

Fusarium head blight: epidemiological origin of the effects of cultural practices on head blight attacks and the production of mycotoxins by Fusarium in wheat grains

Agnès Champeil, Thierry Doré, Jean-Francois Fourbet

▶ To cite this version:

Agnès Champeil, Thierry Doré, Jean-Francois Fourbet. Fusarium head blight: epidemiological origin of the effects of cultural practices on head blight attacks and the production of mycotoxins by Fusarium in wheat grains. Plant Science, 2004, 166 (6), pp.1389-1415. 10.1016/j.plantsci.2004.02.004. hal-01362219

HAL Id: hal-01362219 https://agroparistech.hal.science/hal-01362219v1

Submitted on 10 Sep 2016 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

1 2

10.1016/j.plantsci.2004.02.004

Review — *Fusarium* head blight: epidemiological origin of the effects of cultural
practices on head blight attacks and the production of mycotoxins by *Fusarium* in wheat
grains

6

7 Champeil A., Doré T. and Fourbet J.F.

8 UMR of Agronomy INRA INA-PG, 78850 Thiverval-Grignon, France

9 <u>champeil@grignon.inra.fr</u>, <u>dore@inapg.inra.fr</u>, <u>fourbet@grignon.inra.fr</u>

10

11 Abstract

12 Fusarium head blight is an ancient disease and is very common throughout the world. In this 13 article, we review current knowledge concerning the effects of cultural practices on the 14 development of head blight and the production of toxins in the field. The qualitative effects of 15 these practices on the severity of the disease and/or the production of toxins are in the process 16 of being elucidated but, in many cases, detailed studies have not yet been carried out or 17 conflicting results have been obtained. However, it should be noted that these effects have not 18 yet been quantified. Three different cultural practices are today considered to be of prime 19 importance for combating this disease and the production of mycotoxins: deep tillage, the 20 choice of the preceding crop in the rotation and the choice of appropriate cultivar, as varietal 21 effects exist.

22

Keywords: head blight, *Fusarium, Microdochium*, wheat, cropping systems, mycotoxins
 24

25 Contents

26	Introduction			
27	1-	Epidemiological study of the disease	4	
28	2-	Effects of various cultural practices on the disease	13	
29	3-	Effects of various cultural practices on the production of mycotoxins by Fusarium	24	
30	4-	Relationship between the disease and toxin production	28	
31	Cor	clusion	39	
32	Ref	erences	44	
33				

35 Introduction

36 Head blight is a fungal disease affecting many small-grain plant species worldwide [1-10]. 37 Affected wheat grains are small, light (the kernel is degraded), wrinkled and sometimes 38 covered with a white or pink down. Rings or oval stains with brown edges and clear centres 39 may be visible on the back of the grain [1,2,6,11-13] and on the external surface of the glumes 40 (Figure 1). Head blight results from the development of a complex of two genera of 41 pathogenic fungi: Microdochium and Fusarium [8,14-22]. Microdochium consists of a single 42 species, M. nivale, made up of two subspecies: M. nivale nivale and M. nivale majus. 43 Fusarium consists of five main species (F. graminearum, F. culmorum, F. avenaceum, F. 44 poae and F. triticum), with several strains per species. The most common of these species are 45 F. graminearum and F. culmorum [2,4,5,23-27], which are also the most pathogenic, reducing the size of the grains and the final yield [24,28]. 46

47

48 The consequences of head blight contamination

49 This disease was first described at the end of the 19th Century in England [29] and has 50 economic consequences. Yield losses (flower abortion, decrease in grain weight, highly 51 damaged grains eliminated during threshing) of wheat may reach 15 stooks (180 sheaths) per 52 hectare in cases of severe disease (according to the technical organisation dealing with cereals 53 in France), or 50-60% [1,6,7,30] about once every three to five years [6,16]. In 2000, the year 54 with the highest levels of disease in the last ten years, up to 100% of ears were affected on 55 certain French plots [31]. There is a non-linear relationship between the percentage of ears 56 attacked by *M. nivale* and thousand-grain weight [19,32]. Head blight also decreases the 57 nutritive and technological quality of the grains (degradation of proteins, baking quality, 58 nutritive value etc.).

59

60 Since the 1990's, interest in food health and safety has increased. For cereal products, one of 61 the most important elements of alimentary risk is the possibility of mycotoxin accumulation 62 on or in the grains [33-35]. Mycotoxins are the products of fungal secondary metabolism 63 [34,36], which occurs when growth stops altogether or slows markedly [37]. These toxins result from adaptation of the growth of the fungus to stressful situations [37]. Head blight is 64 65 the principal means by which mycotoxins develop in the grains before harvest. The mycotoxins produced in crops suffering from head blight are produced by fungi of the genus 66 67 Fusarium. Fungi of the genus Microdochium are thought not to produce toxins [8]. Levels of the mycotoxins produced by *Fusarium* cannot be reduced after harvest by means of classical
transformation procedures [38]. These toxins are chemically and thermally stable [39,40].
They may therefore be found in the raw material (grains) and in transformed products (flour,
bread) or in products from animals fed with contaminated grain (meat, milk, eggs) [35].

72

Mycotoxins cause two types of problem. They may generate technological problems, such as negative effects on malting for beer (inhibition of enzyme synthesis) or on fermentation (inhibition of yeast growth), or even on the production of high-quality bread [38]. Indeed, *F*. *graminearum* modifies a protease that acts on gluten. Damage to this enzyme prevents the dough from retaining the gas produced during fermentation, resulting in heavier, less voluminous bread. Furthermore, *F. graminearum* also destroys starch grains, protein stores and cell walls [6,41,42].

80

81 Mycotoxins may also cause poisoning incidents, the principal symptoms of which are nausea, 82 lethargy, fatty and cancerous infiltrations and possibly even death [38,43]. Six main families 83 of mycotoxins have been studied to date: aflatoxins (B1, B2 and M1), ochratoxin A, 84 trichothecenes (T2 toxin, deoxynivalenol etc.), zearalenone and fumonisins. Fusarium 85 produces toxins of the last three groups, which may attack the liver, the kidneys, the nervous 86 system, the circulatory system, the endocrine system, the skin, the digestive tract and the 87 blood [44]. These toxins are thought to be highly carcinogenic, although this assertion 88 remains unproven in most cases. However, T2 toxin has been shown to be carcinogenic [44]. 89 The absorption of trichothecenes has resulted in the poisoning of animals and humans in the 90 United States, Canada, the Soviet Union (as it was at the time) and Japan [39]. The massive 91 absorption of T2 toxin was responsible for toxic alimentary aleukia in several regions of the 92 USSR between 1942 and 1947 [34,39]. Pigs are the animals most reactive to deoxynivalenol, 93 whereas poultry seem to be particularly resistant. Effects on humans have been observed after 94 the absorption of 300 to 8000 µg/kg deoxynivalenol in India [8]. This led the public 95 authorities to establish norms fixing maximum deoxynivalenol levels in food destined for 96 human consumption. The European Commission has proposed setting limits of 750 µg/kg 97 (750 ppb) in cereals and 500 µg/kg in cereal-based products such as flour. A major problem in 98 trichothecene toxicology is the current lack of knowledge concerning the risk of food contamination by several of these toxins at the same time, as Fusarium can produce a 99 100 multitude of toxins simultaneously [34].

102 Factors triggering attacks

103 The climate is known to have an effect, and is said to be the principal factor affecting the 104 development of head blight on oat in Norway [45]: humidity determines the severity and 105 intensity of the disease whereas precipitation and total radiation determine inoculum levels 106 (number of spores per ear) [46]. The climate plays a role at all stages of development of the 107 fungus [47-49]. The climate during winter affects the survival of the fungus on crop residues: 108 during mild periods of the winter, the fungus is capable of sporulating on the cereal stubble 109 debris [48]. In spring, the climate determines the type and quality of spores produced, 110 together with the date of their dispersal and thus the intensity of the disease. Indeed, 111 coincidence in the dates of spore dispersal and crop flowering, marking the start of the critical 112 phase of infection for plants [2,6,16,50], is of prime importance in determining the intensity 113 of the attack. In summer, together with the virulence characteristics of the fungal strain and 114 the intrinsic resistance of the plant, climate controls competition between pathogen species, 115 thereby controlling the rate of mycelium growth in the plant [47]. The climate may also affect 116 the production of fungal toxins in the field [2,51-54]. The effects of climate are such that the 117 levels of deoxynivalenol recorded on diseased crops in the field and in the glasshouse are not 118 correlated [49]. The effects of climate are of course uncontrollable, but also difficult to predict 119 due to their complexity.

120

However, in any given year, two neighbouring plots may display different levels of infection
[19,55]. It must therefore be possible, to some extent, to control the production of mycotoxins
by modifying the cropping system.

124

125 The literature review below aims to identify and to elucidate the relationships linking 126 cropping systems to grain contamination by *Fusarium, Microdochium* or to mycotoxin 127 production by *Fusarium*.

128

129

130 **1- Epidemiological study of the disease**

131 **1-1. Impact of climate on the species complex**

The species of fungi making up the pathogenic complex responsible for head blight may differ from year to year and from one region to another, particularly as a function of climate [6,11,56] and wheat variety [56,57]. Indeed, climate partly controls competition between the

135 various species. Thus, at flowering, the development of *Microdochium* is favoured by lower 136 temperatures [6,58] and rainfall [59], whereas that of *Fusarium* is favoured by high 137 temperatures [58] and storms [59]. F. graminearum is generally the predominant Fusarium 138 species in warmer regions, whereas the predominant species in cooler regions are F. 139 culmorum [6,16,28,60], F. avenaceum [6] and M. nivale [6,16]. Furthermore, in spring 140 (before ear emergence), drought favours the development of symptoms of F. graminearum 141 and F. culmorum infection at the base of the plant, at the expense of other species of 142 Fusarium [59].

143

144 **1-2.** Sources of inoculum

Several reservoirs of the parasite complex responsible for head blight are known. The primary reservoir of inoculum is debris from the previous crop [2,61]. All species of *Fusarium* and *Microdochium* can survive as saprophytes [6]. However, the pathogens survive longer on residues that do not degrade easily, such as stem nodes [2].

149

150 Other sources of inoculum include numerous plant hosts. These may be cultivated plants 151 and/or weeds, such as grasses and evergreen weeds [6]. However, the roles of weeds, 152 inoculum source (site at which the fungi develop) and supports for survival have not yet been 153 determined [62]. Seeds are the other major source of inoculum, making it possible for the 154 disease to begin in autumn in the case of grains contaminated with mycelium [14,16]. The 155 further into the grain the mycelium has penetrated, the lower is the chance of the grain 156 germinating. The soil may also be contaminated [1,2]. Soil-borne infections take hold less 157 rapidly than seed-borne infections, resulting attacks affecting essentially the collar and the 158 upper parts of the roots [2,14]. Soil humidity, particularly during winter, decreases the 159 pathogen survival rate [2].

160

161 The flag leaf is the principal site of spore production and source of ear infection in the plant162 [32].

163

164 **1-3. Production and maturation of inoculum**

During the winter, the fungi survive as chlamydospores, mycelium or propagules [2,6]. Sporulation, which involves the production of various types of spore, occurs during mild periods [48]. Four types of asexual inoculum may be produced, depending on the species concerned: macroconidia, microconidia, chlamydospores and hyphal fragments, the size and

169 form of which depend on the species of pathogen [63]. The macroconidia of F. graminearum 170 may contain one to seven septa — most frequently three to seven — and measure 20 to 105 171 μ m in length (mostly 35-62 μ m), and 2 to 56 μ m in width [2]. This type of inoculum may be 172 available during the entire crop cycle [16]. Sexual spores, known as ascospores, may also be 173 produced by reproductive organs called perithecia. Only three of the species most frequently 174 responsible for head blight appear to be capable of producing ascospores in the natural state: 175 M. nivale, F. graminearum and F. avenaceum [6,16,64]. These three species produce ascospores that are similar in size and form. They generally have three septa and measure 176 about $17.5 - 26 \ \mu m \ x \ 3.5 - 5 \ \mu m \ [2]$. They take a long time to mature and therefore 177 178 contamination cannot occur before ear emergence [16]. Caron reported that M. nivale 179 produces ascospores from May onwards in France and that F. graminearum produces its 180 ascospores later in the year.

181

In the laboratory, the optimal environmental conditions for production of the principal forms
of inoculum — macroconidia and ascospores — depend on the species and the environment.
These results probably cannot be directly transposed to natural conditions. Table 1 sums up
the principal results reported in the literature.

186

187 The maturation of the inoculum has been studied in less detail and depends on interactions 188 between environmental factors, both in the laboratory and in the field. The maturation of the 189 inoculum is thought to be hindered by drought and cold in autumn and winter [65]. In the 190 laboratory, perithecia have been reported to mature in six to nine days [66], or nine to ten 191 days [2] after their initiation in ideal conditions. In contrast, perithecium maturation takes two 192 to three weeks in the field whereas conidiospores can be produced in large quantities within a 193 few hours [59]. According to another study, macroconidia reach maturity in three weeks in 194 the field [67]. The rate of maturation of perithecia depends on light [66,68].

- 195
- 196

197 **1-4. Dispersal of the inoculum**

The inoculum is dispersed by various animal vectors. Mites (*Siteroptes graminum*) transport *F. poae* spores [6]. Insects are parasitised by certain species of *Fusarium*, *F. episphaeria* (SN. and H) in particular [63]. *F. avenaceum*, *F. culmorum* and *F. poae* have been isolated on various insects including *Musca domestica* (housefly), *Hypera punctata* (clover leaf weevil) and *Melanoplus bivittatus* [69]. 203

204 The possibility of inoculum dispersal by the systemic route has long been debated; after 205 cutting the peduncle of a wheat ear into segments, Atanasoff (1920)[1] observed F. 206 graminearum in the segments close to the ear but not in those close to the flag leaf. Following 207 inoculation of the base of the wheat stem, only 3% of plants display colonisation beyond the 208 second node and no fungus is detected beyond the fifth node [70]. In another study [71], the 209 tops of plants produced from seeds inoculated with M. nivale presented similar numbers of 210 perithecia to those of plants grown from healthy seeds, even though the plants grown from 211 contaminated seeds had more perithecia at the base of the stem. This finding confirms the lack 212 of relationship between head blight and foot rot due to *Fusarium* [6,16]. To date, these two 213 infections have been considered to be essentially independent [1,16]. However, it should be 214 noted that the presence of the parasite at the base of the stem (below the second node) may 215 disturb the water supply to the ear sufficiently to cause shrivelling at the slightest increase in 216 temperature [14]. Shrivelling of part of the ear is one of the symptoms of head blight. A 217 confusion of symptoms is possible: in some cases, shrivelling of the ears may be due to the 218 presence of the parasite at the base of the stem rather than on the ears. In addition, following 219 the artificial inoculation of spring wheat seeds, sown in pots, with F. culmorum, the pathogen 220 is isolated from all nodes and from the ears [72]. Similarly, Snijders (1990a)[73] observed the 221 pathogen in stem tissue 70 cm above soil level after inoculating plants at soil level. Many 222 cases of infection with various species of *Fusarium* have been observed after the sterilisation 223 of weeds [62] and wheat [74] with sodium hypochlorite, which suggests that these infestations 224 are endophytic. Evidence that this is indeed the case is provided by the observation that the 225 fungal mycelium is capable of infecting both the parenchyma and the vascular tissue, in 226 which it is able to travel more rapidly [50]. Indeed, after the injection of spores into the rachis, 227 these authors showed that the pathogen was able to migrate within the plant and that it 228 propagated more rapidly longitudinally than transversely. However, it is possible that this 229 route of contamination is only possible in a few species of plant, for a few species of fungus 230 or for a few plant-fungus interactions.

