

Spatio-temporal dynamics on a plot scale of cocoa black pod rot caused by Phytophthora megakarya in Cameroon

Michel Ndoumbe Nkeng, Mousseni Ives Bruno Efombagn, Lucien Bidzanga Nomo, Ivan Sache, Christian Cilas

▶ To cite this version:

Michel Ndoumbe Nkeng, Mousseni Ives Bruno Efombagn, Lucien Bidzanga Nomo, Ivan Sache, Christian Cilas. Spatio-temporal dynamics on a plot scale of cocoa black pod rot caused by Phytophthora megakarya in Cameroon. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 2017, 147 (3), pp.579-590. 10.1007/s10658-016-1027-2. hal-01495104

HAL Id: hal-01495104 https://agroparistech.hal.science/hal-01495104

Submitted on 31 Oct 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	
2	Relationships between cocoa Phytophthora pot rot disease and climatic
3	variables in Cameroon
4	M. Ndoumbè-Nkeng ¹ , M.I.B. Efombagn ¹ , S. Nyassé ¹ , E. Nyemb ¹ , I. Sache ² and C. Cilas ³
5	
6	¹ IRAD, P.O. Box 2123 Yaoundé, Cameroon
7	² INRA, P.O. Box 01, 78850 Thiverval-Grignon, France
8	³ CIRAD [,] Avenue Agropolis, TA A-31/02, 34398 Montpellier Cedex 5, France
9	Corresponding author: Christian Cilas. E-mail: <u>cilas@cirad.fr</u> Tel: (00 33) 4 67 61 56 09
10	
11	
12	Relations entre l'incidence de la pourriture des cabosses due à Phytophthora
13	et plusieurs variables climatiques au Cameroun
14	
15	

1 Abstract

Phytophthora pod rot disease causes almost 30% loss in world cocoa production.
Epidemiological observations showed that the disease appears when there is conjunction
between the presence of fruit on trees, whatever their growth stage and when the rainy season
has begun. The effects of environment on the epidemic are not well-known in cocoa
producing zones. The aim of this study was to assess and quantify the influence of rainfall and
temperature on the temporal development of the disease in the cocoa producing areas of
Cameroon.

9 The study was conducted in three regions of Cameroon (Mbankomo, Goura and Barombi-10 Kang). One hundred trees were observed in each site. Disease incidence and crop production 11 data were collected weekly. Rainfall and temperature data were collected daily. Field 12 observations were made over a period of three years (1999-2001). Autocorrelations, cross-13 correlations and multiple regression analyses were used to establish relationships between 14 disease incidence and the environmental variables.

The study showed that pod rot incidence is associated with to rainfall. There is not a univocal relationship established between the two variables. The disease symptoms were expressed one to two weeks after rainfall events. This is a contribution to the creation of a disease warning system to better advise cocoa farmers about disease risk. The present study is, therefore, an important step towards a better understanding of quantitative relations between disease incidence and the environment.

- 21
- 22 Keywords: Cross-correlation; epidemic risk; integrated protection; *Phytophthora* 23 *megakarya; Theobroma cacao.*
- 24

1 Résumé

2 La pourriture des cabosses du cacaoyer due à divers Phytophthora cause plus de 30% de 3 pertes de récolte au niveau mondial. Des observations épidémiologiques indiquent que cette 4 maladie apparait lorsque les fruits sont présents sur les arbres, quelque soit leur stade de développement, et lorsque la saison des pluies a débuté. L'influence du climat sur le 5 6 processus épidémique n'a été, pour le moment, que peu étudiée dans les zones de production. 7 L'objectif de ce travail est de mesurer et de quantifier l'influence des pluies et des 8 températures sur le développement temporel de la maladie dans plusieurs zones de production 9 du Cameroun.

10 Cette étude a été conduite dans trois sites du Cameroun (Mbankomo, Goura et Barombi-11 Kang); cent arbres ont été observés par site. Les données concernant la maladie et la 12 production ont été collectées chaque semaine, alors que les variables climatiques ont été 13 collectées tous les jours. Les données ont été recueillies durant trois années consécutives 14 (1999-2001). Des autocorrélations, des corrélations croisées et des régressions multiples ont 15 été utilisées pour mieux comprendre les relations entre l'incidence de la maladie et les 16 facteurs climatiques.

17 Cette étude montre clairement l'incidence de la pluie sur l'intensité de la maladie. Cette 18 incidence se manifeste une ou deux semaines après les pluies. Ce travail jette les bases d'un 19 modèle d'avertissement agricole afin de mieux conseiller les agriculteurs dans la gestion des 20 risques épidémiques. Il s'agit d'une importante étape pour mieux comprendre les relations 21 quantitatives entre l'intensité de la maladie et les variables climatiques.

22

23 Mots-clés: Corrélations croisées; risque épidémique; protection intégrée; *Phytophthora* 24 *megakarya*; *Theobroma cacao*.

