

# Water stress during reproductive stages affects seed quality and yield of pea (Pisum sativum L)

Jean-Albert Fougereux, Thierry Doré, Fabienne Ladonne, André Fleury

## ▶ To cite this version:

Jean-Albert Fougereux, Thierry Doré, Fabienne Ladonne, André Fleury. Water stress during reproductive stages affects seed quality and yield of pea (Pisum sativum L). Crop Science, 1997, 37 (2), pp.1247-1252. 10.2135/cropsci1997.0011183X003700040036x . hal-01369004

# HAL Id: hal-01369004 https://agroparistech.hal.science/hal-01369004

Submitted on 10 Aug2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Water Stress During Reproductive Stages Affects Seed Quality and Yield of Pea (Pisum
2	sativum L.)
3	
4	Jean-Albert Fougereux*, Thierry Doré, Fabienne Ladonne, and André Fleury
5	Crop Science, 37(4), 1247-1252
6	10.2135/cropsci1997.0011183X003700040036x
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	J.A. Fougereux and F. Ladonne, FNAMS/Labosem, Le Verger, 49800 Brain-sur-l'Authion,
17	France; T. Doré, Laboratoire d'agronomie INRA/INA-PG, 16 rue C. Bernard, 75231 Paris
18	Cedex 05, France; A. Fleury, ENSH, 4 rue Hardy, 78009 Versailles Cedex, France. Supported
19	by French Ministry of Agriculture (Comité Technique Permanent de la Sélection des Plantes
20	Cultivées). Received * Corresponding author.
21	Abbreviations: IBSF, irrigated before seed filling and not during seed filling; IDSF,
22	irrigated during seed filling and not during the period from the start of flowering to the

initiation of seed filling; NI, non-irrigated treatment; WI, irrigated as needed during the
whole growing season.

3

#### ABSTRACT

Physiological pea (Pisum sativum L.) seed quality depends on various factors affecting 4 the plant, including water supply, the true effect of which has not been characterized. 5 The effects of different periods of water stress during reproductive stages on the seed 6 7 yield and seed physiological quality were investigated in field studies over three years (1991, 1992 and 1993) in Brain-sur-l'Authion, France, on a Mollisol, Rendoll, 8 9 eutrochreptic soil. Irrigation during seed filling (IDSF) and irrigation during the period 10 from the start of flowering to the start of seed filling (IBSF) were compared to a nonirrigated (NI) and a well-irrigated (WI) treatments. The physiological quality of pea 11 seeds was assessed by the germination percentage, conductivity test, and cold test. The 12 germination percentage averaged 98% and was not modified by water stress. In 1993, 13 rainfall during the reproductive stages was high, and there were no differences in seed 14 yield or quality between treatments. In 1991 and 1992 the rainfall was much lower, and 15 the NI treatment showed the lowest seed yield and quality as far as the conductivity test 16 17 and cold test were concerned. The WI treatment showed the best yields. Water stress 18 during the flowering period (IDSF) did not reduce seed quality compared to WI when it was followed by an optimal water supply during seed filling, and reduced seed yield 19 slightly. Water stress during seed filling (IBSF) decreased seed yield but the effect on 20 21 seed quality was not significant. Mean seed weight was higher and less variable in IDSF treatments than in WI treatments. Changing irrigation strategies for pea seed production 22

towards irrigation during seed filling may improve the physiological quality of the
 seedlots without decreasing the seed yield.

1 Seed germination quality in pea depends on the pathological, physical and physiological state of the seed (Powell et al., 1984). While the pathological state (Powell et al., 1984) and physical 2 properties (Deneufbourg, 1992) of the seed are increasingly well known, little is known about 3 the factors that are responsible for differences in physiological quality between seed lots at 4 harvest. At present, the physiological quality of the seed is not really taken into account by pea 5 seed growers. Moreover, the water supply to pea crops for seed production varies greatly in 6 7 France according to the cropping area and how irrigation is managed. But the consequences of water supply on the physiological quality of the seed are not known. 8

9 The effect of the water supply of the plant on seed quality has been investigated in some 10 large-seeded legumes, especially in soybean. In field experiments, Smiciklas et al. (1989) observed that water stress during the period of seed filling in soybean induced a reduction in 11 seed quality as assessed by germination and conductivity results, compared with those where 12 there was no or earlier water stress. In contrast, Vieira et al. (1992) working on greenhouse and 13 field experiments on soybean observed no or little effect of drought stress on seed quality. 14 Dornbos and Mullen (1991) observed a negative effect of drought stress which was enhanced 15 by high air temperatures. Heatherly (1993), in a three-year field study, observed that drought 16 stress resulted in reduced germination of harvested soybean, but the daytime temperature 17 18 during the seed filling period exceeded 30 °C for all experiments.