231

Dispersal by leaf-to-leaf contact also seems likely as the pathogens (*Microdochium* and *Fusarium*) are found on the leaves [15]. Atanasoff (1920)[1] also observed infection by contact. This mode of dispersal should be considered to be a specific case of aerial contamination.

Aerial contamination by ascospores and conidia is thought to be the principal source of ear contamination [1]. This means of contamination has been studied by many groups and involves two possible modes of dispersal: splashing and wind [2,6,7,16,64]. The relative importance of these two modes of dispersal depends on the climate and the species making up the pathogenic complex in the year and region studied and the capacity of these species to produce ascospores.

243

Splashing transports spores, macroconidia in particular, that are too heavy to be transported by wind [35]. The density of *F. graminearum* macroconidia in the air above the canopy has been estimated to be only one twentieth that of ascospores from the same species [75].

247

248 Splashing is the only means of dispersal of *M. nivale* conidia [71]. Millar and Colhoun were 249 able to trap conidia only during simulated rain conditions, whereas Fernando et al. (2000)[75] 250 observed a peak in the release of macroconidia of F. graminearum in the air one to two days 251 after rainfall following a long period of drought. These two studies demonstrate the major role 252 played by rainfall in the dispersal of spores. In the laboratory, a conidium of F. graminearum, 253 produced in a sporodochium (the asexual fruiting body bearing the conidiophores) from wheat 254 straw, receiving a single drop of water 5 mm in diameter falling from a height of 6 m, was 255 displaced by up to 45 cm in the vertical plane and 90 cm in the horizontal plane [17]. In the 256 same conditions, a conidium of F. culmorum was displaced 60 cm vertically and at least 1 m 257 horizontally [17,76]. The spores of F. poae may reach a height of 58 cm and may travel 70 258 cm horizontally in rebounding raindrops [76]. Splashing alone is therefore sufficient to 259 transfer a conidium from crop residues or the stem base to the ear (in one or several rebounds, 260 relayed by the leaves), assuming there is no obstacle. In the canopy, the leaves of the 261 neighbouring plants form an obstacle. Under simulated rainfall, the wheat canopy reduces the 262 dispersal of Septoria tritici spores by 33%, to 15 cm, in the horizontal plane from the source 263 of infection, and by 63% in the vertical plane [77]. A leaf positioned low in the canopy is 264 principally infected at its base whereas leaves higher up in the canopy are principally affected 265 at their tips, from which the spore can rebound, resulting in their transfer to another leaf [76]. 266 Splashing is considered to be the most likely means of dispersal because F. avenaceum, F. 267 culmorum and M. nivale have been observed on the flag leaf [15]. Rainfall plays an important 268 role in the development of the disease. In years with major epidemics of head blight or rotting 269 of the ear due to F. graminearum, precipitation levels are generally high [2,7,15,78]. 270 Similarly, in spray irrigation trials, up to 89% of ears may be infected, versus 0% in nonirrigated controls [6]. Furthermore, humidity and rainfall in spring favour the formation ofperithecia [59].

273

274 In natural conditions, perithecia are formed in only the three species that generate ascospores: 275 F. graminearum, F. avenaceum and M. nivale [6,16,64]. Ascospores are a form of inoculum 276 that can be transported by the wind [6,16]. The wind has long been considered the principal 277 vector for spore dispersal, and damage tends to be greatest in the direction of the prevailing 278 wind [1]. Following the artificial inoculation of a zone of field with maize grains infected 279 with F. graminearum, F. graminearum spores travel less than 5 m [75]. However, Stack 280 (1997)[79] indicated that the dispersal distance of F. graminearum spores is proportional to 281 the size of the area inoculated. According to this model, head blight levels halve as the 282 distance to be travelled by the inoculum doubles. The maximum density of ascospores 283 observed is 1500 spores/m², at 1.5 m from the source of inoculum [75]. The dispersal of F. 284 graminearum ascospores in the field occurs at temperatures of 13 to 22 °C, with a relative 285 humidity of 95 to 100% [2]. Ascospore release is maximal at a relative humidity of 100%, but 286 also occurs at lower levels of relative humidity [66].

287

288 Despite the importance of humidity, studies on F. monoliforme [67,80] and F. graminearum 289 [75] have shown that the release of ascospores is not directly linked to rainfall. Indeed, 290 ascospore release peaks one to four days after rainfall [67,75] of at least 5 mm or a relative 291 humidity exceeding 80% [67]. Paulitz found that a shower of rain in the evening did not 292 inhibit spore release but that heavier rain (at least 5 mm), a relative humidity exceeding 80% 293 continuously throughout the day or the alternation of rain and high humidity inhibited the 294 release of ascospores during the night. This result contrasts with another study showing the 295 release of ascospores during the night following a day on which 8 mm of rain fell [81]. The 296 correlation between spore release and humidity suggests that the maturation of perithecia 297 requires a certain level of humidity. This would account for the results of Fernando et al. 298 (2000)[75], who observed four periods of spore release over a period of 20 to 30 days.

299

The process of ascospore release may be described as follows [71]: after a period of drought (air with low water vapour content), free water (rain or heavy dew) triggers the release of ascospores. Paulitz (1996)[67] speculated that the increase in relative humidity during the evening after a dry day might increase the turgor pressure of the asci, which contain a vacuole at high osmotic pressure [82]. This high osmotic pressure is generated by the accumulation of 305 mannitol and the flux of potassium and calcium ions [66]. Calcium ions are also involved in 306 signalling for ascospore discharge [66]. This increase in pressure triggers the release of 307 ascospores, which are expelled from the perithecia in a gelatinous substance, which then dries 308 out, releasing the ascospores into dry air. This would account for the finding that a trap placed 309 above the canopy captures several M. nivale ascospores in dry conditions and that the 310 concentration of these spores increases by a factor of 20 in the 10 minutes following the 311 application of a fine simulated dew [71]. A similar phenomenon has also been observed for 312 the ascospores of F. graminearum [6]. Similarly, in control conditions, the maximal release of 313 F. graminearum ascospores is regulated by dehydration of the perithecia in non-saturated 314 humidity conditions [83]. Furthermore, the time at which the spores are released is strongly 315 correlated with the increase in humidity following the decrease in temperature that occurs at 316 the end of the afternoon [67]. Paulitz observed that ascospore release began before the leaves 317 became humid at the base of the canopy (which occurred at 22-24 h), towards 17 or 18 h for 318 temperatures varying between 11 and 30 °C and values of relative humidity of between 60 319 and 95%. These results have been confirmed by the observation, in control conditions, that 320 the maximal release of F. graminearum spores occurs at temperatures between 11 and 23 °C, 321 with 16 °C the optimal temperature [83].

322

After temperature and humidity, the next most important environmental factor affecting ascospore release is light. Light is required for the production and maturation of perithecia [68] and ascospores [83]. In contrast, the process of ascospore release does not directly require light, as it has been observed during the night: 4 to 5 h before sunrise [67]. This result has been corroborated by studies in control conditions, which also showed that light had no effect on ascospore release [66,68].

329

330 Finally, the periodicity of aerial dispersion has been studied. The release of conidia is not 331 periodic, whereas that of ascospores is, particularly in F. graminearum [75]. Indeed, Fernando 332 et al. detected no ascospores in the air between 12 and 16 h, whereas ascospore density was 333 maximal between 20 h and 08 h (1.5 times higher than at other periods of the day). Other 334 authors have reported that ascospore density peaks between 21 h and 08 h [84]. Similarly, 335 Paulitz reported that spore release often occurs between 18 h and early morning (04 h - 08 h), 336 mostly before midnight, with a peak at around 23 h [67]. For F. graminearum, the maximum ascospore concentration observed is of the order of 4333 ascospores/mm³ in one hour. Paulitz 337 338 also reported spores of other species of *Fusarium* to be continuously present in the air, but with densities varying according to the period of the day. Paulitz identified four distinct
periods in the day, and found that spore density was low in the morning. For *F. monoliforme*,
a large number of ascospores was found to be released between 17 h and 08 h, with a peak
towards 02 h [80].

343

Although dispersal over large distances seems to be possible, local aerial contamination
appears to predominate, in that two neighbouring plots of land planted with the same variety
may display very different levels of contamination [19,55].

347

348 1-5. Infection and colonisation of the ear

349 In wheat, the most critical period corresponds to the moment at which the degree of resistance 350 to primary infection and to the propagation of fungal hyphae in the plant is lowest. This 351 period has been defined differently by different authors, but the first half of the grain-filling 352 period is the most critical. Several authors have identified ear emergence [64,85] or the mid-353 grain-filling stage [47] as the most critical, but most authors consider anthesis to be the most 354 susceptible to attack in wheat [12,16,64,86,87], with susceptibility decreasing strongly after 355 the start of the dough stage [12,16,47,64,86,87]. Elimination of the male organs from wheat 356 ears decreases the frequency of infection by F. graminearum [47,86]. Similarly, sterile wheat 357 lines are less susceptible to head blight than fertile lines [88]. This, together with extensive 358 colonisation of the anthers by this fungus [86] indicates that the growth of F. graminearum is 359 stimulated in these structures, suggesting that entry into the anthers during anthesis has major 360 consequences for the grain (degradation). Two substances — choline chloride and betaine 361 hydrochloride — are much more concentrated in the anthers than elsewhere [89]. These 362 substances favour the extension of conidial hyphae, but not the germination of spores of F. 363 avenaceum, F. culmorum and F. graminacearum [89]. Once the spores have germinated, the 364 propagation of the hyphae is therefore more strongly favoured in the flower parts than in the 365 other organs. However, the difference in susceptibility of different stages is probably due to 366 the fact that the critical receptivity peak observed (degree of resistance to primary infection 367 and propagation of fungal hyphae) is dependent on many factors. These factors include the 368 wheat cultivar [12,47,50,90], air temperature and humidity [12,47] at the critical stage for the 369 plant and, before this stage, development of the inoculum, and the stage of maturity of the plant at the time of hyphal penetration [12]. The testa (seed coat) is more resistant to 370 371 penetration when the grains are mature [12]. However, this finding remains open to debate 372 because a recent study [91] showed that the date of inoculation has no effect on the final level of *F. graminearum* colonisation. In maize, susceptibility to the disease is maximal at the start
of silk development and decreases throughout the grain-filling period [92]. In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, tobacco, tomato and soybean, the floral tissues are also the most heavily infected
[93].

377

378 The infection process is very similar in susceptible and resistant varieties [94]. The pathogen 379 first penetrates host tissues 36 to 48 h after inoculation [94]. The first organs affected are the lemmae and the tip of the ovary [94,95], the anthers [12] and/or the spikelets, glumes and the 380 381 rachis [50]. It remains unclear which of these organs is most frequently the initial zone 382 infected, but this probably depends on the date of infection and possibly also on the infecting 383 species. The penetration of the fungus into the ear is favoured by relatively low temperatures 384 and high humidity [64]. The presence of and colonisation by a large number of hyphae are 385 required for infection [50]. The hyphae of F. graminearum and/or F. culmorum invade the 386 host tissue directly [94] or via the stomata [50]. They then propagate into the ear, passing 387 through and around the cells in their path [12,94-96], degrading the cells that they 388 contaminate [50,94,96]. They move principally towards the rachis [94,95] or towards the 389 young grains, which they invade via the parenchyma of the pericarp close to the embryo [50]. 390 A short time after flowering, the parenchyma of the pericarp begins to break down, the nuclei 391 and cytoplasm of the cells disappear and the walls of the cell break [12]. F. graminearum is 392 then able to enter this tissue and propagate throughout the grain [12]. Indeed, F. graminearum 393 hyphae penetrate the thinner cell walls of the parenchyma tissue more easily than the thicker 394 cell walls of other more specialised tissues [12]. Birds also facilitate infection by creating 395 lesions on the grains that favour the penetration of fungi [2].

396

397 Infection of the ears by macroconidia of F. graminearum [47], F. avenaceum, F. culmorum, 398 F. poae and M. nivale [6] is optimal at 100% relative humidity and 25 °C, and takes place 399 over 24 to 60 hours. Infection may occur at temperatures of 20 to 30 °C, but is negligible at 400 temperatures below 15 °C [47]. Below 18 °C, the conidia of *M. nivale* are more competitive 401 than those of *Fusarium*, resulting in higher levels of *M. nivale* contamination. Furthermore, a 402 period of four to eight days of low humidity reduces the incidence of infection, but does not 403 entirely eliminate it [47]. The germination of macroconidia, ascospores and chlamydospores 404 is maximal between 0 and -20 bars and is inhibited between -60 and -80 bars [97]. 405 Ascospore germination is inhibited beyond a threshold of -30 bars (-3 MPa) of water

406 potential after eight hours of drought [67]. Free water or a low water potential also favours407 infection, reducing the length of the incubation period [67].

408

409 **1-6. Incubation and sporulation**

410 Perithecia and conidia develop on the surface of spikelets and of the rachis in humid climatic 411 conditions [2]. The duration of the incubation period required decreases with increasing 412 humidity [16]. In conditions of saturating humidity, the duration of incubation required for the 413 appearance of macroconidia of *F. culmorum* and *F. graminearum* on the ear was 12 days at 414 14 °C, less than five days at 20 °C and less than three days at between 25 and 30 °C [2,16]. 415 More spores are formed after a long period of high humidity. This may then result in the 416 infection of later crops, such as maize.