1 Introduction

2

Pod rot, caused by different species of the genus *Phytophthora*, is widespread in all cacao producing countries. Worldwide, the most common species is *P. palmivora*. In Cameroon, studies revealed the existence of a single species, *P. megakarya*, that was responsible for the disease (Nyassé, 1992). Although this disease can affect different organs such as the roots or the trunk, it mainly attacks fruits. The different *Phytophthora* species all cause the same symptoms on pods, namely rotting black patches that sometimes spread to the entire fruit. Damage from pod rot can be up to 30% of the world harvest every year.

Disease expression in the field is influenced by numerous factors, both environmental and genetic such as environmental conditions (rainfall, wetness and temperature), pathogen survival and dispersal, pathogen species and strain involved, as well as host resistance (Tarjot, 1964; Akrofi et al, 2003; Cilas et al, 2004). All these factors can interact to favour or to restrict disease development. For example, some genotypes of *Theobroma cacao* may appear resistant in an environment and susceptible in other ones. This phenomenon is known as "genotype x environment" interaction (Cilas et al., 2004).

17 The present study focuses on the incidence of environmental factors on dynamics of the disease. 18 Whether in Cote d'Ivoire (Tarjot, 1964, 1967), Ghana (Asare-Nyako, 1973), Cameroon (Muller, 19 1974a, 1974b), Jamaica (Henry, 1977) or Nigeria (Gregory and Maddison, 1981), 20 epidemiological observations showed that *Phytophthora* pod rot appears when there is a 21 conjunction between the presence of fruit on trees, whatever their growth stage, on one hand and 22 rainy season on the other hand. The effects of climate on the epidemic process are only known in 23 a macroscopic approach in cocoa producing zones (Tarjot, 1964). In Cameroon for example, the 24 incidence of disease tends to be higher in some agro-ecological zones with a mono-modal rainfall regime, compared to those with a bi-modal rainfall regime (Ndoumbè-Nkeng, 2002). 25

Similarly, a positive correlation has been found between the rate of pod infection and the potential production, implying that the environmental conditions determining both disease incidence and production capacity are similar (Muller, 1974a; Despréaux, 1988). However, this observation does not take into account the climactic variations observed for the whole production period, such as the alternating dry and wet periods and their impact on the process and intensity of the epidemics. Knowledge of the effects of climatic variations on pod rot incidence is useful, particularly to plan fungicide applications.

8 The objective of the study was to assess and quantify the effects of rain events and temperatures 9 on the temporal development of the disease in the cocoa producing areas of Cameroon, like it 10 was done on other crops (Rapilly, 1991). The questions addressed were (1) what are the 11 relationships between climatic factors and disease expression? (2) Is there a threshold for these 12 factors above which the disease occurs?

13

14 Materials and Methods

15

16 Study sites and experimental design

17

The study was conducted in three locations in Cameroon: Mbankomo (Centre Region,
Mefou-et-Akono Division; coordinates: 3°78'0''N and 11°38'0''E), Goura (Centre Region,
Mbam-et-Kim Division; coordinates: 4°55'60''N and 11°45'0''E), and Barombi-Kang
(South-West Region, Meme Division; coordinates: 4°34'40''N and 9°27'21''E).

Mbankomo and Goura are situated at altitudes of 730m and 480m, respectively and belong to the agro-ecological zone with a bi-modal rainfall regime, characterised by two dry (from December to February and from June to August) and two wet seasons (from March to May and from September to November). The soils are ferralitic, average (base saturation of 35%) to highly (base saturation of 20%) unsaturated. Barombi-Kang, altitude 180m, belongs to an
agro-ecological zone with a mono-modal rainfall regime. Barombi-Kang is characterised by a
highly humid and hot climate, with a long wet season (March to November) and short dry
season (December to February).

5 The sites chosen were thought to be representative of the agro-ecological conditions suitable 6 for optimal cocoa production. On these sites, many farmers were prepared to allow 7 experiments in their cocoa fields. Experimental plots were selected on the basis of 8 accessibility, size and maintenance of the farm. Cocoa plantations studied were about 15 9 years old at Barombi-Kang and Goura and over 25 years of age at Mbankomo. The site at 10 Barombi-Kang had traditional cocoa varieties (*Amelonado*). There are hybrids cocoa varieties 11 (*Amelonado x Trinitario*) at Mbankomo and mixed traditional and hybrids varieties at Goura.

At each of the three plots, 100 trees were randomly distributed. The plot was surrounded with a phytosanitary barrier made up of two rows of cocoa trees, to prevent chemical treatments and pathogen spread from neighbouring farms. For the purpose of field observations, the observed trees were divided into three levels: 0–0.5 m (level 1), 0.5–1.50 m (level 2) and > 1.50 m (level 3). Inside the same plantation, the spacing among trees varied from 2.5 x 2.5 m to 3 x 3m, corresponding to tree densities of 1111 to 1600 cocoa trees ha⁻¹.