In pea, literature about the effect of drought stress is less abundant. Nichols et al. (1978), working with potted plants, observed no effect of drought stress on seed conductivity or germination. However, in a two-year field experiment, Raymond et al. (1988) found reduced pea seed quality when water was withheld during seed filling. They concluded applying the final irrigation at 500 degree-days after blooming (about two weeks) was necessary to produce viable seed yields similar to those obtained with continuous irrigation through early
 senescence.

The effect of the maturity level of the seed at harvest has been reported in soybean (TeKrony 3 et al., 1980) and in peas (Bedford and Matthews, 1976; Rachidian and Le Deunff, 1986) to be 4 an important factor affecting seed quality. For pea, a harvest moisture content of 40 % appears 5 to be the optimum maturity stage for the best expression of the physiological quality of the 6 7 seed (Fougereux et al., 1995). Periods of drought stress, climatic conditions, and maturity levels are not always specified in the reported experiments. This could explain why results do 8 not all agree. Although a tendency for a deleterious effect of drought stress during the seed 9 10 filling period on seed quality is becoming apparent, further experiments are needed for more 11 reliable conclusions about the effect of drought stress at different reproductive stages of the crop. The objective of this work was to study the effects of plant water stress at different stages 12 13 on pea seed quality, distinct from the pathological and mechanical aspects of seed quality.

14

2

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Crop procedures**

Field studies were conducted in 1991, 1992 and 1993 at Brain sur l'Authion (Anjou, France), 3 on a clay limestone soil (Mollisol, Rendoll, eutrochreptic soil using the US 4 classification). 'Solara', a semi-leafless pea cultivar, was used in all experiments. Seeds were 5 sown on 14, 12 and 11 March, respectively for the three years. Inter-row spacing was 0.175 m 6 7 in 1991 and 0.35 m in 1992 and 1993. Individual plots were 3 x 10 m in 1991 and 5 x 10 m in 1992 and 1993. Buffer areas (2 m wide) separated individual plots. Weeds were well 8 controlled by applications of preemergence pendimethalin plus neburon and postemergence 9 10 pendimethalin plus bentazone. Fungi and insects were well controlled by applications of 11 carbendazim plus chlorotalonil mixed with endosulfan plus thiomethon, every ten days from the beginning of flowering to the end of grain filling. Plant densities were 60, 78 and 88 12 13 plant.m<sup>-2</sup>, for 1991, 1992 and 1993 respectively.

14

#### **Irrigation management**

Water was applied by drip lines placed on the soil surface with emitters at 30-cm intervals. 15 There were two rows of peas between lines in 1991 and one row in 1992 and 1993. The 16 amount of water applied was controlled with volumetric counters, one per plot. Drought stress 17 18 was imposed by withholding water at the start of drought stress periods. In 1991, irrigation 19 during unstressed periods was managed according to the climatic demand. Each day, the water balance was calculated from emergence, taking into account the inputs and outputs of water. 20 The inputs included soil water reserve, rainfall and irrigation. Outputs included the 21 evapotranspiration (ETP, Penman method, Penman, 1948), corrected by a crop coefficient k 22 (Deumier et al., 1991). The values used were 0.5 from emergence to the 5-leaf stage, 0.7 from 23

5-leaf to 7- leaf stage, 0.9 from 7-leaf to 9-leaf stage, 1 from 9-leaf stage to the beginning of flowering, and 1.2 after the beginning of flowering. Twenty mm of water were applied when the water deficit exceeded 20 mm.

In 1992 and 1993, irrigation during unstressed periods was managed according to tensiometric measurements. In each plot, three tensiometers were installed at a depth of 0.2, 0.4 and 0.6 m. Twenty mm of water were applied when the mean value of the three tensiometer readings at 0.4 m was below - 40 MPa.