- 417
- 418

419 **2-** Effects of various cultural practices on the disease

420 Various studies have identified different elements concerning the effects of agricultural421 practices (crop rotation, crop management) on head blight attacks.

- 422
- 423

424 **2-1.** Effects of the crop succession history of the field

The effects of crop rotation have been studied in detail. They depend on the preceding crop, whether that crop is a potential host for the pathogens responsible for head blight, and the frequency of the crop concerned in the rotation. The shorter the rotation, the higher the frequency of head blight. Thus, head blight is most frequent when the susceptible crop occurs frequently within the rotation [7]. The density of crop residues left in the field [19], their nutritional value [19] and pathogen competition may also modify the effect of crop rotation [19,62].

432

Head blight contamination is more severe if the preceding crop is maize, durum wheat or oats, rather than wheat or barley [14,19,48,64,98-101], and even less contamination is observed following other crops [16,48]. For example, the frequency (%necrotic ears) and the severity (%necrotic spikelets) of the disease on wheat are lower following soybean than following another wheat crop, or worse still, maize [19]. This may be accounted for in two ways. Firstly, soybean crops leave fewer residues than wheat crops, which in turn leave fewer

residues than maize crops [7,19,100]. Secondly, the principal Fusarium species infecting 439 440 soybean is F. sporotrichioides, whereas wheat and maize are more frequently affected by F. 441 graminearum [19], like sorghum [59]. Thus, in addition to the density of residues left by the 442 preceding crop, the nature of the preceding crop is important in determining the pathogen 443 species likely to infect the next crop in the rotation. The preceding crop affects the 444 composition of the pathogen complex throughout the following year. Thus, a preceding crop 445 of potato will allow the development of only F. culmorum and F. sambucinum, whereas a 446 preceding wheat crop will allow the development of all Fusarium species [62]. It should also 447 be noted that the highest levels of F. graminearum contamination are recorded on grains 448 harvested from wheat crops following maize in the rotation, whereas F. avenaceum and F. 449 poae are the most common species found in grain samples harvested from wheat crops 450 following a crop other than maize [60]. It is therefore advisable to introduce non-host plants 451 into crop rotations, to limit the disease [7]. Indeed, the use of oats as the preceding crop 452 results in a doubling of head blight inoculum in the soil [64,98] even though this crop is 453 unaffected by the disease [14] whereas a preceding crop of sugarbeet, which is hardly affected 454 by Fusarium, halves the incidence of the disease in the subsequent wheat crop [14,64,98]. 455 Similarly, flax can be used as a clean-up crop [16], as can alfalfa, after which no disease is 456 observed [102]. However, the quantity of crop residues and the development of pathogens on 457 the preceding crop are not the only explanations of the effect of preceding crop. Wheat crops 458 present different levels of infection depending on whether they follow wheat or durum wheat 459 in the rotation, even though these two preceding crops produce similar amounts of residues 460 and are equally permissive for *Fusarium* development.

461

Among the other elements possibly involved, the amount of nitrogen in crop residues may affect the possible duration of colonisation by *Fusarium*. Indeed, the high nitrogen content of maize residues may result in a longer period of colonisation of those residues by the fungi, strengthening these fungal populations [2] and favouring disease.

466

It is also likely that proteolytic soil bacteria, favoured by the low carbon to nitrogen ratio under alfalfa, compete with *Fusarium* species, limiting their development and thus their ability to attack the subsequent crop [62,102]. It should also be noted that, at the end of the cropping cycle, buried cereal stubble provides an ideal substrate for saprophytes, increasing the carbon to nitrogen ratio, possibly resulting in the reappearance of the disease [98].

473 **2-2. Effects of soil tillage**

The effects of soil tillage are also well known, at least from a qualitative point of view. As for yellow and brown rusts [103], limited soil tillage increases the frequency of head blight [7,19,100], whereas deep tillage (ploughing) decreases it [7,19,64,90,101]. Similarly, limited tillage systems increase the number of *Fusarium* propagules in the soil [14] whereas ploughing decreases inoculum levels [98].

479

480 This effect of ploughing may be accounted for in several ways. Ploughing has several direct 481 and indirect effects on the structure [104,105] and microclimate of the soil [105,106] and 482 therefore on the development of fungi [105], notably those of the genus Fusarium. Limited 483 tillage and direct drilling systems make it harder to deliver the seed to the required position 484 during sowing, decrease soil porosity, increase structural stability and litter on the surface. 485 The litter layer protects the soil from rain (battering and erosion), and increases surface 486 humidity (by limiting evaporation), soil temperature and inertia. This layer breaks down into 487 organic matter, enriching the surface soil in carbon and organic forms of nitrogen, and 488 modifying its chemical and biological characteristics. Stores of inorganic carbon increase, the 489 supply of soil mineral nitrogen decreases very slowly and the mineralisation process is 490 delayed. The microbial biomass (bacteria and fungi) increases at the surface and its turnover 491 rate increases in the first 10 cm of soil. Humus is thus less well degraded in this zone, which 492 rapidly becomes more acidic, favouring the development of fungi over that of bacteria. Thus, 493 about 90% of the Fusarium roseum population is located in the first 10 cm of soil [98]. 494 Furthermore, the development of this fungus depends on soil aeration [14]. Although this 495 pathogen can survive for four years [16] at a depth of 20 to 25 cm, it is only active and able to 496 develop on plant debris in the first 5 cm of soil [14]. Beyond a depth of 15 cm, the incidence 497 of Fusarium oxysporum attacks on carnation decreases as the depth of propagule burial 498 increases [107]. However, this result cannot be readily transposed to other species because 499 Microdochium nivale and Fusarium avenaceum do not produce chlamydospores [108] and the 500 number of propagules in the soil does not necessarily affect *Fusarium* foot rot levels due to 501 Fusarium culmorum [109]. Thus, for certain species, propagules may simply ensure the 502 survival of the fungus rather than serving as an inoculum [98,109].

503

504 The development of the *Fusarium roseum* population also depends on the quantity of 505 substrate (crop residues) available [14]. Thus, a decrease in the density of residues on the 506 surface of the soil (after ploughing for example) helps to decrease the production of inoculum 507 [100,110] and the quantity of spores available for dispersal [109]. This hypothesis seems to be 508 validated by the observation that there are fewer Fusarium culmorum propagules if the 509 stubble from the preceding crop is burnt than if it is buried [109]. However, an opposite effect 510 on the disease is observed. Thus, in years in which there are high levels of *Fusarium* infection 511 at the base of the stem, disease levels have been found to be higher if the stubble from the 512 previous crop was burnt than if it was incorporated into the soil [109]. A similar result was 513 previously reported for eyespot [111]. Similarly, the supplementary incorporation of infected 514 stubble not originating from the preceding crop decreases the incidence of *Fusarium* attacks 515 resulting in wheat foot rot to levels below those observed in soils in which the density of 516 residues has not been modified [112]. However, these results may be explained if stubble 517 limits conidium dispersal by splashing, favours growth of the canopy [111], or modifies the 518 infection process. In Yarham's study [111], the addition of stubble did not affect leaf area 519 index, photosynthetic potential or the number of leaves. It also resulted in no decrease in the 520 density of wheat or weeds and had no effect on the size of the plants. All these factors might 521 have facilitated spore dispersal. In the infectious process, four aspects may be affected by the 522 presence or absence of stubble. Firstly, the resistance of the plants may be affected by 523 increases in the amount of silica (SiO₂) in the leaves of the wheat plants following the 524 incorporation of additional stubble [112]. The hypothesis that silicon plays a protective role 525 arises from work [103] indicating that this element strengthens cell walls and, particularly, the 526 outer membrane of epidermal cells, increasing resistance to the penetration of pathogen 527 germination tubes in leaves containing large amounts of silicates (shown experimentally for 528 the penetration of *Erysiphe graminis*, which causes powdery mildew on barley) [113]. 529 Similarly, adding silicon decreases Fusarium infections and powdery mildew in cucumber [114]. All changes in the structure and microclimate of the soil, the date, method and depth of 530 531 stubble incorporation also influence the extent and dynamics of crop residue decomposition 532 [105], which may in turn affect head blight levels. Indeed, in optimal conditions of 533 microorganism colonisation and water and nutrient exchange, the area of contact between the 534 soil and crop residues (affected by the size of the residues and soil porosity) is maximal, 535 accelerating residue decomposition [105]. Consequently, despite providing a larger source of 536 inoculum, stubble incorporation increases the rate of degradation of crop residues, decreasing 537 the duration of colonisation of these residues by pathogens such as Pseudocercosporella herpotrichoides [110]. These pathogens are thus less well developed, resulting in lower attack 538 539 rates, which in turn results in slower spore maturation following changes in humidity, light 540 levels or temperature, or lower levels of spore production [111]. Indeed, it is possible that the

decomposition of stubble results in the production of substances toxic to fungi or that favour the microorganisms engaged in stubble decomposition, thereby creating competition between microorganisms [111], limiting the production of spores. Finally, a study of *Fusarium* foot rot showed that the distribution of crop residues is the primary factor influencing the site of penetration of the fungi [115].

546

547 **2-3. Effects of irrigation**

The irrigation of a field influences its microclimate and may encourage the development of the pathogen. Regardless of whether the climate is favourable for the disease in a given year, irrigation increases the frequency (% necrotic ears) and severity (% necrotic spikelets) of the disease over that in non-irrigated plots [19,90].

552

553 Uncertainties concerning the survival of the fungus in the soil and its capacity to sporulate in 554 the soil as a function of soil aeration, porosity and light levels, together with the role played 555 by the rate of residue degradation and that of the compounds generated by this process, and 556 their mineral composition (nitrogen, silicon) limit our ability to make quantitative predictions 557 of the effects of the preceding crop, soil tillage or irrigation.

558

559 **2-4.** Mineral nutrition

560 The effects of mineral nutrition on head blight attacks are unclear. As nitrogen applications 561 favour the development of the plant, making the canopy more humid, it has often been 562 suggested that such applications are likely to favour the appearance of diseases [103,116]. 563 This has been demonstrated for bunt [103] and blotch or Septoria disease [117]. In fact, the 564 severity of Septoria attacks on wheat is increased by 11%, which corresponds to a yield loss 565 of 8-9%, following treatment with 100 kg of nitrogen per hectare [117]. Nitrogen application 566 significantly increases the incidence of Fusarium infection in grains of wheat, barley and 567 triticale [118,119] and the predisposition of wheat to attacks by F. avenaceum and M. nivale 568 [116]. Similarly, mineral nitrogen applications increase the number of F. culmorum 569 propagules [108]. However, in a two-year study, Teich (1989)[90] showed that nitrogen 570 application limited the disease in the first year [99], although this decrease was not confirmed 571 in the second year [100]. Fauzi and Paulitz (1994)[120] also demonstrated that disease levels 572 were similar in the presence and absence of applications of 140 kg/ha ammonium nitrate.

574 There are two possible reasons for the uncertainties concerning the effect of nitrogen 575 applications on the disease. Firstly, in certain cases, the applied nitrogen may be poorly 576 distributed in the soil. Fusarium foot rot levels have been shown to decrease if ammoniacal 577 nitrogen is applied with a syringe to the tilled layer but not if it is mechanically applied to the 578 field [121]. Secondly, there may be an as yet unidentified link between attacks of Fusarium 579 foot rot and head blight [6]. Thus, nitrogen-containing fertilisers may have a different effect 580 on the *Fusarium* head blight and thus affect the production of head blight inoculum. This link 581 may involve competition between the species of Fusarium more specific to foot rot (such as 582 F. solani) and those more specific to head blight (such as the species of the F. roseum group). 583 Indeed, whereas germination of the conidia of F. solani is decreased by nitrate application, 584 spores of the species of the F. roseum group use all forms of nitrogen [122].

585

586 Different forms of nitrogen have different effects. Urea appears to reduce head blight levels 587 more than ammonium [90,123]. Teich (1989)[90] suggested three hypotheses to account for 588 this difference between urea and ammonium: i) the urea may decrease the size of the 589 Fusarium population because nitrite blocks reproduction in these fungi and inhibits the 590 formation of chlamydospores [124], ii) urea may prevent the maturation of Fusarium 591 ascospores, as it does for those of *Venturia inequalis* [125], iii) urea may increase the number 592 of actinomycetes in the soil [122,126], these microbes being antagonists of Fusarium 593 graminearum [126] and F. oxysporum [122]. Furthermore, rotting of the base of the stem and 594 of the roots is more severe if nitrogen is applied in an ammoniacal form than as nitrate 595 [121,122,127]. This may also result from the higher rate of germination of F. solani (which 596 may cause foot rot) in the presence of ammoniacal nitrogen than in the presence of nitrate 597 [122].

598

599 In contrast to what was observed for bunt [103], the application of phosphorus has been 600 shown to limit foot rot [128] and head blight [99]. However, the result obtained for head 601 blight was not confirmed in the second year of the study [100].

602

Potassium application decreases transpiration by causing stomatal closure, thereby helping to decrease the humidity of the air. Thus, the application of potassium limits the germination of rust spores [103]. Furthermore, high levels of potassium favour the synthesis of highmolecular weight compounds (such as cellulose), decreasing the availability of nutrients to

- 607 the pathogens and increasing mechanical resistance to parasite penetration [103]. However,
- 608 potassium applications do not appear to affect the incidence of head blight [100].
- 609

610 To understand the meaning of these contradictory results, we need to bear in mind that the 611 effect of fertiliser applications depends on two factors: the age of the plant and the balance of 612 mineral elements. Indeed, foot rot levels are decreased by early applications of nitrate but 613 increased by late nitrate applications [128]. Conversely, foot rot levels are increased by early 614 potassium applications and decreased by late potassium applications [128]. Moreover, the 615 application of manures with a poor nutrient balance leads to more severe foot rot symptoms 616 [14,64]. Antagonism between nitrogen and potassium is generally reported [14,103]: excess 617 potassium and nitrogen deficiency render the plant more resistant whereas potassium 618 deficiency and excess nitrogen render it more susceptible. This antagonism results from the 619 fact that potassium increases nitrate absorption [122]. The nitrate absorbed activates nitrate 620 reductase, leading to the accumulation of amino acids [127]. These amino acids are used in 621 the synthesis of organic nitrogenous compounds [122] and of high-molecular weight 622 compounds [103]. These compounds, like cellulose, contribute to the resistance of plants to 623 diseases by increasing mechanical resistance to parasite penetration and by decreasing the 624 quantities of soluble amino acids and low-molecular weight carbohydrates, which serve as 625 nutrients for these pathogens [103]. Soluble amino acids and low-molecular weight 626 carbohydrates are produced by increasing the activation of nitrate reductase in the presence of 627 excess nitrate, by activating enzymes such as amylase, proteases and glucosidases, and by 628 decreasing phosphorylation in conditions of potassium deficiency [103]. This increases the 629 intracellular concentration of these compounds [103], favouring the development of 630 pathogens.