18

19 Data collection

20

Rotten pods (caused by *Phytophthora* sp., *ROT*), wilted fruit (early desiccation, *WILT*), fruit damaged by rodents (*DAM*), fruit infected by diseases other than *Phytophthora* pod rot (*OD*), cherelles (fruit \leq 4 cm length, *CHER*), young pods (fruit > 4 cm length but not yet at adult stage, *YP*), adult pods (but not yet mature, *AP*), and healthy mature pods (*MP*) were counted weekly. These variables were chosen for weekly estimation of pod rot rate (Number of rotten
 pods / Number of all the pods).

At each site, a rain gauge (high: 150 mm) was installed in an open area and two thermometers were placed outside the plantation and under the cocoa tree canopy within the plantation, respectively. Rainfall (mm) and temperature (°C) data were collected daily.

6 Observations were made over a period of three years (1999-2001). Disease status and 7 production data were collected weekly from mid-April, when the first pods appeared on the 8 trees, to the end of the production season (mid-November) each year. In total, there were 9 around 30 weeks of data collection per site and per year. After each round of observation, 10 rotten or wilted fruit, as well as fruits damaged by rodents and other diseases were harvested 11 from trees and removed from the farms.

12

Based on the data collected, the pod rot incidence (*PRR*), mean temperature and total rainfall
were calculated from the variables measured in the field.

15 The calculation method for the weekly pod rot incidence (*PRR*), was adapted from the 16 formula used by Berry and Cilas (1994b) and De Jesus (1992). The losses due to *PRR* were 17 estimated using the potential production:

18

19

$$PRRi = \frac{ROTi}{(ROTi + MPi)} *100$$

20

21 where PRRi = Pod rot rate at the week i

22 ROT_i = number of rotten pods at the week *i*

23 MP_i = number of mature pods at the week *i*

24

The minimum surrounding temperatures (*SMinT*) and those under the cocoa trees canopy (*UCMinT*), and the maximal ones (*SMaxT*, *UCMaxT*), expressed in degrees Celsius, represent respectively, the averages of minimal and maximal temperatures of the week (7 days). The mean temperatures (*SMeanT*, *UCMeanT*) are the averages of both weekly minimum and maximum temperatures. The daily thermal amplitude (*Amp*) was calculated, by taking the difference between maximum and minimum daily temperatures.

Similarly, total rainfall per week (*RAIN*) was the cumulative rainfall calculated from the daily
rainfall.

7

8 Statistical analyses

9

For each derived variable, an analysis of variance (ANOVA) was done per site and per year.
The data for three years were pooled to test the site and year effects. Logarithmic or angular
transformations were sometimes necessary, to meet the requirements of the general linear
model, particularly the homogeneity of variances.

When the test associated to the ANOVAs (F-test) lead to rejection of the null hypothesis, the means were compared by contrasts (Gomez and Gomez, 1984; Tomassone et *al.*, 1993).

16

17 Set of variables measured each year for 30 successive weeks (T), could be seen as 18 chronological series, with week as the lag period unit, meaning that the sequence of 19 measurements did not follow a random order. Auto-correlations of variables were estimated 20 with the purpose of understanding disease progress over time, the autocorrelation being 21 defined as the correlation calculated between a series and a lagged version of itself. If the 22 correlation coefficient is calculated for all lags k=0,1,2...N-1 the resulting series is called the 23 autocorrelation series or the correlogram. Cross-correlations were calculated for different lags 24 to identify the lag which allows to maximise the correlation between rainfall and PRR 25 incidence, *i.e.* to determine the number of weeks between rainfall and disease expression.

1 The autocorrelation coefficient ρ , of lag (or *period*) k was calculated, considering the T-1 2 pairs of observations (y_1, y_2) , (y_2, y_3) , ..., (y_{T-1}, y_T) , in the following manner (Box and Jenkins, 3 1976; Tomassone et al., 1993; Bourbonnais, 2000): 4 $\rho_k = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T} (y_t - \overline{y})(y_{t+k} - \overline{y})}{\sum_{t=1}^{T} (y_t - \overline{y})^2}$ 5 6 7 \overline{y} represents the mean of the series. 8 All auto-correlation coefficients (ρ_k) were calculated with a lag k - k varying from 1 to 4 9 weeks (Bourbonnais, 2000; Quenouille, 1949; Ljung and Box, 1978). 10 11 Cross-correlations were computed to evaluate the link between pod rot rate and the environmental variables. The cross-correlation r of k's lag between two series x_i and y_i is 12 13 defined as follows (Bourke, 1996): 14 $r_{k} = \frac{\sum_{i} (x_{i} - \overline{x})(y_{i-k} - \overline{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{i} ((y_{i-k} - \overline{y})^{2})^{2}}}$ 15 16 Where i = 0, 1, 2, ..., T-1 in week; \bar{x} represents the mean of a series x_i and \bar{y} is the mean of 17 18 the series y_i. Multiple regression analysis was done to find the most parsimonious model to 19 predict pod rot rate. In order to suppress the auto-correlation present in the series relative to 20 disease incidence (PRR), the difference between two time points was used for the analysis (Kendall, 1976). An angular transformation of the variable *PRR* (Arcsine \sqrt{PRR}) was used to 21 22 ensure the homogeneity of variance which is a requirement of general linear model (Draper 23 and Smith, 1981).