8

#### Water treatments and rainfall

9 In 1991, three irrigation treatments were imposed, and four in 1992 and 1993. All treatments 10 included optimal irrigation before flowering. In 1991, treatments consisted of a non-irrigated 11 (NI) control (irrigation stopped at the beginning of flowering), and two treatments irrigated until the initiation of seed filling (Ney and Turc, 1993), or the end of seed filling which is 12 13 defined as physiological maturity according to Ney and Turc (1993). These last two treatments are denoted as IBSF for «irrigated before seed filling» and WI for «well-irrigated» 14 respectively. In 1992 and 1993, the 4 treatments consisted of a non-irrigated (NI) control, two 15 treatments irrigated until the beginning (IBSF) or the end (WI) of seed filling, and a treatment 16 where irrigation was stopped at the beginning of flowering and began again at the initiation of 17 seed filling until the end of seed filling (IDSF for « irrigated during seed filling »). Treatments 18 19 were arranged in a randomized complete block with three replications in 1992 and 1993. In 1991 each treatment was replicated three times but not in a block design due to the irrgation 20 system used. Analysis of variance was conducted separately on each year's data (significant 21 result if p<0.05, highly significant if p<0.01) and means were separated by Newman-Keuls test 22 at the 5% level. 23

Figure 1 shows rainfall and evapotranspiration during the crop cycle for the three years. In 1991, evapotranspiration was high and rainfall was low during both the flowering and seed filling period, whereas, in 1992, rainfall was low during the flowering period but higher at the end of the seed filling period. In 1993, rainfall was high during the flowering period and low during the seed filling period.

6

## **Crop development evaluation**

7 For irrigation management, the « beginning of flowering » stage was visually determined. The «initiation of seed filling» and «end of seed filling» stages were evaluated with a 8 method based on monitoring seed moisture content. Fifty plants per plot were collected every 9 10 two or three days between the beginning of pod setting and harvest. Seeds were extracted 11 manually from pods and assembled according to their node number. Seed moisture content was determined for each node according to the method described below. On the basis of the 12 13 observations of Rachidian and Le Deunff (1986), a node was considered to be at the initiation or the end of seed filling when the moisture content of the seeds was 80 or 55 %, respectively. 14 Thus, the «initiation of seed filling » stage, at the crop level, was defined as the time when 15 seed moisture content at the first node reached 80 %, while the stage « end of seed filling » 16 stage was defined as the time when seeds of the upper node reached 55 % moisture content. 17

18

#### Harvesting methods

Harvests were performed when moisture content of the first node reached 15 %. For each plot, 50 consecutive plants were collected manually well within the edges. Seeds were extracted manually from the pods to constitute a seedlot, and stored in an air-conditioned chamber (15  $^{\circ}$ C - 72 % relative humidity) until quality assessment.

23

#### Yield and yield component measurements

For each plot of each treatment the mean number of flowering nodes per plant, yield and yield components (seed number and mean seed weight) were measured on a sample of 80 plants in 1991 and 50 plants in 1992 and 1993. In 1992, 300 seeds from each treatment were individually weighed, in order to assess the within-lot variability of this component.

6

### Physiological quality assessment

7 Two tests were added to the germination percentage, which is the most common measure of seed quality. The first one was a conductivity test, whose relevance for predicting field 8 9 emergence and identifying low-quality seedlots in peas has been shown in many reports (for 10 example Bedford, 1974; Ladonne, 1992). As some external factors may strongly increase the 11 susceptibility of the seeds to imbibition damage, particularly cold and wet sowing conditions (Simon and Wiebe, 1975; Ladonne, 1992), a cold test was added to assess physiological 12 13 quality. Ladonne (1992) showed that such a test makes it possible to identify low vigor seedlots which had acceptable germination levels in the laboratory, but resulted in poor field 14 emergence. Germination tests were performed according to ISTA recommendations (ISTA, 15 1985). Two hundred seeds randomly chosen from each seedlot, in four replicates of 50 seeds, 16 were sown in boxes containing sand moistened to 10 % versus dry weight with deionized 17 18 water. The boxes were then covered with polyethylene films to avoid evaporation and placed 19 for 8 days in a germination room (20°C - photoperiod of 9h/24h). Normal and abnormal seedlings were counted at the end of the germination period and the germination percentage 20 (percent normal seedling) of the four boxes from each seedlot were averaged. Conductivity 21 tests were performed on 100 seeds per seedlot with an automatic seed analyzer (ASAC 1000, 22 Neogen Corporation, Lansing, Michigan, U.S.A.). Before the test, seed moisture content was 23