631

Maize plants are most resistant to diseases when sugar concentrations are high [129]. Sugar levels are inversely proportional to nitrogen supply and directly proportional to potassium supply [14]. Conversely, if we consider three, rather than two, mineral elements, the mineral balance least favourable for the development of foot rot in wheat, both in seedlings and in adult plants, is high levels of nitrogen and potassium and low levels of phosphorus [128].

637

638 Several studies have demonstrated the existence of a relationship between the mineral and 639 organic composition of the soil and the development of soil microorganisms, which may 640 antagonise or stimulate the development of *Fusarium*. Thus, applications of organic fertiliser 641 rich in nitrogen, particularly the ammoniacal and nitrous acid forms, significantly reduce (by 642 a factor of 1000) the size of the populations of many soil pathogens and markedly increase the 643 size of populations of soil microorganisms [123]. Furthermore, a mixture of ammoniacal 644 nitrogen and ammonium is recommended to increase the capacities of *Pseudomonas* 645 fluorescens populations, thereby favouring the development of the plant (as this bacterium is a 646 rhizobial bacterium) and inhibiting the development of *Fusarium* [130]. An application of 647 glucose (simulating root exudates) to soils containing chlamydospores of F. oxysporum and F. 648 solani favours the germination of these spores and the development of their germination tubes 649 [131]. A similar result was also reported in a previous study: wheat root exudates increase the 650 number and length of germination tubes arising from the spores of F. roseum and M. nivale 651 [98]. The effect of glucose application is even greater if the soil is nutrient-poor, especially for 652 slow-growing species such as those of the genus *Fusarium* [132].

653

The mineral nutrition of plants and the development of microorganisms in the soil also depend on soil pH. The conidia of *M. nivale* do not germinate in culture *in vitro* if the pH of the medium is lower than about 5 [11]. However, pH has been found not to affect the incidence of the disease [99,100].

658

659 **2-5.** The effect of sowing date

Sowing date is another element of crop management that has an indirect effect on the production of, and infection by spores, because it partly determines flowering date, together with the variety sown and climate. If the sowing date is such that flowering coincides with spore release, then more frequent and severe attacks are likely. This hypothesis is based on the fact that the anthesis is the stage in wheat at which the consequences of pathogen attack are thought to be the most severe [12,16,86,87].

666 The effect of sowing date should therefore be considered with respect to the level of attack as 667 a function of the earliness of the variety. Early-maturing wheat cultivars tend to be more 668 resistant to head blight than cultivars that mature later [133]. The duration of the growth 669 period in wheat has a significant positive effect: the longer the cycle of the variety, the lower 670 the degree of contamination observed [134]. As this effect is not observed in barley and oats, 671 Couture [134] suggested that the threshold number of days exceeds the length of the cycle for 672 wheat, but not for barley and oats. However, conflicting results were obtained in other 673 studies: resistance to head blight has been shown to be independent of maturity factors [135], 674 and late sowing has been shown to favour the development of head blight whereas early

675 sowing favoured the development of foot rot [16]. The results obtained almost certainly 676 depend on the climate of the region concerned and the variety grown. Flowering period and 677 the duration of the growth period for a given variety probably depend essentially on the year 678 and region concerned: the only valid way to determine the characteristics (period and duration 679 of flowering and growth duration) of varieties adapted to a given region is to carry out a 680 frequency analysis of the climate, which requires the monitoring of head blight attacks in a 681 given region over many years. Sowing on several dates (to extend the flowering period) 682 should make it possible to limit the risk of heavy contamination in all the fields. A frequency 683 analysis in a given region may also provide useful information for the optimal choice of 684 sowing date.

685 686

687 **2-6. Effects of canopy density**

688 If the inoculum is dispersed primarily by splashing, the density of the canopy is an important 689 factor as it may place obstacles in the way of this dispersal. Thus, a low canopy density can 690 favour spore dispersal (by creating fewer obstacles). In the case of high canopy density, due 691 to nitrogen fertilisation and/or higher sowing density and/or smaller spaces between rows of 692 wheat, two opposing effects may be observed. Firstly, the high density is likely to increase the 693 humidity of the canopy [116], favouring spore germination, as has been observed for rusts 694 [103]. Alternatively, the high density of the canopy may increase the number of obstacles, 695 limiting the vertical dispersal of spores towards the ear. However, for *Fusarium* infections, 696 more severe attacks of foot rot [16] and head blight [5] have been reported in cases of high 697 population density: more than 350 grains sown per m² [16].

698

Plant population density may also be increased by weed infestations. In the presence of a large weed population, the number of species of *Fusarium* causing head blight in wheat increases, as does the intensity of the disease [1]. This may be accounted for by the number of common field weeds (both dicotyledonous and monocotyledonous weeds) on which numerous species of *Fusarium* have been detected [62]. Table 2 lists the various weeds known to serve as hosts for *Fusarium*, and the species of *Fusarium* found on them.

705

The "host-plant" nature of these weeds [14,48,62,98] suggests that they constitute a potential source of inoculum. Since 1950, grass weeds have been suspected to act as a source of inoculum whereas other weeds have been thought to favour infection in a different way, bymodifying the microclimate, increasing heat and humidity [136].

710

Whatever the role played by the various weed families, the decrease in the incidence of the disease following the use of herbicides suggests that weeds do have an effect [99]. However, different results were obtained in the following year of the same experiment [100]. This difference probably results from interactions between cultural practices and weeds. For example, the withering of forage grasses (fescue, cocksfoot, ryegrass) due to *F. roseum* increases with the dose of nitrogen applied [14].

717

718 2-7. Effects of infestation of the canopy by bioaggressors others than fungi responsible 719 for the head blight

720 The term "canopy" is often used to describe the green canopy, consisting of healthy plants. 721 Diseases, particularly foliar diseases, decrease the area of the plants capturing light, thereby 722 limiting the development of the crop. Very few studies have focused on the competition 723 between pathogens or between diseases. Only two authors have analysed the effect of a 724 powdery mildew attack on head blight, and the results of these two studies conflicted. In the 725 first study, powdery mildew attacks were found to have a positive effect on head blight 726 attacks [30] whereas in the second, no effect was observed [99]. These conflicting results may 727 be accounted for by several factors, such as climatic conditions, the varieties cultivated and 728 the intensity of the diseases in these studies.

729

730 In addition to soil microorganisms (discussed in section 2-2), the ear microorganisms play a 731 role, notably in biological control [137]. Indeed, the plant may be protected against pathogen 732 attacks by prior inoculation with pathogen isolates of various degrees of virulence, which is 733 known to induce resistance in cases in which protection requires activation of the host's 734 defence responses [138]. Thus, inoculation with *Microsphaeropsis* spp. significantly 735 decreases the production of F. graminearum ascopspores on wheat and maize ears and, to a 736 lesser extent, on wheat and maize residues [137,139]. This decrease is particularly large if the 737 residues are inoculated early with Microsphaeropsis (test with inoculation two weeks before 738 the F. graminearum attack and 4 to 6 weeks after it) [137]. Prior inoculation with Phoma 739 betae or Pythium ultimum decreases the severity of head blight on wheat and extends the 740 incubation and latent periods of the disease due to F. culmorum, F. avenaceum, F. poae and 741 *M. nivale* [138]. Such prior inoculation also significantly increases the number of grains per

742 ear and decreases the number of Fusarium isolates obtained from the harvested grains [138]. 743 A previous study [140] showed that contact between *Pythium oligandrum* and *F. culmorum* 744 macroconidia led to coagulation and a loss of cytoplasm, followed by complete degradation of 745 the walls of the macroconidia and the production of Pythium oligandrum oogonia in 746 abundance on the parasitised macroconidia. Inoculation of wheat ears with Alternaria 747 alternata, Botrytis cinerea or Cladosporium herbarum at GS69 (Zadoks growth scale, Zadoks 748 et al., 1974[141]) favours the infection of ears inoculated with F. culmorum at GS65, whereas 749 prior inoculation, at GS59, decreases infection of the ears by F. culmorum [142]. Following 750 the demonstration of antagonism between these saprophytes, Liggitt *et al.* [142] suggested 751 that Alternaria alternata, Botrytis cinerea and Cladosporium herbarum produce volatile 752 antibiotic substances that limit the growth of *F. culmorum*, consistent with the decrease in size 753 of *F. culmorum* colonies observed following prior inoculation with other saprophytes.

754

755 Such antagonism is also observed between *Fusarium* species [22,27] and between species of 756 Fusarium and Microdochium [22]. This is the case, in particular, for fungicide treatments 757 acting against only one of these genera, resulting in the development of the unaffected genus 758 to a greater extent than in the absence of treatment, when the two genera compete [21,22]. 759 Similarly, prior inoculation with germination fluid from F. avenaceum predisposes wheat ears 760 to infection by conidia of F. avenaceum or M. nivale, but not by conidia of F. culmorum, or F. 761 *poae.* Other germination fluids were found to have no such effect [138]. This suggests that 762 diffuse substances present in the germination fluid of F. avenaceum promote the production in 763 competitive host tissues of resistance factors specific for F. avenaceum and M. nivale [138].

764

765 **2-8. Effects of chemical treatments**

766 The efficacy of fungicide treatments for head blight is variable, and difficult to predict. It 767 depends not only on the active ingredient but also on the method and date of application of 768 the fungicide [6,27]. If a fungicide treatment is to be effective, it must be applied several days 769 before the attack and entirely cover all the ears [142]. Efficacy also depends on the interaction 770 between fungal development and climate [143], the virulence of the pathogenic strains [143] 771 and above all, the parasite complex present on the plot of land concerned [6]. Each of the 772 fungal species implicated in head blight has a specific fungicide susceptibility profile: F. 773 graminearum is particularly susceptible to triazoles whereas F. avenaceum is more 774 susceptible to strobilurins. F. roseum, a complex consisting of F. graminearum, F. culmorum 775 and F. avenaceum, is much more susceptible to triazoles than is M. nivale, which is essentially susceptible to strobilurins [22]. Triazole fungicides containing tebuconazole arecurrently the most effective [21,27,143].

778

779 Chemical treatments may have an effect by modifying the height of the canopy. For a single 780 variety grown in a single year, in a single region, the use of plant growth regulators (primarily 781 gibberellin inhibitors) results in more severe head blight attacks [14,64,118,120]. This is 782 presumably because plant growth regulator treatment results in the ears being closer to the 783 soil, and therefore to the crop residues (source of inoculum), facilitating the dispersal of the 784 spores to the ear (by splashing in particular). Indeed, the distance of the ear from the soil is a 785 factor for resistance by avoidance in cultivars. Plant growth regulators also affect the 786 microclimate of the crop residues on the soil [120], possibly increasing the production of 787 perithecia and ascospores.

788

789 3- Effects of various cultural practices on the production of mycotoxins by 790 *Fusarium*

The effects of cultural practices on the intensity and severity of head blight symptoms are
well documented, at least for certain practices, but far fewer studies have considered the
effects of these practices on mycotoxin production.

794

795 **3-1.** Mycotoxins produced by *Fusarium*

Fungi of the genus *Microdochium* are currently considered not to produce toxins [8] and will therefore not be dealt with in this section. It should also be noted that studies on the mycotoxins produced by *Fusarium* have generally focused on deoxynivalenol (DON), even though *Fusarium* also produces other types of mycotoxin. Figure 2 presents the chemical structures of the main mycotoxins produced by *Fusarium*.

801

Deoxynivalenol belongs to the trichothecene family, which contains two types of toxin: type A and type B. Type A toxins include toxins T2, HT2, diacetoxy-scirpenol (DAS) and scirpenol [39], which act on the initiation of protein elongation [144]. Type B corresponds to deoxynivalenol (DON or vomitoxin) and its acetyl derivatives — 3-acetyldeoxynivalenol (3acDON) and 14-O-acetylDON-4 — nivalenol (NIV) and verrucarol [39]. The toxins of this group act on the elongation and termination steps of protein synthesis [144]. Trichothecenes are toxic to all organisms. In mammals, the absorption of trichothecenes leads to blood and 809 digestive disorders. In humans [145], burning sensations in the mouth and stomach, 810 headaches, a decrease in red blood cell count, bleeding, necrosis of the throat and stomach 811 and, in some cases, death, were observed in a humanitarian catastrophe in the USSR between 812 1942 and 1947 caused by the ingestion of wheat that had suffered foot rot all winter [38,39]. 813 The production of trichothecenes is favoured by cold and humidity [146] and studies on 814 various organisms have demonstrated the toxicity of these substances. In animals, vomiting is 815 observed after the ingestion and absorption of at least 10 mg deoxynivalenol per kg, together 816 with irritation of the mucous membrane lining the mouth and the oesophagus, with animals 817 refusing to eat after ingesting 2 mg deoxynivalenol per kg.

818

819 In plants, deoxynivalenol retards the germination and growth of wheat, and inhibits the 820 growth of the grain and the coleoptile tissues [147]. The phytotoxicity of mycotoxins is 821 generally estimated by means of coleoptile elongation tests [43,145]. In adult plants, 822 deoxynivalenol seems to circulate in the phloem, with the concentration of this molecule in 823 the plant following a descending gradient from the rachis, through lemmas and grains to the 824 peduncle [148]. In addition, from the fourth day after inoculation, the flower parts, rachis and 825 peduncle contain larger amounts of deoxynivalenol below the point of infection than above it 826 [149].

827

Fusarium can also produce mycotoxins of two other families: fumonisins, which are recognised carcinogens [13,150] and zearalenone. Zearalenone belongs to the oestrogen family. It perturbs reproductive hormone equilibrium and alters secondary sexual characters [145]. Pigs are particularly sensitive to this toxin [145]. In the laboratory, it has been shown that this compound also controls reproduction in the fungus that produces it, by regulating perithecium production [151-153]. No phytotoxic effect of zearalenone has been observed in coleoptile elongation tests [145].