These analyses were carried out per site and per year and subsequently per site with combined
 the data of the three years. Data were analysed using Statistica and SAS (SAS, 2001), with
 REG and GLM procedures.

4

5 **Results**

6 The evolution of temperature, quantity of rainfall, and PRR incidence was monitored during
7 three years (Figures 1 to 3). Temperature is not presented because it was more or less constant
8 within each site and within each of the three years.

9

10 Temperature and rainfall

11

12 In Mbankomo, 2001 was cooler than 1999 and 2000 (P < 0.05). The reverse was observed in 13 Goura where 2001 was warmer than the two previous years. In Barombi-Kang annual temperatures were not significantly different (P>0.05) between years (Table 1). At the three 14 15 sites, annual rainfall (in mm) varied among years. In Mbankomo and Barombi-Kang, significant differences (P<0.01) in rainfall observed in 1999 and 2000 was observed. In 16 17 addition, the year 2001 was the wettest in these two locations. In Goura, rainfall varied 18 significantly during the three years (P<0.001) (Table 1). Mbankomo was the site where the 19 lowest temperatures were recorded for all years. The temperatures at Goura and Barombi-20 Kang were similar. Barombi-Kang was the site that had the greatest total quantity of rainfall 21 during the three years, and Goura had the lowest, with rainfall below seasonal norms in 2001. 22 Difference of temperature and rainfall means between Mbankomo and Goura (though situated 23 in the same agro-ecological zone) was the outstanding point in the observation of 24 environmental variables.

1 **Production and Phytophthora pod rot rate**

2

The number of healthy pods per tree, for each site and each year is shown in Table 2: in Goura in 2000 (21.31 fruit/tree), in Barombi-Kang in 1999 (21.9 fruit/tree) and in 2000 (19 fruit/tree). This was a high number of healthy pods per tree as compared to the average national production of 10 fruit/tree.

In each site, pod rot incidence (PRR) was significantly different of over the three years (P<0.01). The highest and the lowest rates were recorded in 2001 in Barombi-Kang and in Goura, respectively (Table 2). For each year, the pod rot incidence (PRR) was significantly different between sites (P<0.05); Goura was significantly less attacked by pot rot disease than the others sites.

12

13 Temporal analysis

14

15 The results of simple auto-correlation of rainfall at the three sites during the three years are 16 shown in Table 3. The correlations were very low (P>0.05). This is characteristic of a white 17 noise process, meaning that the successive rainfall events were not dependant. Conversely, 18 observations of the data presented in Table 4 (auto-correlations of PRR) showed that the 19 successive pot rot rate were dependent, with a significant autocorrelation (P < 0.05). Overall 20 the values of autocorrelation decreased progressively when the lag increased. So, there was a 21 null risk to reject wrongly the hypothesis of nullity of ρ_k coefficients; this indicates that the 22 series is not a white noise process, with dependence between successive pod rot rates.

23

The cross-correlation method allowed for identification of important environmental variables (and associated lags) that affect *PRR*. Figures 4 to 6 represent cross-correlations coefficients between PRR and the rainfall. During rain, the disease did not produce symptoms
 immediately. Overall, the highest significant correlations were obtained with a week lag time
 (P<0.05). This result suggests a latent period of one week for the expression of the disease.

4 Cross-correlations results of year 2001 in Goura were not presented, because of the low level
5 of Phytophthora pod rot at the experimental plantation observed during this season.

6

7 Relationship between environmental variables and incidence of the disease

8

9 The best results determined by model selection (R^2) were obtained with the step-by-step 10 method. Among all the environmental variables, only RAIN (with one week lag) contributed 11 significantly to the PRR incidence. Table 4 presents the results of regressions that described 12 PRR incidence as a function of quantity of rainfall per site and per year and subsequently per 13 site using the pooled data from the three years. In Goura, only data from 1999 and 2000 were 14 pooled, as pod rot rate was almost zero in 2001. These results always showed that quantity of 15 rainfall had a highly significant effect on PRR incidence. However, the coefficients of 16 determination (R^2) , representing the percentage of variability explained by the model, were 17 relatively low for the analysis done per year and per site. The R² were improved when the data 18 of different years were pooled for each site.

19

From equations presented in Table 5, the quantity of rainfall can be used to predict PRR incidence at a cocoa farm. For example, it was observed that to reach a weekly pod rot rate of 1%, more rainfall was needed in Mbankomo (48 mm) than in Goura (39 mm) (Table 6).