1	equilibrated at about 15 % to avoid the presence of non-imbibed seeds. To take into account
2	variations in seed size between lots, results are expressed per gram of seed, as recommended
3	by ISTA (ISTA, 1987). Cold test procedures were the same as those of the germination test,
4	except that boxes were placed at 1°C for 24 h before being placed for 8 days at 20° C. For this
5	test, seed moisture content for all seed lots was equilibrated to about 11% by placing seeds for
6	two weeks at ambient air conditions. Linear regression was used to determine the relationship
7	between conductivity test and cold test results.



2

#### RESULTS

#### Seed yield and yield components

Measures of seed yield and yield components were used to evaluate the effects of water 3 deficits for the different years and treatments on seed yield and size distribution. The number 4 of flowering nodes provides information on the effects of water deficits during the «beginning 5 of flowering-initiation of seed filling » period and the mean seed weight gives information on 6 7 the effects of water deficits during the whole period of seed filling. For both 1991 and 1992, non-irrigation reduced the corresponding yield components values (Table 1). In 1993 there was 8 no difference between treatments until the initiation of seed filling. This was probably due to 9 the high rainfall during flowering which eliminated water stress. However mean seed weight 10 was lower than controlfor the non-irrigated treatments during the seed filling period. In 1991 11 and 1992 the highest seed yield was obtained with the WI treatment, and the lowest with the 12 13 NI and IBSF treatments. The IDSF treatment had the second highest value for seed yield in 14 1992 and was similar to the WI treatment in 1993. The IDSF treatment gave the highest mean seed weight in 1992 and 1993. 15

Within-lot variability of mean seed weight in 1992 is shown in Figure 2. Individual seed weights were generally higher and more uniform for the IDSF treatment than for the other treatments. Coefficient of variation (CV) values corresponding to this figure are 11.5, 12.2, 19.3 and 16.3 for WI, IDSF, IBSF and NI, respectively.

#### **Physiological quality**

2 The results of the three measurements of physiological quality (conductivity test, germination percentage, and cold test) are presented in Table 2. For the three years, the 3 germination percentage was very high (mean value for the three years was 98%), and not 4 significantly different between treatments. In 1993, none of the three methods for measuring 5 germination quality revealed differences between treatments. In 1991 and 1992, differences 6 7 existed between treatments as far as conductivity tests were concerned. Treatments without any irrigation after the start of flowering showed a poorer quality compared to other 8 treatments. In 1991, although the differences were not significant, the later the irrigation was 9 10 stopped, the higher the quality was. In 1992, the IDSF treatment showed that stopping 11 irrigation between the beginning of flowering and the initiation of seed filling did not reduce physiological quality. The results of conductivity test for the IBSF treatment did not differ 12 13 significantly in 1991 and 1992 from those for treatments including irrigation during seed filling (WI, IDSF). The cold test indicated that although the IBSF treatment did not differ 14 significantly from the non-irrigated control neither did it differ from the WI and IDSF 15 treatments. Moreover, there were large differences in the conductivity test and cold test results 16 for different years, especially between 1992 and 1993 during which the irrigation systems were 17 18 the same, taking into account the WI treatment. Results for the three years showed a negative 19 linear relationship between the cold test percentage and conductivity (Fig. 3).

#### DISCUSSION

2 As differences in the conductivity test and cold test results occurred between years for WI treatment, the effects of drought stress on pea seed physiological quality must first be 3 discussed year by year. Results from 1991 showed that drought stress starting at the beginning 4 of flowering and maintained during seed filling was sufficient to decrease seed physiological 5 quality. Water stress during seed filling only seemed to decrease seed quality compared to that 6 7 of well-irrigated plants, but this statement can not be made with definity because the values did not differ significantly. Experiments from 1992 confirmed these results and showed that 8 physiological quality was maintained compared to the WI treatment if drought stress was 9 10 limited to the period from the start of flowering to the start of seed filling (IDSF treatment), 11 and plants were kept well-watered during the seed filling period. Experiments from 1993 could not confirm these results due to high rainfall. The IBSF treatment from this year did not show 12 13 any decrease in physiological quality and this could be explained by a lower stress intensity or a later stress period during seed filling compared to the same treatment from 1991 and 1992 14 (Fig. 1). The results for the three years show that the whole reproductive period is a period of 15 sensitivity of pea seed physiological quality to drought stress, and that the decrease in quality 16 is lower when the stress does not occur during seed filling. These results are in accordance 17 18 with the results of authors (Raymond et al., 1988 in pea, Smiciklas et al., 1989 in soybean) 19 who observed a deleterious effect of drought stress on pea seed quality when water was withheld during seed filling. Our observations may also explain why drought stress during the 20 reproductive period might have been reported as non effective on pea seed quality, if the stress 21 preceded the seed filling period. 22