835

To account for the small number of studies dealing with the mycotoxins produced by *Fusarium* on wheat, it should be borne in mind that most of these studies have been carried out in Europe and North America, where type A trichothecenes are rarely detected [154]. Furthermore, fumonisins and zearalenone are found essentially on maize [8,155]. In addition, zearalenone is considered to be only mildly toxic to humans [155].

842 **3-2. Effect of soil tillage**

Soil tillage seems to have similar effects on the production of mycotoxins and on the disease 843 844 itself (see part 2-1). Unlike chisel ploughing and direct drilling systems, mouldboard 845 ploughing decreases the concentration of deoxynivalenol in the grains [19,101,156]. In one 846 study, a significant positive correlation between deoxynivalenol concentration and the 847 intensity of soil tillage (ploughing or direct drilling) after a maize crop was observed [100]. 848 Similarly, another study comparing three cropping systems involving ploughing (productive, integrated and organic) with a direct drilling system over two years reported that levels of 849 850 deoxynivalenol, zearalenone and nivalenol contamination were highest for the direct drilling 851 system [55].

852

853 **3-3. Effect of the preceding crop**

854 The effect of the preceding crop is also similar for disease symptoms and deoxynivalenol 855 concentration. Deoxynivalenol concentration in wheat is 25% lower after a soybean crop than 856 after a wheat crop and 49% lower after soybean than after maize [19]. Wheat following maize 857 in the rotation has been found to have deoxynivalenol concentrations six times higher than 858 those in wheat following another cereal (wheat, barley) or soybean [100]. In direct drilling 859 systems, wheat crops following an oilseed rape crop contain 90% less deoxynivalenol than 860 wheat crops following a maize crop [101]. As for soil tillage, only few studies have produced 861 useful results.

862

863 **3-4. Effect of mineral nutrition**

864 We saw in section 2-3 that the effects of mineral nutrition on the disease are unclear. In 865 contrast, there are fewer strong contradictions concerning the effect of mineral nutrition on 866 mycotoxin production. This is probably because very few studies have focused on this 867 question. Increases in nitrogen input from 0 to 80 kg/ha, result in increases in grain 868 deoxynivalenol content; at levels above 80 kg/ha, a small but significant decrease was 869 observed [119]. Urea-based fertilisation resulted in lower levels of deoxynivalenol in wheat 870 grains than did ammonium nitrate in 1997, but this result was not observed the preceding 871 year, in which no effect had been demonstrated [156]. Applications of ammonium-nitrate-872 urea solution and nitramoncal did not give significantly different results [119]. Potassium, 873 phosphorus and pH did not appear to affect deoxynivalenol concentration significantly [100]. A laboratory study showed that Mg^{2+} ions inhibit the elements responsible for inducing 874 875 trichothecene synthesis [38].

876

These studies were empirical, and were not based on any particular assumption concerning the mechanisms involved. It therefore remains difficult to extrapolate the results obtained.

879

880 **3-5. Effect of variety earliness**

Very few studies have focused on the effect of wheat variety earliness on the production of mycotoxins. The earliest varieties seem to accumulate more deoxynivalenol than do lateflowering varieties [157], possibly due to varietal differences but more probably due to greater coincidence of the phase of maximum susceptibility in plants with the period most favourable for spore dispersion. This trend appears to be based on the relationship between the severity of the attack and the intensity of toxin contamination. The choice of variety is therefore of key importance for combating the accumulation of toxins in the grains [158].

888

889 **3-6. Effect of fungicide treatments**

890 The application of a triazole fungicide, such as tebuconazole, decreases levels of 891 deoxynivalenol contamination in artificially inoculated crops with respect to those observed 892 in untreated controls [21,27,28,143]. However, conflicting results have been obtained [22]. 893 More generally, in conditions of natural contamination, the application of fungicides does not 894 significantly decrease the concentrations of deoxynivalenol and nivalenol and there is not 895 necessarily a correlation between the application of fungicides and the quantity of 896 deoxynivalenol and/or nivalenol found in the grains [6,55]. This may be accounted for by the 897 multitude of species present in fields and the relative specificity of fungicide treatments for 898 only one or a few species. Another hypothesis has also been put forward: too low a dose of 899 fungicide may stimulate the production of deoxynivalenol by *Fusarium* in wheat grains [53].

900

901 This analysis shows not only that few studies have investigated the effects of cropping 902 systems, but also that the characterisation of the disease in these studies is often severly 903 flawed. This makes it difficult to extrapolate and to interpret the results. To understand the 904 relationship between cropping systems and mycotoxin production, we therefore need to break 905 down this relationship into two parts: the effects of cropping systems on the disease 906 (symptoms, nature of the pathogenic agent) (section 2) and the relationship between the 907 disease and the production of mycotoxins, which may itself vary as a function of cropping 908 system.

- 910
- 911 **4-** Relationship between the disease and toxin production
- 912

913 **4-1. Toxin production by the fungi**

914 Toxin production in fungi is controlled by many factors. One of the most important factors 915 intrinsic to the fungus is the genetic capacity of the pathogenic strain to produce toxins, in 916 terms of the quantity and type of toxins produced [34,159,160,161]. Thus, strains of F. 917 graminearum may, like F. culmorum, produce isomers of monoacetyldeoxynivalenol or 918 alternatively, like F. crookwellense, may produce acetyl derivatives of nivalenol [4]. Strains 919 of F. sambucinum may produce the T2 toxin whereas those of F. sporotrichioides produce 920 diacetoxyscirpenol (DAS) [4]. It should be noted that strains of F. graminearum [60,159,162] 921 and of F. culmorum [163,164] tend to produce either deoxynivalenol or nivalenol, but not 922 both. Thus, the two toxins are generally not produced by the same strains, although the two 923 types of strain often coexist in the same field [165]. Moreover, regionalisation of the types of 924 strain at world level is observed for *F. graminearum* strains producing 3-acetyldeoxynivalenol 925 and those producing 15-acetyldeoxynivalenol, with strains producing 3-acetyldeoxynivalenol 926 predominating in Europe, China, Australia and New Zealand whereas those producing 15-927 acetyldeoxynivalenol predominate in the United States [159]. Data for a collection of 188 928 strains of F. graminearum indicated that European and American strains produce essentially 929 deoxynivalenol and are more aggressive than Nepalese strains, which produce either 930 deoxynivalenol or nivalenol [166]. A negative correlation has been found between the 931 production of zearalenone and that of trichothecenes (deoxynivalenol or nivalenol) by the 932 spores of F. graminearum [52]. This appears to indicate that the production of these toxins 933 shares a common control process, which may play an important role in the ecology of F. 934 graminearum and F. pseudograminearum [52].

935

Other factors may also cause variations in toxin formation. These factors include the substrate, the period of colonisation of the substrate by the strain, competition between microorganisms [2,34,52,53], interaction between the pathogenicity of the strains and the wheat variety [167,168] overall climatic conditions [51] and, more particularly, temperature [2,52-54], humidity [2,52,53] and rainfall [54].

Other poorly understood factors extrinsic to the fungus also operate. For example, the protein
to carbohydrate ratio, which is important in mycotoxin synthesis [169], may play a role.
However, Bakan (1998)[38] showed that toxin formation is not necessarily controlled by food
stresses and is not necessarily linked to the growth of the fungus.

946

947 This last point is particularly important because many authors have suggested that the 948 trichothecenes (deoxynivalenol in particular) produced by Fusarium species play a role in the 949 aggressiveness or virulence of certain isolates [164,170-174]. Indeed, certain mutants 950 deficient in deoxynivalenol are less aggressive on wheat than the original strain [175]. 951 Similarly, the least virulent mutants of F. graminearum in terms of plant colonisation are 952 those unable to produce deoxynivalenol or nivalenol (mutations in the Tri5 gene, involved in 953 trichothecene production) [171]. Thus, trichothecenes are considered to be virulence factors in 954 F. graminearum, during the infection of wheat [145,175], and in F. culmorum, during the 955 infection of barley [164].

956

957 In conditions of artificial contamination with a given pathogenic strain or in natural 958 contamination conditions, several authors [19,27,90,100,147,164,176,177] have observed a 959 significant, positive correlation between the incidence and/or severity of the disease and 960 deoxynivalenol concentration (also reported by Bai et al., 2001[49] for 116 wheat lines). 961 Others [26,49,178,179] have demonstrated a significant correlation between deoxynivalenol 962 concentration and the fungal biomass of the grains (measured by assessing the amount of 963 ergosterol). These results suggest that new cultivars could be selected on the basis of 964 symptoms to ensure low levels of deoxynivalenol. However, exceptions were found among 965 these lines: certain cultivars present severe symptoms with low deoxynivalenol levels, 966 particularly those with moderate resistance to propagation of the pathogenic agent [49]. Thus, 967 the production of deoxynivalenol is not essential for the infection of grains [164]. 968 Furthermore, after the inoculation of five wheat varieties with a strain of F. graminearum, no 969 correlation was observed between deoxynivalenol concentration and the severity of infection 970 [172]. Similarly, after inoculation with a complex of species, no correlation was observed 971 between deoxynivalenol concentration and infection on wheat, barley or oats [178]. In 972 conditions of natural contamination, no correlation has been found between the intensity of 973 the disease on wheat and the concentration of deoxynivalenol [53,55,78], or between the 974 presence of *Fusarium* and the concentration of deoxynivalenol [154]. This lack of relationship 975 is also observed with other toxins, such as nivalenol [55]. A similar result was obtained with 976 maize: after inoculation of the ear with *F. poae*, no relationship was found between the 977 severity of the disease and toxin (nivalenol and fusarenon X) production [180]. These 978 differences in results may be due to the ranges of variation tested and the comparisons made 979 not being the same. Some comparisons were made in the field and others in the laboratory; 980 some were made after artificial inoculation with one or several species and others were made 981 in natural contamination conditions.

982

983 The date of the attack and the species involved also affects the relationship between 984 symptoms and toxin levels. Indeed, it is only to be expected that as the number of affected 985 grains increases, so does the risk of major contamination by mycotoxins. However, a late 986 attack may prevent the disease from reaching a high level of severity, even if very high levels 987 of mycotoxins are produced. Thus, fungi and mycotoxins are detected before any visual 988 symptoms of disease [148,181]. In addition, not all the species of the parasite complex 989 responsible for head blight produce mycotoxins: Microdochium, for example, does not 990 produce mycotoxins. Similarly, even among Fusarium species potentially capable of 991 producing toxins, strains unable to produce toxins have been identified [2,38,162,182]. Other 992 strains have been identified that produce far more toxin than the mean amount for all strains 993 [183]. In addition to climate (particularly rainfall levels and temperature before and at 994 flowering), the species profile of the fungi responsible for head blight also depends on 995 agronomic factors such as soil tillage, nitrogen fertilisation, fungicide use, crop rotation and 996 host genotypes [10]. Furthermore, the species of *Fusarium* potentially able to produce toxins 997 cannot necessarily produce trichothecenes (the most studied mycotoxins) [183]. These 998 observations indicate that it is possible for the plant to present symptoms in the absence of 999 toxin production. However, the conditions of toxin productions in the field remain mostly 1000 unknown.

1001

The relationship between the intensity or severity of symptoms and toxin production also depends heavily on the type of resistance carried by the plant. The severity of symptoms is known to depend heavily on plant resistance: certain genotypes limit the development of the mycelium in the grain, protecting the grain against degradation and limiting the visual signs of attack, but are not very tolerant of mycotoxins, with very high mycotoxin levels being recorded [49]. Conversely, other types of cultivar may present severe symptoms with only low mycotoxin levels [49].

1010 **4-2.** Different mechanisms of genetic resistance to *Fusarium* head blight in plants

1011 Non-specific resistance

1012 It is not easy to identify cultivar resistances because the species of *Fusarium* responsible for 1013 head blight are saprophytes with a broad host-range and low levels of intraspecific variation, 1014 which is not the case for pathogens in general [6,18]. It should also be noted that all cultivars 1015 are susceptible to some extent, with even the least susceptible cultivars displaying only 1016 moderate resistance [16,49,50]. Resistance to the development of the fungus and to the 1017 accumulation of trichothecenes is probably controlled by different genes [43].

1018

1019 Heritability of the resistance

1020 The low specificity of *Fusarium* and *Microdochium* generates polygenic resistance in the 1021 plant, involving genes with various degrees of dominance [43,184]. It is the combination of 1022 these genes, controlled by the environment [176] that results in genetic resistance in the plant 1023 [13].

1024

1025 The inheritance of resistance can be described by a dominance-additive effect model, in 1026 which the additive effect is the essential factor (results based on diallel analyses) [9,25,185]. 1027 Several studies [18,25,186-188] have demonstrated the existence of resistance genes on 18 of 1028 the 21 chromosomes (1B, 2A, 3, 3B, 4B, 5A, 6B, 6D, 7A, 7B etc.). Three pools of genes are 1029 responsible for three different genetic constitutions conferring different types of resistance to 1030 head blight in wheat: in Eastern European winter wheats, in Japanese and Chinese spring 1031 wheats and in Brazilian and Italian spring wheats [189]. The most commonly used source of 1032 resistance is undoubtedly Sumai 3 (in China), in which stable resistance is combined with 1033 valuable agronomic characteristics (yield potential and resistance to rusts and powdery 1034 mildew) [18,43,190]. The resistance of this genotype, like that of Frontana (a Brazilian 1035 variety) and Nobeokabouzu-komugi (a Japanese variety) — the two other major sources of 1036 resistance — is based on two or three genes with additive effects, the sensitivity of which 1037 varies with a multitude of minor genes [9,18,191]. The crossing of Frontana and Sumai 3 1038 resulted in the detection of two major quantitative trait loci (QTLs) [13]. These sources of 1039 resistance are of great potential value for future crosses and the selection of new cultivars 1040 because they are stable.

1041

1042 Resistance to head blight in wheat is horizontal (non-specific) and thus protects against all 1043 species of *Fusarium* and *Microdochium* [6,18,43,192]. 1044

1045 No correlation has been found between seedling resistance and resistance in the ear. Thus, a 1046 given cultivar may be susceptible at the seedling stage and resistant at the adult stage or vice 1047 versa. Alternatively, it may be susceptible throughout the crop cycle [5]. The activation of 1048 resistance genes during an attack depends on the developmental stage of the wheat, varying 1049 throughout the crop cycle [5,47,49,50,90]. Peak toxin accumulation also depends on the 1050 cultivar grown [193]. Finally, it should be noted that the duration of the induction period and 1051 the intensity of the response to the defence mechanisms induced also determine the defence of 1052 the plant [43]. Tetraploid wheats are more resistance to head blight than are diploid wheats 1053 [194].