23

24 **Discussion**

1 One of the objectives of this study was to establish the relationships between Phytophthora 2 pod rot and two environmental factors. The results clearly showed that disease increased with 3 increasing quantity of rainfall. The highest PRR incidence occurred in 2003 at the Barombi-4 Kang (70.3%) and Mbankomo (64.76%) sites when quantity of rainfall was very high (> 2200mm). In contrast, the lowest losses were obtained in Goura in 2001 (1.15%) when rainfall was 5 6 low (751 mm). However, in this case, the production of healthy pods per tree was also low, 7 probably meaning that the rainfall was not sufficient to induce good fructification. It is 8 generally indicated that a minimum of 1000 - 1200 mm of rainfall is required in a cocoa 9 plantation to get a good yield (Mossu, 1990). The best cacao yield is obtained with an 10 intermediate rainfall regime (1100 – 2000mm).

11

12 To better understand the effects of environmental conditions on disease development, two 13 types of analyses were done: cross-correlation analysis and multiple regression analysis. 14 Results of the cross-correlation analysis showed that there was a lag of one or two weeks 15 between rainfall and disease expression. This may be the result of a incubation period, which 16 is the period from fruit infection and the first disease symptoms. In the case of *Phytophthora* 17 megakarya, this period lasts six days in cocoa trees (Ward et al., 1981). From the multiple 18 regression analysis, it was possible to determine that the rainfall regime was the best indicator 19 of PRR incidence which allows to better disease prediction. Among the different sites, mean 20 temperature variations, which were relatively constant over the year did not influence the 21 development of the epidemic.

22

These results are in agreement with those reported by Ward et al. (1981). These authors attempted to model disease development in the field by taking into account the change in the number of total pods per tree over time, the latency period (p) and the duration of active sporulation zone on each fruit (i). Considering (p)=6 days and (i)=15 days, the authors
discovered that disease incidence on day (d) was correlated to the cumulative number of
healthy pods on day (d), to rainfall on day (d-3) and to the cumulative duration of sporulation
on pod on day (d-5).

5

6 The long term objective of this study was to contribute to the elaboration of disease 7 forecasting models for cocoa. For this pupose we need to apprehend a great environmental 8 variation and it will be then necessary to collect data over many years

9

- 10
- 11

12 Acknowledgments

13

Financial assistance from the FAC project (*Fond d'Aide et de Coopération*), set up by the French Ministry of Cooperation and the Government of Cameroon, is acknowledged. Sincere thanks are expressed to the staff of the Plant Pathology Laboratory at the Institute of Agricultural Research for Development, Cameroon (E. Bouambi, N. Bindzi, Late T. Simo, N. Essomo), who carried out the field trials. The authors also thank their colleagues (A. Kameni and M. Hoopen) who critically reviewed the manuscript.

20

References

2	Akrofi, A. Y., Appiah A. A., and Opoku, I. Y. 2003. Management of <i>Phytophthora</i> pod rot
3	disease in cocoa farms in Ghana. Crop Protection 22: 469-477.
4	Ambassa-Kiki R. Normales des pluies au Cameroun (1941 – 1970). Personal
5	communicatbion.
6	Asare-Nyako, A. 1973. Sources of primary field inoculum of Phytophthora palmivora in
7	Ghana. Annual report of the Cocoa Research Institute of Ghana 1970 – 1971. 66 p.
8	Berry, D., and Cilas, C. 1994. Etude génétique de la réaction à la pourriture brune des
9	cabosses chez des cacaoyers (Theobroma cacao L) issus d'un plan de croisements
10	diallèle. Agronomie, 14 : 599-609.
11	Bourbonnais, R. 2000. Econométrie. Dunod, 3ème édition, Paris.314 p.
12	Bourke, P. 1996. Cross correlation. Available from http://
13	astronomy.swin.edu.au//~pbourke/analysis/ (cited April 2002).
14	Box, G.E., and Jenkins, G. 1976. Time series analysis. Forcasting and control. Holden-Day.
15	Brasier, C.M., and Griffin, M.J. 1979. Taxonomy of Phytophthora palmivora on cocoa.
16	Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 72 : 111-43.
17	Cilas, C., Ndoumbè-Nkeng, M., Bidzanga-Nomo, and Ngoran J. 2004. Disease incidence
18	and field resistance. In: Improvement of cocoa tree resistance to Phytophthora diseases.
19	Ed: C. Cilas et D. Despréaux. Repères, CIRAD: 77-102.
20	De Jesus, A.M. 1992. Exploitation des résultats de l'étude « épidémiologie » sur la pourriture
21	brune. Course report, CIRAD-CP, Montpellier.
22	Despréaux, D. 1988. Etude de la pourriture brune des cabosses du cacaoyer au Cameroun.
23	Deuxième partie : contribution à l'étude de la maladie, groupe de recherche sur les
24	maladies à Phytophthora sp. du cacaoyer. Rapport interne ; IRAD, Yaoundé, Cameroun.