Differences in seed quality assessed by the conductivity test and cold test for the WI 1 2 treatments from 1992 and 1993 managed with the same irrigation system showed that drought stress was not the only factor responsible for the seed physiological quality during our 3 experiments. The effects of the environment during seed development on the germination 4 quality of seeds have been investigated by several authors for some large-seeded leguminous 5 species. In beans (Phaseolus vulgaris L.), Siddique and Goodwin (1980) found a deleterious 6 7 effect of high maturation temperatures on the percentage of normal seedlings. In soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merr.), Keigley and Mullen (1986) found that exposure to increasing periods 8 9 of high temperature during the seed filling period resulted in a linear decline in seed 10 germination and vigor. In peas, Nichols et al. (1978) observed no differences in seed quality 11 when the plants were cultivated at 21 or 24°C. However, these authors concluded that there is a deleterious effect of high drying temperatures on seed quality, especially for immature seeds. 12 13 The effect of mineral nutrition on the germination quality of pea seeds has also been reported in a few papers. Hadavizadeh and George (1988), working on plants grown in pots under glass, 14 observed no effect of potassium, but they found an increase in seed vigor (assessed by the 15 conductivity test) for the situations where high nitrogen was combined with medium 16 phosphorus supply. Keiser and Mullen (1993) showed under hydroponic conditions that poor 17 seed Ca concentration was associated with a low percentage of normal seedlings. Smiciklas et 18 19 al. (1989) obtained the same results in soybean. In our experiments, no significant differences in mineral nutrition between treatments and between years were observed. But there was a 20 difference (2.5 to 3 °C) in mean temperature during seed dessiccation, especially between 21 1992 and 1993. These differences could be partly responsible for the quality differences among 22 years for the WI treatments. 23

1 Our results should also be discussed regarding irrigation strategies. The WI treatment 2 showed the best results, as assessed by seed yield, and a high seed physiological quality. The late irrigations needed in this strategy could, however, lead to pathological decreases in quality 3 in field conditions where aspersion is used. But the strategy for pea seed production practiced 4 at present in France is based on irrigation until the beginning of seed filling. This strategy, 5 corresponding to IBSF treatments in our experiments, led to failures in seed yields mainly due 6 7 to low mean seed weights and to uncertain physiological quality. The strategy involving irrigation limited to the seed filling period (IDSF treatment) gave low seed numbers.m<sup>-2</sup>, which 8 was consistent with other authors' results (Silim et al., 1992; Ney et al., 1994), but not low 9 10 seed yields due to higher mean seed weights. The seed physiological quality with this strategy 11 was as high as in the well-irrigated treatment. Mean seed weight was also less variable, which might lead to higher quality of seedlings at emergence (Deneufbourg and Duchêne, 1994). An 12 13 adequate water supply during seed filling without irrigation during the flowering period could therefore be tested as an alternative strategy to obtain high quality seedlots with high seed 14 15 vields.

In our results, seed viability was never affected by water management, as shown by the high levels obtained in the germination tests. However, the results of the two vigor tests showed strong differences in seed vigor between the different treatments. Moreover, the relationship between these two tests is rather high (Fig. 3). This illustrates that both tests measure the same property of the seeds, i.e. susceptibility to imbibition damage, which is not assessed by germination tests carried out in optimal germination conditions.

 1
 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

 2
 Authors are grateful to B. Ney for his helpful advice after reviewing the manuscript. They

 3
 also thank V. Delavis, B. Rambaud and J.Y. Dupont for technical assistance, and C. Young

 4
 and A. Edelman for revising the English.