1054

1055 The defence or resistance mechanisms of the plant depend not only on growth stage, but also 1056 on the humidity and temperature of the air [47] and on the genetic capacity of the wheat 1057 cultivar [5,18,49,50,90]. However, no plant host defence reaction has ever been detected macroscopically or histologically on the outside of the glumes [12]. In addition, no cellular or 1058 1059 anatomical characteristics [13,50] or histological features [50] have been found to be 1060 associated with resistance or susceptibility. However, the techniques currently used, and 1061 ultrastructural studies in particular, have shown that susceptible and resistant wheat varieties 1062 react differently to infection and to the propagation of pathogens [94].

1063

This implies the existence of multiple mechanisms of defence or resistance in plants, both active (including physiological processes) and passive (including morphological characteristics such as avoidance), and/or tolerance [5]. Five types of cultivar resistance are currently known and described.

1068

1069 *Types of resistance*

1070 **Type I: Resistance to initial infection** [50]. Many cultivars may be resistant to initial 1071 infection. According to Nakagawa (1955) [195], this type of resistance is controlled by 1072 dominant genes at three loci subject to epistasis. This type of resistance may be passive or 1073 active.

1074

1075 *Passive mechanisms* involve morphological characteristics facilitating avoidance, making it 1076 possible for the plant to decrease the severity of the disease. The receptiveness of cultivars to 1077 the disease is lower in cultivars with awns [5,14,134], regardless of varietal differences in resistance [134]. Similar results have been obtained with wheat, barley [134] and oats [45,134]. Similarly, the height of the ear and its angle with respect to the stem are negatively correlated with the severity of head blight and the accumulation of deoxynivalenol [191]. The receptiveness of cultivars to *Fusarium* and/or *Microdochium* is also lower for ears with a large peduncle — at least 15 cm between the flag leaf and the ear [5] — and/or without growth arrest, reducing the area in contact with the conidia and the duration of grain humidity [5].

1085

1086 A cultivar well adapted to its environment is also a criterion for resistance to head blight 1087 [18,43]. Indeed, a canopy with too many ears [5,16] or a flowering season that lasts too long 1088 [2,90] is likely to result in slightly higher susceptibility to the disease. The resistance of a 1089 variety may be evaluated as a function of the duration of time for which the flowers remain 1090 open and of the percentage of flowers presenting autogamous flowering (unopened anthers) 1091 and allogamous flowering (opened anthers) [50]. Studies have shown either that resistance to 1092 head blight may be maximised by the use of early varieties [133] or that earliness has no 1093 importance [135]. However, the importance of this factor seems to depend on the date of the 1094 attack and, thus, on the year and region studied. Similarly, only one study has investigated the 1095 effect of flowering date on mycotoxin contamination: the time of infection by F. culmorum 1096 that produces the greatest amount of deoxynivalenol contamination is restricted to a short 1097 period during anthesis [87]. However, like disease, flowering period and variety growth 1098 duration probably depend essentially on the year and region concerned: the only valid way to 1099 determine the characteristics (period and duration of flowering and growth duration) of 1100 varieties adapted to a given region is to carry out frequency analysis of the climate, which 1101 requires the monitoring of mycotoxin contamination in a given region over many years.

1102

1103 It is widely accepted that morphological characteristics are less important than the possible 1104 physiological resistance of cultivars [9]. This physiological resistance includes all the other 1105 possible mechanisms. Active mechanisms include defence reactions concerning the 1106 physiological qualities of the cell that limit colonisation of the plant by the fungus, such as the 1107 activation of enzymes degrading the fungal cell wall. Such a mechanism has been proposed 1108 for pathogenesis-related proteins (PR proteins) and hordothionins [196]. Mechanisms of this 1109 type may account for the induction of several defence genes 48 hours after inoculation, 1110 including genes encoding chitinases, glucanases, peroxidases and thaumatin-like proteins 1111 (TLP) [197,198].

1112

1113 The endo- and exochitinases in plant cell walls help to improve plant resistance by 1114 hydrolysing chitin, a protective polysaccharide present in the cell walls of the pathogens 1115 [18,199]. The various types of chitinase known do not have the same effects [200]: the class I 1116 chitinase-a of rice strongly inhibits re-extension of the hyphae and is present in mature cells in 1117 particular. It collects at the tip of the hyphae, on the lateral walls and in the septa and has a very high affinity for fungal cell walls. This enzyme releases a large amount of reducing sugar 1118 1119 from the fungal cell walls. It also ligates the lateral walls and the septa, is part of the walls of 1120 mature cells and degrades mature chitin fibres. In contrast, the class II chitinase-c of rice is 1121 found essentially at the tip of the hyphae, which it ligates before degrading young chitin 1122 fibres. Thus, chitinase-a is more effective than chitinase-c at inhibiting the growth of the 1123 fungus [200].

1124

1125 The antimicrobial activity of thionins is attributed to their capacity to create pores in the 1126 membranes of fungi by means of interactions between the phospholipids and 1127 phosphoinositides of the membrane or by decreasing the activity of enzymes by reducing 1128 disulphide bonds [199].

1129

1130 Thaumatin-like proteins may have two modes of action: they may be specifically produced in 1131 response to the presence of pathogenic fungi in a certain number of plants, including wheat 1132 [201] or they may disturb the signal transduction cascade in the cell, increasing tolerance to 1133 trichothecenes and favouring the development of fungi in the plant [198]. A trypsin-like 1134 protease produced by F. culmorum may be one of the key enzymes in the colonisation of 1135 plants by the fungus [202]. Indeed, the presence of this enzyme in barley has been shown to 1136 be correlated with the degradation of specific buffer proteins in infected grains [203]. The 1137 alkaline protease produced by F. culmorum is also involved in the colonisation of barley and 1138 wheat grains [204]. Peroxidases (POX) are oxidoreductive enzymes involved in the 1139 construction of the cell walls of plant hosts (phenol oxidation, suberisation, lignification) 1140 during defence reactions against pathogenic agents [205]. The concentration of POX increases 1141 significantly during the milk stage in wheat anthers inoculated with F. graminearum, whereas 1142 this is not the case in healthy wheat [205].

1143

Polyphenol oxidases (PPO) are involved in the oxidation of quinone polyphenols (a microbialcomponent) and in the lignification of plant cells during microbial invasion [205]. The

specific activity of PPO is maximal during the milk stage in wheat and declines rapidly thereafter [205]. Levels of PPO activity are higher in resistant than in susceptible varieties and increase following inoculation with *F. graminearum* [205].

1149

1150 One possible strategies for reducing the risk of mycotoxin contaminations is the development 1151 of more resistant transgenic crops. Three approaches are possible [206]: (i) transgene-1152 mediated control of the ability of Fusarium to infect and colonise the ear, through the overproduction of specific antifungal proteins and metabolites, or by increasing the plant's 1153 1154 own defense systems in kernel tissues; (ii) the prevention of mycotoxin biosynthesis, or the 1155 detoxification of mycotoxins in plants and (iii) the development of more resistant plants based 1156 on transgenic crops engineered to produce a Bacillus thuringiensis (Bt) toxin. Some Bt maize 1157 hybrids have the potential to reduce the level of fumonisin B produced by F. verticillioides 1158 [206]. This effect probably results from a decrease in sensitivity to one of the possible means 1159 of ear tissue contamination: attack by insects [206,207]. Indeed, Bacillus thuringiensis (BT) is 1160 known to produce proteins toxic to insects [208]. This strategy has not yet been applied to 1161 wheat.

1162

Type I resistance is generally estimated by determining the percentage of spikelets infected seven to 21 days after inoculation or at maturity [9]. However, the number of infected spikelets does not necessarily reflect the total damage caused by the pathogen. The symptoms also depend on the severity and rapidity of rachis invasion by the pathogen.

1167

1168 **Type II: resistance to (kinetic) propagation of the pathogenic agent** in the tissues [50].

1169 The mechanisms involved in this type of resistance are purely active, such as inhibition of the 1170 translocation of deoxynivalenol [18] by an ABC (ATP-binding cassette) transporter protein, 1171 the Pdr5p (pleiotropic drug resistance) [209] or increasing the stability of cell membranes 1172 [18]. This process limits the propagation of *Fusarium culmorum* and *F. graminearum* [95] 1173 from the glumes [18,26] and/or ovaries and glumes to the rachis and the pedicel [94-96]. The 1174 endo- or exocellular migration [94-96] of the fungus leads to a series of changes in host cells, 1175 including degeneration of the cytoplasm, organelles (e.g. chloroplasts) and cell wall [95,96] 1176 by enzymes such as cellulases, xylanases and pectinases [95,96] produced during the penetration and colonisation of the tissues of the wheat ear [95,96]. Once attacked, susceptible 1177 1178 varieties respond to infection only by forming a very thin wall in the periplasmic space of the 1179 infected tissues [94]. In contrast, resistant varieties react strongly: extensive formation of a

1180 very thick wall located close to the infected cells and of a large papilla formed by the rapid 1181 and intense deposition of callose (β -1,3-glucan), lignin and other compounds [94]. Three days 1182 after inoculation, defence structures are more solid, with denser cell walls, particularly in cells 1183 adjoining contaminated cells, in the envelopes of cultivar Frontana (resistant) than in those of 1184 cultivar Agent (susceptible) [210]. The process of lignification may be involved in plant 1185 defences, establishing mechanical barriers to pathogen invasion [94,210], modifying the structure of cell walls and making them more resistant to the degradative enzymes produced 1186 1187 by the pathogen [210]. Furthermore, lignification may inhibit or reduce the diffusion of small 1188 molecules or ions, including the mycotoxins secreted by the fungal hyphae in the host cells 1189 [94,210], and reduce the movement of nutrients from the host cell to the pathogen [210]. In 1190 addition to the lower permeability of the cell membranes in resistant genotypes, the basis of 1191 the inhibition of deoxynivalenol translocation may also involve a lower affinity of the 1192 membrane for deoxynivalenol [26]. This hypothesis is based largely on observations in two 1193 types of mutant yeast [144]. One of these types of mutant yeast presents a low affinity and 1194 low cell membrane permeability for trichothecenes, and in the other, the 60S ribosomal 1195 subunit has a lower than normal affinity for these toxins. The target of deoxynivalenol is a 1196 cytoplasmic peptidyl transferase [211]. Mammalian and fungal cells have a modified peptidyl 1197 transferase that is tolerant to trichothecenes [211]. In cases of type II resistance, the 1198 trichothecenes seem to bind to the ribosomal 60S subunit, by methylation, thereby blocking 1199 the translation of the RNA and inhibiting protein synthesis [38,43,145]. This inhibition leads 1200 to the inhibition of peptidyl transferase activity, opposing resistance to trichothecenes. In 1201 cases in which the membrane is not permeable to deoxynivalenol, a virulence factor 1202 promoting fungal growth [26] the propagation of the pathogen agent is restricted. Thus, three to five days after inoculation, susceptible varieties display more extensive propagation of the 1203 1204 fungus, higher levels of deoxynivalenol accumulation and fewer pathological changes in 1205 infected tissues [94]. A hypersensitive reaction is also possible [18].

1206

This type of resistance can be identified visually by observing the symptoms, which reflect the propagation of the disease from the point of infection [9]. It is also characterised by low levels of ergosterol (an indicator of fungal biomass) [3]. The most well known variety displaying this type of resistance is Sumai 3 [189].

1211

1212 Type I and II resistances may be combined in a given genotype [18,50]. This results in a 1213 variety tolerating the invasion of grains that displays no symptoms on the grains [50]. 1214 Similarly, the number of infected spikelets does not necessarily reflect the total amount of 1215 damage done by the pathogen. The amount of damage also depends on the severity and 1216 rapidity of the invasion of the rachis by the fungus. Thus, the three principal sources of 1217 variation in the expression of symptoms are the environment [57], the species and/or strain of 1218 Fusarium [57,212] and factors involved in the maturation of cereals that also play an 1219 important role in the epidemiological profile of the disease [212]. Wheat contamination is 1220 favoured by high levels of precipitation in the ten days preceding grain maturity, but only if 1221 the minimum temperature in the ten days following ear emergence is sufficiently high [212]. 1222 It should be noted that these factors are varietal and also depend on the fungal species 1223 concerned [212].

1224

1225 It seems likely that, in certain cases, the production of trichothecenes (deoxynivalenol in 1226 particular) by fungi activates their development (hyphal growth: type II resistance) and 1227 colonisation (type I resistance), by interfering with the defence response of the plants 1228 [18,26,175,213]. The quantity of trichothecenes in the grains is controlled by the degradation 1229 of deoxynivalenol and by the tolerance of the plants to this toxin [18].

1230

Two other types of resistance have recently been discovered. Both involve defence reactions directed against trichothecenes, in which the synthesis of this toxin is blocked or its degradation enhanced [147,214].

1234

1235 **Type III: resistance involving the capacity to degrade** deoxynivalenol [214-216].

In some varieties (e.g. Fredrick), enzymatic systems for the detoxification (degradation) of trichothecenes, involving the acetyltransferase Ayt1p [209] for example, have been identified based on a decrease in the amount of deoxynivalenol six weeks after inoculation [215]. Deoxynivalenol begins to accumulate three days after inoculation (four days according to Savard, 2000[149]) of an ear. The concentration of this toxin increases, peaking after six weeks, and then decreases naturally to a concentration that remains constant thereafter at maturity, harvest and during grain storage [90].

1243

This resistance was identified by inoculating grains of wheat, rice and triticale with a single strain of *F. graminearum* [214]. This study showed that the varieties most resistant to pathogen development (low *F. graminearum* biomass, as estimated from ergosterol content) have a higher ergosterol/deoxynivalenol ratio than do varieties more susceptible to the development of this pathogen. This implies that the susceptible varieties are able to degradethe deoxynivalenol produced by the large number of fungi present.

1250

This type III resistance, characterised by a high ergosterol/deoxynivalenol ratio [213], protects against symptoms due to the propagation of the fungus. However, there may nonetheless be a decrease in the number or weight of grains and their size [9]. This resistance is estimated visually by measuring yield and by comparing the values obtained for ears with and without symptoms [9] but this estimation also depends on resistance to grain infection.