1	Dodd, J.C., Estrada, A.B., Matcham, J., and Jeffrries, P. 1991. The effect of climatic
2	factors on Colletotrichum gloeosporioides, causal agent of mango anthracnose, in the
3	Philippines. Plant Pathology: 40: 568-575.

- 4 Draper, N., and Smith, H. 1981. Applied regression analysis. 2nd edition. John Wiley &
 5 Sons. 709 p.
- Gomez, K.A., and Gomez, A. 1984. Statistical procedures for agricultural research 2nd
 edition. John Wiley & Sons. 680 p.
- 8 Gregory, P.H., and Maddison, A.C. 1981. Epidemiology of Phytophthora on cocoa in
 9 Nigeria. Phytopathological Paper n°25, 188 p., Kew, CMI, England.
- Henry, C.E. 1977. Primary sources of *Phytophthora palmivora* (Butl.) Butl. Inoculum in
 Jamaica and methods of control. 5th International Cocoa Research Conference, Ibadan,

12 Nigeria, 388-396.

- 13 Kendall, M. 1976. Time-series. Charles Griffin & Co. Ltd. 197 p.
- Ljung, G.M., and Box, G.E.P. 1978. On a measure of lack of fit in time series model. *Biometrika*, 65.
- 16 Mossu, G. 1990. Le cacaoyer. Maisonneuve & Larose, Paris. 160 p.
- 17 Muller, R.A. 1974a. Effect of prophylactic measures of the dissemination of *Phytophthora*
- 18 palmivora. In: Phytophtora Disease of Cocoa (PH Gregory, ed), Longman, London,

19 United Kingdom, 169-178.

- Muller, R.A. 1974b. Integrated control methods. In: *Phytophtora* Disease of Cocoa (PH
 Gregory, ed), Longman, London, United Kingdom, 259-268.
- Ndoumbè-Nkeng, M. 2002. Incidence des facteurs agro-écologiques sur l'épidémiologie de
 la pourriture brune des fruits du cacaoyer au Cameroun: contribution à la mise en place
 d'un modèle d'avertissements agricoles. Thèse de Doctorat. INA P-G, Paris, France. 151
- 25 pages.

1	Ndoumbè-Nkeng, M., Cilas, C., Nyemb, E., Nyassé, S., Bieysse, D., Flori, A., and Sache,
2	I. 2004. Impact of removing diseased pods on cocoa Phytophthora pod rot caused by
3	Phytophthora megakarya and on cocoa production in Cameroon. Crop Protection 23: 415
4	- 424.
5	Nyassé, S. 1997. Etude de la diversité de Phytophthora megakarya et caractérisation de la
6	résistance du cacaoyer (Theobroma cacao L.) à cet agent. Thèse de Doctorat, Inst. Nat.
7	Polytechn. de Toulouse. 133 p.
8	Quenouille, M.H. 1949. The joint distribution of serial correlation coefficients. Annual
9	Review of Mathematical Statistics, 20.
10	Rapilly, F. 1991. L'épidémiologie en pathologie végétale. Mycoses aériennes. INRA, Paris.
11	317 p.
12	SAS Institute Inc. 2001. SAS User's Guide: Statistics. Institute Inc. Release 8.2, Cary, NC,
13	USA
14	Tarjot, M. 1964. De quelques facteurs favorisant le développement des pourritures de
15	cabosse du cacaoyer en Côte d'Ivoire. Café Cacao Thé. 8(1): 32-38.
16	Tarjot, M. 1967 - Etude de la pourriture des cabosses due au <i>Phytophthora palmivora</i> (Butl.)
17	Butl. en Côte d'Ivoire. Lieux de conservation du parasite pendant la saison sèche. Café
18	<i>Cacao Thé</i> 11(4) : 321-330.
19	Tomassone, R., Dervin, C., and Masson, JP. 1993. Biométrie. Modélisation des
20	phénomènes biologiques. Masson, Paris. 553 p.
21	Ward, M.R., Maddison, A.D., and Adebayo, A.A. 1981. The epidemy on sprayed cocoa at
22	Gambary. In: Epidemiology of <i>Phytophthora</i> on Nigeria. Phytopathological paper No. 25,
23	CMI, p. 145-162.