## REFERENCES

2	Bedford, L.V. 1974. Conductivity tests in commercial and hand harvested seed of pea cultivars
3	and their relation to field establishment. Seed Sci. and Technol. 2:323-335.
4	Bedford, L.V., and S. Matthews. 1976. The effect of seed age at harvest on the germinability
5	and quality of heat-dried seed peas. Seed Sci. and Technol. 4:275-286.
6	Deneufbourg, F. 1992. Influence of pea seed maturation and harvesting conditions on
7	germination quality. p. 175-176. In First European Conference on Grain Legumes. AEP,
8	Paris, France.
9	Deneufbourg, F., and E. Duchêne. 1994. Effet du calibre des semences de pois protéagineux
10	sur le potentiel de rendement. p. 247-252. In B. Ney et al. (ed.) Agrophysiologie du pois
11	protéagineux. UNIP, INRA, ITCF, Paris.
12	Deumier, J.M., Bouthier, A., and J.P. Gillet. 1991. Irrigation du pois : rentabilité confirmée sur
13	pois de printemps. Persp. Agric. 154:47-58.
14	Dornbos, D.L. Jr., and R.E. Mullen. 1991. Influence of stress during soybean seed fill on seed
15	weight, germination, and seedling growth rate. Can. J. Plant Sci. 71:373-383.
16	Fougereux, J.A., Ladonne, F., Doré, T., and F. Deneubourg. 1995. Changes in germination
17	quality during pea seed formation. p. 40-41. In Improving production and utilisation of
18	grain legumes, 2nd European Conf. on Grain Legumes. AEP, Paris, France.
19	Hadavizadeh, A., and R.A.T. George. 1988. The effect of mother plant nutrition on seed
20	vigour as determined by the seed leachate conductivity in pea (Pisum sativum L.) cultivar
21	« Sprite ». Seed Sci. and Technol. 16:589-599.
22	Heatherly, L.G. 1993. Drought stress and irrigation effects on germination of harvested
23	soybean seed. Crop Sci. 33:777-781.

1	ISTA. 1985. Comptes rendus de l'Association Internationale d'Essais de Semences. Seed Sci.
2	and Technol. 13, 236 p.
3	ISTA. 1987. Manuel sur les méthodes d'essais de vigueur. Association Internationale d'Essais
4	de Semences Ed., Zurich, Suisse.
5	Keigley, P.J., and R.E. Mullen. 1986. Changes in soybean seed quality from high temperature
6	during seed fill and maturation. Crop Sci. 26:1212-1216.
7	Keiser, J.R., and R.E. Mullen. 1993. Calcium and relative humidity effects on soybean seed
8	nutrition and seed quality. Crop Sci. 33:1345-1349.
9	Ladonne, F. 1992. Pois protéagineux : levée au champ et qualité des semences. Persp. Agric.
10	168:66-71.
11	Ney, B., and O. Turc. 1993. Heat-unit based description of the reproductive development of
12	peas. Crop Sci. 33:510-514.
13	Ney, B., Duthion, C., and O. Turc. 1994. Phenological response of pea to water stress during
14	reproductive development. Crop Sci. 34:141-146.
15	Nichols, M.A., I.J. Warrington, and D.J. Scott. 1978. Pre-harvest treatment effects on some
16	quality criteria of pea seeds. Acta Hort. 83:13-124.
17	Powell, A.A., S. Matthews, and M.A. de Oliveira. 1984. Seed quality in grain legumes. Adv.
18	App. Biol. 10:217-285.
19	Penman H.L. 1948. Natural evaporation from open water, bare soil and grass. Proceed. Roy.
20	Soc. London. A, 193:12-145.
21	Rachidian, Z., and Y. Le Deunff. 1986. Qualité germinative des semences de pois. I -
22	Développement de la gousse et des graines : incidences sur la germination des semences
23	avec ou sans séchage. Agronomie. 6(5):463-468.