1256

1257 Type IV: resistance involving tolerance to high concentrations of dexoynivalenol
1258 (apparent insensitivity to trichothecenes) [3].

Like cultivars with type II resistance linked to the modification of peptidyl transferase, the target of deoxynivalenol, cultivars with type IV resistance also have a modified peptidyl transferase [3]. This enzyme stabilises the membrane, conferring greater tolerance to trichothecenes [43]. It has also been suggested that changes in the permeability of the cell wall or in the signal transduction cascade in the cell inducing greater tolerance to trichothecenes by thaumatin-like proteins can account for this type of resistance [198].

1265

For a given severity of disease, this resistance is generally estimated by a higher level ofdeoxynivalenol compared to other genotypes [9].

1268

1269 **Type V: resistance to grain infection** [5].

This type of resistance results in differences in yield despite similar levels of attack or, conversely, little effect on yield despite the evident presence of the disease after artificial inoculation [5,9,217]. In contrast to the other types of resistance, this type of resistance may not correspond to a physiological process, but rather to the "morphological" expression of an interaction between various complex processes: resistance of types II, III and IV.

1275

1276 **4-3.** Resistance to head blight according to the composition of plant tissues

1277 In addition to genetic resistance, the composition of plant tissues is also a criterion of 1278 resistance to the development and/or propagation of the fungus and its toxins. The 1279 susceptibility of wheat cultivars is linked to the concentration of choline in the ear at anthesis 1280 [218], superoxide dismutase activity in the ears in cases of contamination by deoxynivalenol 1281 or *F. graminearum* [219] and the concentration of p-coumaric acid, one of the phenolic acid precursors of lignin [210]. The quantity of this compound in the ear is much higher after inoculation of the glumes, envelopes and rachis with *F. culmorum* than in uninoculated tissues [210]: this is thus a very important factor determining the susceptibility of crops. The accumulation of an acetyltransferase encoded by FsTri101 in the endosperm and glumes of wheat confers partial protection against *F. graminearum* [220]. Similarly, feluric acid in the ears seems to be involved in resistance to ear blight in maize [221], although healthy and inoculated ears contain similar amounts of this compound [210].

1289

The composition of wheat and barley grains may also affect contamination levels [134].
Couture [134] suggested that a high gluten content in hard wheats and a high starch/protein
ratio in malting barley could protect the crop against head blight.

1293

1294 The relative sugar content of leaves or stems has been proposed as an indicator of 1295 susceptibility to diseases [222]. Unlike powdery mildew, head blight is favoured by a low 1296 sugar content of the tissues [222]. Similar results were obtained in studies of stem blight in 1297 maize, involving a parasitic complex consisting of 70% Fusarium graminearum [129]. The 1298 refraction index of the sap of a section of pressed stem is used to assess the sugar content of 1299 stem bases (Bertrand's method) and it has been shown that the higher the sugar content of 1300 maize stems, the more resistant to stem blight the maize is likely to be [129]. Messiaen [129] 1301 also indicated that F. graminearum is equally able to use sugars, starch and cellulose as 1302 sources of carbon, and proteins, ammonium salts or nitrates as sources of nitrogen. Thus, 1303 Fusarium makes us of storage proteins, and degrades the cell walls and starch grains of 1304 infected grains [41,42].

1305

1306 Conclusion

Head blight is a widespread disease with major consequences for health. However, it has been little studied in the open field. Indeed, field studies of the epidemiological cycle of the disease are hindered by a major problem: identification of the species and strains making up the parasitic complex of the inoculum. A mean of five to seven species, and up to nine in total [8], may be present in the same field in the same year. These species can only be identified after culture in Petri dishes and the observation of a collection of morphological criteria (colour, macroconidia, microconidia, ascospores, chlamydospores of a strain), which requires the conservation of living spores, or molecular genotyping (which remains difficult for thesespecies).

1316

In the laboratory, the various steps in the plant infection process and in mycotoxin production are essentially studied from an environmental viewpoint. Uncertainties remain concerning, in particular, the necessity of inducing the development of perithecia by exposure to ultraviolet light, the natural climatic conditions in which spores are released (macroconidia and ascospores) and the sensitivity of these mechanisms to environmental factors.

1322

In the field, spore dispersal depends on climatic factors in two ways: climatic factors affect spore production (see section 1-2) and strongly regulate spore release. However, the climatic conditions required for spore release are unclear. This is probably due to the difficulties involved in identifying and isolating the various types of spore (ascospores or macroconidia). Furthermore, the results obtained probably depend on the maturity of the spores: four periods of release over a period of 20 to 30 days have been observed.

1329

However, it seems that macroconidia are dispersed by means of splashing during rainfall. In contrast, the release of ascospores is only triggered by rainfall (or high humidity), resulting in the rehydration of the perithecia after a dry period. The true release of the ascospores occurs several days later, depending on air humidity. Ascospore release, in *F. graminearum* in particular, appears to be periodic.

1335

Although the dispersal pathways of the spores are known (splashing for the macroconidia and/or ascospores and wind dispersal for ascospores only) and long-distance dispersal appears to be possible, the maximum dispersal distance and the contaminating potential of splashing and wind dispersal have yet to be determined. Furthermore, local contamination seems to predominate in the epidemiological profile of the disease in that two neighbouring plots planted with the same variety may present very different levels of contamination.

1342

The importance of local contamination raises the question of the hypothetical contamination of spikelets via the systemic route. This question remains unanswered because as far as we know no study has shown, microscopically, the systemic colonisation of the spikelets and the growth of the fungus in plant tissues (or the impossibility of such colonisation). Similarly, the existence, conditions of existence and potential importance of such contamination have not 1348 been precisely determined. Conflicting results have been obtained and it is possible that this 1349 route of contamination is possible only for a few plant genotypes, for a few fungal species or 1350 strains, or for a few plant-fungus interactions. Indeed, interactions between plants and 1351 pathogens have been observed during infection. We can therefore presume that the virulence 1352 characteristics of the infecting fungal strain, the resistance of the plant and the virulence-1353 avirulence interaction between plants and pathogens determines the capacity of the plant to be 1354 infected by mycelium and/or spores, the speed of infection (colonisation) of the ear by the 1355 fungal mycelium and the amount of mycotoxins present in the grains at harvest. These 1356 phenomena, like the production of inoculum, also depend on climate.

1357

1358 The choice of variety is a practical consideration that may affect plant infection: the date and 1359 site of fungal penetration, the propagation rate and the intensity of the consequences of the 1360 attack (severity of symptoms and/or amount of toxins). Indeed, the choice of variety 1361 determines the major mechanisms of varietal resistance activated. However, it should be stressed that although various types of resistance have been identified, they have not vet been 1362 1363 entirely elucidated. Furthermore, the types of varietal resistance have not yet been 1364 characterised for most wheat varieties, which makes it difficult to compare varieties in a 1365 particular area. Together with genetic characteristics, sowing date and climate determine the 1366 date at which the crop is most susceptible to infection.

1367

The extent of infection depends directly on the quality of inoculum, the primary source of 1368 1369 which is crop residues. In the epidemiological cycle of the disease, residues probably modify 1370 the conservation and development of the fungus and spore dispersal, with effects depending 1371 on the amount of residue present (which depends on soil tillage methods and the preceding 1372 crop) and their nutritive value for the pathogen and for the plant (which also depends 1373 essentially on the preceding crop). The high levels of nitrogen in maize residues may result in 1374 a longer period of residue colonisation by *Fusarium*, strengthening pathogen populations, and 1375 stimulating their development. If too many crop residues are present, spore dispersal by 1376 splashing may be physically limited by obstacles. Conversely, if the fungus has access to too 1377 few residues or residues insufficiently rich for it to complete its life cycle and to develop, then 1378 the fungus is likely to produce fewer spores and to disperse less effectively. There is also an 1379 interaction between these factors. Limited soil tillage methods increase the density of residues 1380 on the surface of the soil and hence increase the quantity of inoculum available, particularly if 1381 the preceding crop is a potential host of the fungus (e.g. maize or durum wheat). Mycelium development and the production and dispersal of spores therefore depend on climate andirrigation.

1384

1385 Although there is broad agreement among scientists and advisers concerning the effects of 1386 soil tillage, irrigation and preceding crop on both disease severity and contamination by 1387 mycotoxins, the cause of these effects remains unclear. In addition, uncertainties remain 1388 concerning the survival of the fungus and its capacity to sporulate in soil, according to soil 1389 aeration, porosity and light penetration, all of which are affected by soil tillage. Similarly, the 1390 role of the compounds generated by the degradation of crop residues and the rate of residue 1391 degradation — which depend on soil tillage, the nutritive value of the preceding crop and the 1392 microclimate of the residues, which itself depends on irrigation and its relationship to mineral 1393 composition (nitrogen, silicon, depending on the preceding crop) — make it difficult to 1394 predict the quantitative effects of soil tillage, preceding crop and irrigation.

1395

Other unanswered questions remain concerning the effect of residue degradation on
competition between microorganisms and/or plant resistance (notably by means of differences
in mineral nutrition).

1399

1400 The effect of mineral nutrition on disease severity and mycotoxin contamination, if indeed 1401 there is one, remains unclear. The mineral balance of the soil influences pathogen populations 1402 and should therefore have repercussions for the development of Fusarium. The composition 1403 of plants, in terms of nitrogen and silicon, for example, probably affects the growth and 1404 development of the fungus, although this has not been explicitly demonstrated in wheat for 1405 several reasons: equilibrium between the various elements, fertilisation date, link with 1406 Fusarium foot rot etc. A canopy with unlimited mineral nutrition develops well. This 1407 development slightly modifies the environmental conditions of the residues, which may in 1408 turn modify the rate of residue degradation. If this is indeed the case, then the capacity of the 1409 fungus to sporulate and of the spores to disperse may be affected.

1410

The role played by canopy density, in terms of crop plant and weed densities, and in the development of epidemics of the disease remains to be determined. Weeds may carry *Fusarium*, but is their role limited to that of an intermediate host (during splashing) or do they act as a source of inoculum? If they serve as a source of inoculum, how many spores are produced on weeds? Weeds probably also play an indirect role, modifying mineral nutrition 1416 and/or microclimate by increasing plant population density. The overall density of plants in 1417 the canopy also depends on sowing density and the tillering capacity of the variety. Thus, 1418 weeds may affect the number of spores produced, spore maturation and/or spore dispersal. 1419 The uncertainties that remain probably arise at least in part from variations in the role played 1420 by weeds according to the type or family of weeds concerned and competition with the crop: 1421 date of emergence, density etc. (which also depends on climate). Although canopy density 1422 (crop plus weeds) seems likely to play a role, we cannot be sure of the nature or magnitude of 1423 this effect.

1424

1425 It also seems clear that competition between microorganisms on the leaves and ears is likely 1426 to have an effect, but this is difficult to study in the field and necessarily depends on the 1427 fungicides used and on canopy development.

1428

1429 Five types of varietal resistance have been identified to date. They affect the penetration of 1430 the fungus into the plant (type I), infection kinetics (type II), the expression of the infection 1431 (symptoms; type III), the consequences of infection (quantity of mycotoxins produced, yield; 1432 type IV) and grain infection (type V). This complexity of plant resistance complicates any 1433 study of several varieties. In such studies, caution is required when interpreting results 1434 analysing the relationships between cultural practices and symptoms, between symptoms and 1435 the quantities of mycotoxins produced and between cultural practices and the mycotoxins 1436 produced.

1437

1438 In conclusion, the relationship between disease symptoms and mycotoxin contamination is of key importance. The conditions in which this relationship is purely qualitative and those in 1439 1440 which it also has a quantitative element are unclear. If we are to propose effective methods for 1441 the prevention of grain contamination by toxins, we must improve our understanding of this 1442 relationship, especially as concerns the role of the cropping system. Investigation of the 1443 effects of crop management and crop rotation on the profile of *Fusarium* species and strains 1444 obtained within a cereal field, and the conditions in which the potential for toxin production is 1445 expressed in agricultural environments, should be a research priority.

1446

1447 Acknowledgements

We thank Alex Edelman & Associates for their help in translating this paper from French into
English. We also thank Alain Bone for tracking down the references and Stéphanie Pineau for
help with the preparation of the manuscript.

1451

1452References

- D. Atanasoff, *Fusarium* blight (scab) of wheat and other cereals, Journal of
 Agricultural Research, 20(1), 1920, 1-32.
- 1455 2. J.C. Sutton, Epidemiology of wheat head blight and maize ear rot caused by *Fusarium*1456 *graminearum*, Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology, 4, 1982, 195-209.
- 1457 3. Y.Z. Wang, J.D. Miller, Effects of *Fusarium graminearum* metabolites on wheat
 1458 tissue in relation to *Fusarium* head blight resistance, Journal of Phytopathology, 122,
 1459 1988, 118-125.
- 1460 4. J.D. Miller, R. Greenhalgh, Y. Wang, M. Lu, Trichothecene chemotypes of three
 1461 *Fusarium* species, Mycologia, 83, 1991, 121-130.
- A. Mesterhazy, Types and components of resistance to Fusarium head blight of wheat,
 Plant Breeding, 114, 1995, 377-386.
- 1464 6. D.W. Parry, P. Jenkinson, L. McLeod, *Fusarium* ear blight (scab) in small grain
 1465 cereals a review, Plant Pathology, 44, 1995, 207-238.
- 1466 7. M. McMullen, R. Jones, D. Gallenberg, Scab of wheat and barley: a re-emerging
 1467 disease of devastating impact, Plant Disease, 81, 1997, 1340-1348.
- 14688.A. Bottalico, *Fusarium* diseases of cereals: species complex and related mycotoxin1469profiles, in Europe, Journal of Plant Pathology, 80(2), 1998, 85-103.
- J.C. Rudd, R.D. Horsley, A.L. McKendry, E.M. Elias, Host plant resistance genes for
 fusarium head blight : sources, mechanisms, and utility in conventional breeding
 systems, Crop Science, 41(3), 2001, 620-627.
- 1473 10. A. Bottalico, G. Perrone, Toxigenic *Fusarium* species and mycotoxins associated with
 1474 head blight in small-grain cereals in Europe, European Journal of Plant Pathology,
 1475 108, 2002, 611-624.
- 1476 11. F.T. Bennett, Fusarium species on British cereals, Annals of Applied Biology, 20(2),
 1477 1933, 272-290.
- 1478 12. G.W. Pugh, H. Johann, J.G. Dickson, Factors affecting infection of wheat heads by
 1479 *Gibberella saubinetii*, Journal of Agricultural Research, 46, 1933, 771-797.