Sites	Year	Surrou	nding temperat	ure (°C)	Under co	Under cocoa trees temperature (°C)		Rainfall (mm)
Siles	I cai	SMinT	SMaxT	SMeanT	UCMinT	UCMaxT	UCMeanT	
	1999	† 19.44 ± 0.18 <i>a</i>	$22.10 \pm 0.23b$	$20.77 \pm 0.20 ab$	18.21± 0.18a	21.16 ± 0.16 <i>a</i>	$19.69 \pm 0.17a$	$1762 \pm 15.02 \ b$
Mbanko-	2000	$19.24 \pm 0.23a$	$23.78 \pm 0.29a$	$21.51 \pm 0.21a$	18.54 ±0.11 <i>a</i>	$20.95 \pm 0.27 ab$	$19.74 \pm 0.21a$	$1823 \pm 42.45b$
mo	2001	$18.35\pm0.11b$	$21.67\pm0.34~b$	$20.01\pm0.18~b$	17.29 ±0.29b	$20.23 \pm 0.28b$	$18.77\pm0.20b$	2288 ± 13.5 <i>a</i>
	1999	21.16 ± 0.13 <i>b</i>	31.93 ± 0.23 <i>b</i>	$26.54 \pm 0.14b$	$20.08 \pm 0.13a$	$28.25 \pm 0.25b$	$24.16 \pm 0.15a$	$1600 \pm 8.62a$
Goura	2000	$21.88 \pm 0.15 ab$	$31.86 \pm 0.16b$	$26.87 \pm 1.02b$	$20.03 \pm 0.25a$	$30.24 \pm 0.14a$	25.14±0.16a	$1139 \pm 1.50b$
	2001	$22.59 \pm 0.11a$	$34.59 \pm 0.48a$	28.59±0.23 <i>a</i>	$21.02 \pm 0.12a$	$27.79\pm0.24b$	24.40± 0.17 <i>a</i>	751 ± 3.87 <i>c</i>
Barombi	1999	22.67 ±0.11a	23.61±0.20 <i>a</i>	$23.14 \pm 0.12a$	21.65±0.09a	22.09±0.20a	21.87±0.11 <i>a</i>	$2003 \pm 13.80b$
-	2000	22.49 ±0.14 <i>a</i>	23.54±0.12 <i>a</i>	23.02±0.12 <i>a</i>	$20.64 \pm 0.10a$	22.51±0.27 <i>a</i>	21.56±0.14 <i>a</i>	$1960 \pm 11.83b$
Kang	2001	22.11 ±0.11a	23.76± 0.11 <i>a</i>	22.94± 0.09a	20.83± 0.11 <i>a</i>	22.75±0.28a	21.79± 0.18 <i>a</i>	$2873 \pm 9.29a$

Table 1: Mean temperatures and rainfall in each site (April – November 1999, 2000, 2001)

† Values are means \pm *standard error*

3 For each variable at a given site, values followed by the same letter are not significantly different with Newman-Keuls test at 5% level

- '

Table 2: Mean pod rot rate and production in each site (April – November 1999, 2000, 2001)

Year	Final pod rot rate	Number of healthy
	(%)	pods per tree
1999	† 44.26 ± 3.70 <i>c</i> §	10.62 ±1.04 <i>a</i>
2000	59.43 ± 3.52 <i>b</i>	$7.71 \pm 0.71b$
2001	$64.76 \pm 4.93a$	11.14 ±0.97 <i>a</i>
1999	$16.61 \pm 1.56a$	$10.87 \pm 1.08c$
2000	$13.04 \pm 1.42a$	$21.31 \pm 2.17a$
2001	$1.15 \pm 0.23b$	$13.85\pm0.89b$
1999	$41.89 \pm 1.77b$	$21.90 \pm 0.44a$
2000	$35.19 \pm 1.18c$	$19.00\pm0.38b$
2001	$70.31 \pm 2.89a$	$6.50 \pm 0.76c$
	1999 2000 2001 1999 2000 2001 1999 2000	$(\%)$ $1999 + 44.26 \pm 3.70c \$$ $2000 + 44.26 \pm 3.70c \$$ $2000 + 59.43 \pm 3.52b$ $2001 + 64.76 \pm 4.93a$ $1999 + 16.61 \pm 1.56a$ $2000 + 13.04 \pm 1.42a$ $2001 + 1.15 \pm 0.23b$ $1999 + 41.89 \pm 1.77b$ $2000 + 35.19 \pm 1.18c$

† Means are values ± *standard error*

§ For each variable at a given site, values followed by the same letter are not significantly different with Newman-Keuls test at 5% level

S: #a	Veer		Completter	White noise	
Site	Year	Lag (week)	Correlation	† (P value)	
		1	0.07769		
	1000	2	0.03813	0.5880	
	1999	3	0.27777		
		4	0.18834		
_		1	-0.03364		
Mbankomo	2000	2	-0.12438	0.2403	
vibalikolilo	2000	3	-0.17005	0.2405	
		4	0.31207		
_		1	0.33633	0.4040	
	2001	2	0.20602		
	2001	3	0.06774	0.7070	
		4	0.10049		
		1	0.04657		
	1999	2	-0.02783	0.8672	
	1777	3	0.14162	0.0072	
		4	0.20364		
– Goura		1	0.01838		
ovuru	2000	2	-0.01026	0.9663	
	2000	3	0.07725		
		4	-0.02992		
_	2001	1	0.36892	0.5298	
	2001	2	0.12501	0.3298	