1	Raymond, M.A., Stark, J.C., and G.A. Murray. 1988. Final irrigation timing for spring pea
2	seed production. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci. 113(6): 827-830.
3	Siddique, A. M.D., and P.B. Goodwin. 1980. Maturation temperature influences on seed
4	quality and resistance to mechanical injury of some snap bean genotypes. J. Amer. Soc.
5	Hort. Sci. 105(2):235-238.
6	Silim, S.N., Hebblethwaite, P.D., and C. Jones. 1992. Irrigation and water use in leafless peas
7	(Pisum sativum). J. Agric Sci. 119: 211-222.
8	Simon, E.H., and H.H. Wiebe. 1975. Leakage during imbibition, resistance to damage at low
9	temperature and the water content of peas. New Phytol. 74:407-411.
10	Smiciklas, K.D., R.E. Mullen, R.E. Carlson, and A.D. Knapp. 1989. Drought-induced stress
11	effect on soybean seed calcium and quality. Crop Sci. 29:1519-1523.
12	TeKrony, D.M., Egli, J.B., and A.D. Phillips. 1980. Effect of field weathering on the viability
13	and vigor of soybean seed. Agron. J. 72: 749-753.
14	Vieira, R.D., D.M. TeKrony, and D.M. Egli. 1992. Effect of drought and defoliation stress in
15	the field on soybean seed germination and vigor. Crop Sci. 32:471-475.





Fig. 2. Within-lot variability of mean seed weight of « Solara » pea for water stress
 imposed at different stages of plant development (data for 1992 only).



Fig. 3. Relationship between the conductivity test (X-axis) and germination percentage with cold test (Y-axis) in pea. Each point is the mean value for a water treatment for a given year. Treatments correspond to water stress imposed at different stages of plant development. The line represents the linear regression (Y=-0.86X+208.44, r<sup>2</sup>=0.75)

5



Year	Treatment	Flowering	Mean seed	seed number	Seed yield
		nodes plant <sup>-1</sup>	weight	m <sup>-2</sup>	
			mg,		t.ha⁻¹,
			0% moisture		0% moisture
	NI†	5.1	253	1533 b‡	3.89 b
1991	IBSF	7.1	245	1918 ab	4.70 b
	WI	7.6	264	2368 a	6.26 a
		NS	NS	*	*
	NI	5.3 b	232 c	1882 b	4.37 c
1992	IDSF	5.7 b	293 a	2057 b	6.03 b
	IBSF	8.7 a	238 c	2109 b	5.02 c
	WI	9.5 a	262 b	2868 a	7.51 a
		***	***	***	***
	NI	8.3	257 b	2360 a	6.05 a
1993	IDSF	8.2	288 a	2477 a	7.14 a
	IBSF	8.7	240 c	2441 a	5.88 a
	WI	9.1	274 a	2603 a	7.13 a

# Table 1. Yield and yield components of 'Solara' pea as affected by water treatment imposed at different stages of plant development for the three years.

† IBSF= pea irrigated before seed filling and not during seed filling; IDSF = pea irrigated during seed filling and not during the period from the start of flowering to the initiation of seed filling;
NI = non-irrigated; WI = pea irrigated during the whole growing season.

‡ Treatments within a column and year followed by the same letter are not significantly different with the Newman-Keuls test at the 5% level.

<sup>\*, \*\*</sup> and \*\*\* Significant at the 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 levels, respectively.

Year	Treatment	Germination	Conductivity test	Cold test
		percentage		
		% normal seedlings	µA.g <sup>-1</sup>	% normal seedlings
	NI†	99.0	196.7 a‡	32.3 b
1991	IBSF	98.3	161.2 b	49.3 ab
	WI	98.3	150.7 b	62.7 a
		NS	**	*
	NI†	91.2	187.1 a	57.0 b
1992	IDSF	98.8	148.0 b	92.0 a
	IBSF	96.7	158.0 b	73.3 ab
	WI	96.2	162.3 b	88.7 a
		NS	**	*
	NI	98.3	128.0	96.7
1993	IDSF	98.0	128.7	98.3
	IBSF	98.0	131.7	97.0
	WI	97.7	130.7	96.7
		NS	NS	NS

# Table 2. Mean physiological quality of 'Solara ' pea as affected by water treatments

imposed at different stages of plant development for the three years.

\*, \*\* Significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively.

† IBSF= pea irrigated before seed filling and not during seed filling; IDSF = pea irrigated during seed filling and not during the period from the start of flowering to the initiation of seed filling;
NI = non-irrigated; WI = pea irrigated during the whole growing season.

‡ Treatments within a column and a year followed by the same letter are not significantly different with the Newman-Keuls test at the 5% level.