
2,3,4,6-Tetramethylphenol	2079		nd
Hexanedioic acid, bis(2-ethylhexyl) ester	>2200		nd

864

865

866 **Table 2.** Log reductions of planktonic (log cfu/ml) and biofilm cells (log cfu/cm²) after
 867 6 min disinfection treatments with BC and *T. capitata* hydrosol and resistance
 868 coefficients Rc
 869

Biocide	Log reduction		Concentration (%)		Rc*	Mean Rc
	Planktonic (cfu/ml)	Biofilm (cfu/cm ²)	C _{planktonic}	C _{biofilm}		
BC	>7.5	>7.5	0.0022	0.5		
	6.2 ±0.6	6.1 ±0.6	0.0012	0.25	208.3	
	2.7 ±0.3	2.2 ±0.1	0.0006	0.125	208.3	
						208.3
Hydrosol	>7.5	>7.5	45	100		
	4.7 ±0.7	4.7 ±0.1	42	75	1.8	
	1.2 ±0.2	1.2 ±0.2	37.5	50	1.3	
						1.6

870 $Rc = C_{\text{biofilm}}/C_{\text{planktonic}}$, where C_{biofilm} corresponds to the biocide concentration
 871 required to kill a given level of biofilm cells and $C_{\text{planktonic}}$ to the concentration needed
 872 to kill the same level of planktonic cells.

873
 874
 875

876 **Table 3:** Inactivation parameters [k_{\max} , inactivation rate (min^{-1}); SI, shoulder length
877 (min)] determined for each biocide and its respective concentrations for four different
878 sections within the biofilms, as well as for their maximum projection, which
879 represents the fluorescence in the whole biofilm. Mean values are presented \pm
880 standard errors.

881

Biocide		k_{\max}	SI
(n replicates)			
Hyd 100% (n=18)	Max projection	0.82±0.09	0.2±0.13
	Section 1	0.82±0.09	0.56±0.13
	Section 2	0.85±0.09	0.28±0.13
	Section 3	0.84±0.09	0.26±0.13
	Section 4	0.84±0.09	0.27±0.13
Hyd 75% (n=7)	Max projection	0.24± 0.06	3.44±2.79
	Section 1	0,25± 0.06	7.04±2.79
	Section 2	0.25± 0.06	3.69±2.79
	Section 3	0.24± 0.06	3.59±2.79
	Section 4	0.21± 0.06	5.05±2.79
BC 0.5% (n=18)	Max projection	0.51±0.09	10.70±1.15
	Section 1	0.64±0.09	11.34± 1.67
	Section 2	0.57±0.09	10.93±1.67
	Section 3	0.48±0.09	10.5±1.71
	Section 4	0.37±0.09	11.53±1.89


882

883

884

885 List of figures:

886



887

888 **Figure 1.** Quantification of fluorescence intensity during BC 0.5% (v/v) treatment (A)

889 and series of images of Chemchrome V6 fluorescence in *S. Typhimurium* biofilms



890 during treatment (B). The values represent the loss of fluorescence at four sections into

891 the biofilm: **sec 1** (■) 0 μm bottom, **sec 2** (◆) 17 μm, **sec 3** (●) 34 μm and **sec 4** (▲) 51

892 μm. Shoulder length SI and inactivation rate k_{max} , were obtained after GInaFIT

893 modeling.

894



895

896 **Figure 2.** Quantification of fluorescence intensity during *T. capitata* hydrosol 75%

897 (v/v) treatment (A) and series of images of Chemchrome V6 fluorescence in


898 *S. Typhimurium* biofilms during treatment (B). The values represent the loss of

899 fluorescence at four sections: **sec 1** (■) 0 μm, bottom, **sec 2** (◆) 14 μm, **sec 3** (●) 28

900 μm and **sec 4** (▲) 42 μm into the biofilm. Inactivation rate k_{max} , was obtained after

901 GInaFIT modeling.

902



903

904 **Figure 3.** Quantification of fluorescence intensity during *T. capitata* hydrosol 100%
 905 (v/v) treatment (A) and series of images of Chemchrome V6 fluorescence in
 906 *S. Typhimurium* biofilms during treatment (B). The values represent the loss of
 907 fluorescence at four sections: **sect 1** (■) (0 μm , bottom), **sect 2** (◆) (11.25 μm), **sect 3** (●)
 908 (22.5 μm) and **sect 4** (▲) (33.75 μm) into the biofilm. Inactivation rate k_{max} , was
 909 obtained after GInaFIT modeling.

910

911