		3	0.03107	
	-	4	-0.04581	
		1	-0.00288	
	-	2	-0.26238	0 6 6 0 6
	1999 <u> </u>	3	-0.04436	0.6686
	-	4	-0.02525	
		1	-0.00820	
rombi-	-	2	0.03259	
Kang	2000 _	3	-0.05487	0.9907
	-	4	-0.11992	
		1	-0.00529	
	-	2	0.13300	0.8724
	2001	3	0.06208	
	-	4	-0.19185	

† White noise (P value): non significance of the correlation if P-Value>0.05

¹² Please format the tables according to the Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology

S! 4	V		Completter	White noise	
Site	Year	Lag (week)	Correlation	(P value)	
		1	0.38177		
	1000	2	0.39539	0.0007	
	1999	3	0.49067	0.0007	
		4	0.24886		
_		1	0.81794		
Mbankomo	2000	2	0.68899	<0.0001	
vidankomo	2000	3	0.51443	<0.0001	
		4	0.35122		
_		1	0.69931		
	2001	2	0.33266		
	2001	3	0.14526	<0.0001	
		4	-0.07983		
		1	0.73008		
	1000	2	0.52308	<0.0001	
	1999	3	0.32898	<0.0001	
		4	0.20190		
		1	0.45732		
Goura	2000	2	0.48730	0.0052	
	2000	3	0.34699		
		4	0.02463		
_	2001	1	0.39230	0.0174	
	2001	2	0.08095	0.0174	

	-	4	-0.26096	
		4		
		1	0.61937	
	- 1999	2	0.45014	0.0005
		3	0.23869	0.0005
	-	4	0.16833	
-		1	0.63346	<0.0001
Barombi-	- 2000	2	0.57992	
Kang	2000 _	3	0.50878	
	-	4	0.15422	
-		1	0.86274	
	- 2001	2	0.70645	< 0.0001
	2001 _	3	0.52839	<0.0001
	-	4	0.27160	

- ,

1 Please format the tables according to the Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology

Site	Year	Equation of the model*	R ²	P-value
	1999	† $y = 0.168 RAIN$	53 %	< 0.001
	2000	y = 0.096 RAIN	56 %	< 0.001
Mbankomo	2001	y = 0.146 RAIN	61%	< 0.001
	1999 - 2001	$y = 0.120 \ RAIN$	69 %	< 0.001
	1999	$y = 0.150 \ RAIN$	62 %	< 0.001
Goura	2000	y = 0.167 RAIN	57 %	< 0.001
	1999 - 2000	<i>y</i> = 0. 146 <i>RAIN</i>	74 %	< 0.001
	1999	<i>y</i> = 0. 429 <i>RAIN</i>	68 %	< 0.001
Barombi-	2000	<i>y</i> = 0. 210 <i>RAIN</i>	54 %	< 0.001
Kang	2001	<i>y</i> = 0. 223 <i>RAIN</i>	58 %	< 0.001
	1999 -2001	y = 0.257 RAIN	81 %	< 0.001

Table 5: Regression models between pod rot rate and rainfall regime.

- $\uparrow y = arcsine \sqrt{PRR}$, with *PRR*= Pod Rot Rate, *RAIN*=Rainfall)

- 1 Please format the tables according to the Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology

Table 6: Rainfall (mm) necessary to start a weekly pod rot rate of 1% per year in different

sites (estimations based on the equations of Table 4)

	Year			Sites
-2001 (mean)	2001	2000	1999	_
47.8	39.3	59.8	34.2	Mbankomo
39.3	-	34.4	38.3	Goura
22.3	25.7	27.3	13.8	Barombi-Kang

- 1 Figures Please read the guidelines for ms submission.
- remove the external boxes
 Close the graph boxes
 Put legend within the graph boses
 Combine graphs within figures, graph with the same 'X' axix should combine to save space and to provide more visibility to the data.
 Put the figure captions on a separate pages before the presentation of figures

1 Figure captions

- 2 Figure 1: Evolution of weekly pod rot rate and of daily rainfall at Mbankomo
- 3 Figure2: Evolution of weekly pod rot rate and of daily rainfall at Goura
- 4 Figure 3: Evolution of weekly pod rot rate and of daily rainfall at Barombi-Kang
- 5 Figure 4: Cross correlations coefficients of Pod Rot Rate (PRR) Rainfall, for several lags (in
- 6 week), at Mbankomo
- 7 Figure 5: Cross correlations coefficients of Pod Rot Rate (PRR) Rainfall, for several lags (in
- 8 week), at Goura
- 9 Figure 6: Cross correlations coefficients of Pod Rot Rate (PRR) Rainfall, for several lags (in
- 10 week) at Barombi-Kang
- 11
- 12