- 1480 13. P. Ruckenbauer, H. Buerstmayr, M. Lemmens, Present strategies in resistance
 1481 breeding against scab (*Fusarium* spp.), Euphytica, 119, 2001, 121-127.
- 1482 14. R. Cassini, Facteurs favorables ou défavorables au développement des fusarioses et
 1483 septorioses du blé. *In* "Proceeding of meeting of sections cereals and physiology"
 1484 (Eucarpia, ed.), Dijon, 1970, pp. 271-279.
- 1485 15. R.A. Daamen, C.J. Langerak, W. Stol, Surveys of cereal diseases and pests in The
 1486 Netherlands. III- *Monographella nivalis* and *Fusarium* spp. in winter wheat fields and
 1487 seed lots, Nertherland Journal of Plant Pathology, 97, 1991, 105-114.
- 1488 16. D. Caron, Les Fusarioses. *In* "Maladies des blés et orges", (ITCF, ed.), 1993, pp. 301489 39.
- 1490 17. P. Jenkinson, D.W. Parry, Splash dispersal of conidia of *Fusarium culmorum* and
 1491 *Fusarium avenaceum*, Mycological Research, 98, 1994a, 506-510.
- 1492 18. T. Ban, Studies on the genetics of resistance to Fusarium head blight caused by *Fusarium graminearum* in wheat. *In* "International symposium on wheat improvement
 for scab resistance. Section II. Genetic analysis and gene mapping for scab resistance."
 (Suzhau and Naujing, eds.), Chin., 2000, pp. 5-10.
- 1496 19. R. Dill-Macky, R.K. Jones, The effect of previous crop residues and tillage on
 1497 *Fusarium* head blight of wheat, Plant Disease, 84, 2000, 71-76.
- 1498 20. R. Ioos, B. Bakan, Study of the *Fusarium* spp. from cereal grains and their related
 1499 mycotoxins. *In* "AFPP 6^{ème} conférence internationale sur les maladies des plantes",
 1500 Vol. 1, Tours, France, 2000, pp. 427-434.
- 1501 21. C. Maumené, M. Leuillet, D. Caron, F. Henriot, Incidence des fongicides et de la variété sur le risque de contamination du blé tendre par le déoxynivalénol (DON) en france : premiers résultats. *In* "AFPP 6^{ème} conférence internationale sur les maladies des plantes", Vol. 1, Tours, France, 2000, pp. 459-466.
- D.R. Simpson, G.E. Weston, J.A. Turner, P. Jennings, P. Nicholson, Differential
 control of head blight pathogens of wheat by fungicides and consequences for
 mycotoxin contamination of grain, European Journal of Plant Pathology, 107, 2001,
 421-431.
- R.J. Cook, A.A. Christen, Growth of cereal root-rot fungi as affected by temperaturewater potential interactions, Phytopathology, 66, 1976, 193-197.
- 1511 24. R.W. Stack, M.P. McMullen, Head blighting potential of *Fusarium* species associated
 1512 with spring wheat heads, Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology, 7, 1985, 79-82.

- 1513 25. C.H.A. Snijders, Diallel analysis of resistance to head blight caused by *Fusarium*1514 *culmorum* in winter wheat, Euphytica, 50, 1990c, 1-9.
- 1515 26. C.H.A. Snijders, C.F. Krechting, Inhibition of deoxynivalenol translocation and fungal
 1516 colonization in Fusarium head blight resistant wheat, Canadian Journal of Botany, 70,
 1517 1992, 1570-1576.
- 1518 27. S. Homdork, H. Fehrmann, R. Beck, Effects of field application of tebuconazole on
 1519 yield, yield components and the mycotoxin content of Fusarium-infected wheat grain,
 1520 Journal of Phytopathology, 148, 2000, 1-6.
- 1521 28. L.N. Jorgensen, Control of Fusarium ear blight in winter wheat [Danish] (abstract). *In*1522 "17th Danish Plant Protection Conference II, Site-specific crop protection, decision
 1523 support, pests and diseases, ear blight", Denmark, 2000.
- 1524 29. W.G. Smith, "Diseases of field and garden crops. *Cité par Parry, 1995*," London,
 1525 MacMillan and Co, 1884, pp. 208-213.
- 30. A. Mesterhazy, A.K. Rowaished, Analysis of symptoms caused by *Fusarium graminearum* Schwabe and its relation to powdery mildew infection in wheat, Acta
 Phytopathologica Academiae Scientiarum Hungaricae, 12(3-4), 1977, 289-301.
- 1529 31. B. Carlier, Les fusarioses : des maladies dures à combattre, Jeunes agriculteurs, 557,
 1530 2001.
- W. Ahrens, H. Fehrmann, Attack of wheat by *Septoria nodorum* and *Fusarium* ear
 scab. I- crop loss analysis, Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection, 91(1), 1984, 4258.
- V. Le Boulc'h, S. Bedouret, V. Poyet, T. Barchietto, D. Hazouard, I. De Paepe, J.M.
 Seng, Un test innovant pour contôler la qualité sanitaire des céréales : détection des champignons potentiellement producteurs d'une famille de mycotoxines, les trichothécènes. *In* "AFPP - 6^{ème} conférence internationale sur les maladies des plantes", Vol. 1, Tours, France, 2000, pp. 451-458.
- 1539 34. P. Galtier, C. Comera, I. Oswald, O. Puel, Mycotoxines : origines et toxicités. *In*1540 "AFPP 6^{ème} conférence internationale sur les maladies des plantes", Vol. 1, Tours,
 1541 France, 2000, pp. 77-86.
- J. Gilbert, A. Tekauz, Review: Recent developments in research on fusarium head
 blight of wheat in Canada, Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology, 22, 2000, 1-8.
- 154436.J. Chelkowski, Fungal pathogens influencing cereal seed quality at harvest. *Cité par*1545*Ruckenbauer et al. 2001. In* "Cereal grain: mycotoxins, fungi and quality in drying and

- 1546 storage", (Chelkowski, ed.), Developments in Food Science, 26, Elsevier, Amsterdam,
 1547 1991, pp. 53-56.
- 1548 37. D. Thouvenot, Moisissure et mycotoxine. *In* "Mycotoxines : un prochain enjeu de 1549 sécurité alimentaire ?" (CERAAF, ed.), 2002, pp. 14-17.
- 1550 38. B. Bakan, Approche physiologique de la biosynthèse des trichothécènes par *Fusarium*,
 1551 Thèse INA-PG, 1998, pp. 150.
- 1552 39. D.R. Vidal, Propriétés immunosuppressives des mycotoxines du groupe des
 1553 trichothécènes, Bulletin de l'institut Pasteur, 88, 1990, 159-192.
- 1554 40. J.F. Quillien, "Les mycotoxines," Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique,
 1555 France, 2002, pp. 24.
- 1556 41. D.B. Bechtel, L.A. Kaleikau, R.L. Gaines, L.M. Seitz, The effects of *Fusarium*1557 graminearum infection on wheat kernels, Cereal Chemistry, 62(3), 1985, 191-197.
- L.M. Seitz, W.T. Yamazaki, R.L. Clements, H.E. Mohr, L. Andrews, Distribution of
 deoxynivalenol in soft wheat mill streams, Cereal Chemistry, 62(6), 1985, 467-469.
- F. Eudes, Etude de l'impact des trichothécènes de *Fusarium* chez le blé et sélection in
 vitro pour la résistance à la fusariose de l'épi, Université de Laval Québec, 1998, pp.
 83p+ annexes.
- 1563 44. IARC, Some naturally occurring substances: food items and constituents, heterocyclic
 1564 aromatic amines and mycotoxins. *In* "Monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic
 1565 risks to humans", (IARC, ed.), Vol. 56, Lyon, 1993.
- 45. W. Langseth, R. Hoie, M. Gullord, The influence of cultivars, location and climate on
 deoxynivalenol contamination of Norwegian oats 1985-1990, Acta Agriculturae
 Scandinavica, 45, 1995, 63-67.
- 46. L.J. Francl, Development of Fusarium head blight in relation to environment and
 inoculum. *In* "National Fusarium Head Blight Forum. Chapter 1- Epidemiology and
 Disease Management", Michigan State university (USA), 1998, pp. 1-3.
- 1572 47. A.L. Andersen, The development of *Gibberella zeae* head blight of wheat,
 1573 Phytopathology, 38, 1948, 595-611.
- 1574 48. R. Cassini, "A propos des dégâts provoqués par *Fusarium roseum* dans les cultures de
 1575 céréales du bassin parisien," Comptes Rendus de l' Académie d'Agriculture de France,
 1576 1967, pp. 858-867.
- 49. G.H. Bai, R. Plattner, A.E. Desjardins, F. Kolb, Resistance to *Fusarium* head blight
 and deoxynivalenol accumulation in wheat, Plant Breeding, 120, 2001, 1-6.

- 1579 50. H.W. Schroeder, J.J. Christensen, Factors affecting resistance of wheat to scab caused
 1580 by *Gibberella zeae*, Phytopathology, 53, 1963, 831-838.
- J. Chelkowski, H. Wisniewska, T. Adamski, P. Golinski, Z. Kaczmarek, M. Kostecki,
 J. Perkowski, M. Surma, Effects of *Fusarium culmorum* head blight on mycotoxin
 accumulation and yield traits in barley doubled haploids, Journal of Phytopathology,
 148, 2000, 541-545.
- 1585 52. B.J. Blaney, R.L. Dodman, Production of zearalenone, deoxynivalenol, nivalenol, and
 1586 acetylated derivated by Australian isolates of *Fusarium graminearum* and *F*.
 1587 *pseudograminearum* in relation to source and culturing conditions, Australian Journal
 1588 of Agricultural Research, 53, 2002, 1317-1326.
- 1589 53. N. Magan, R. Hope, A. Colleate, E.S. Baxter, Relationship between growth and
 mycotoxin production by *Fusarium* species, biocides and environment, European
 Journal of Plant Pathology, 108, 2002, 685-690.
- 1592 54. D.C. Hooker, A.W. Schaafsma, L. Tamburic-Ilincic, Using weather variables pre- and
 1593 post-heading to predict deoxynivalenol content in winter wheat, Plant Disease, 86,
 1594 2002, 611-619.
- 1595 55. A. Champeil, J.F. Fourbet, T. Doré, Influence of cropping system on *Fusarium* head
 1596 blight and mycotoxin levels in winter wheat, Crop Protection. *Sous presse*, 2004.
- 1597 56. L. Scott, S. Leath, W. Hagler, J. Murphy, Variation in *Fusarium graminearum*1598 associated with wheat scab in north Carolina. *In* "National Fusarium Head Blight
 1599 Forum. Chapter 1- Epidemiology and Disease Management", Michigan State
 1600 University (USA), 1998, pp. 15.
- 1601 57. M. Dusabenyagasani, R.C. Hamelin, J. Collin, D. Dostaler, Importance de l'interaction
 1602 entre les cultivars de blé et les souches de *Fusarium graminearum* dans l'évaluation de
 1603 la résistance à la fusariose de l'épi, Phytoprotection, 78(2), 1997, 53-60.
- 1604 58. P. Pissinger, Fusarium infection of wheat seeds in the Vas province [Hungarian]1605 (abstract), Novenyvedelem, 18, 1982, 83-85.
- 1606 59. D. Caron, Fusarioses des épis. Sait-on prévoir leur développement ?, Perspectives
 1607 Agricoles, 253, 2000, 56-62.
- M.G. Cromey, S.C. Shorter, D.R. Lauren, K.I. Sinclair, Cultivar and crop management
 influences on fusarium head blight and mycotoxins in spring wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) in New Zealand, New Zealand Journal of Crop and Horticultural Science,
 30, 2002, 235-247.

- 1612 61. G. Bai, G. Shaner, Scab of wheat : Prospects for control, Plant Disease, 78(8), 1994,
 1613 760-766.
- 1614 62. P. Jenkinson, D.W. Parry, Isolation of *Fusarium* species from common broad-leaved
 1615 weeds and their pathogenicity to winter wheat, Mycological Research, 98, 1994b, 7761616 780.
- 1617 63. C.M. Messiaen, R. Cassini, Recherches sue les Fusarioses. IV- La systématique des
 1618 *Fusarium*, Annales des Epiphyties, 19(3), 1968, 387-454.
- 1619 64. F. Rapilly, J.M. Lemaire, R. Cassini, Les Fusarioses. *In* "Les maladies des céréales 1620 Principales maladies cryptogamiques", (INRA-ITCF, ed.), 1973, pp. 119.
- 1621 65. G. Le Henaff, B. Oste, E. Wilhem, A. Faure, J. Moinard, P. Lepoutre, O. Pillon, Bilan
 1622 sanitaire 2001-2002 des céréales. Retour au sec et au calme pour des résultats moyens,
 1623 Phytoma, 556, 2003, 22-26.
- 1624 66. F. Trail, H. Xu, R. Loranger, D. Gadoury, Physiological and environmental aspects of
 1625 ascospore discharge in *Gibberella zeae* (anamorph *Fusarium graminearum*),
 1626 Mycologia, 94, 2002, 181-189.
- 1627 67. T. Paulitz, Diurnal release of ascospores by *Gibberella zeae* in inoculated wheat plots,
 1628 Plant Disease, 80, 1996, 674-678.
- 1629 68. F. Trail, D. Gadoury, R. Loranger, Environmental parameters of ascospore discharge
 1630 in *Gibberella zeae*. *In* "National Fusarium Head Blight Forum. Chapter 11631 Epidemiology and Disease Management", Michigan State University (USA), 1998,
 1632 pp. 11-13.
- 1633 69. W.L. Gordon, The occurrence of *Fusarium* species in Canada. VI- Taxonomy and
 1634 geographic distribution of *Fusarium* species on plants, insects, and fungi. *Cité par*1635 *Parry et al. 1995*, Canadian Journal of Botany, 37, 1959, 257-290.
- 1636 70. J.A. Clement, D. Parry, Stem-base disease and fungal colonisation of winter wheat
 1637 grown in compost inoculated with *Fusarium culmorum*, *F. Graminearum* and
 1638 *Microdochium nivale* (abstract), European Journal of Plant Pathology, 104, 1998, 3231639 330.
- 1640 71. C.S. Millar, J. Colhoun, *Fusarium* diseases of cereals. IV- Observations on *Fusarium* 1641 *nivale* on wheat, Transactions of the British Mycological Society, 52, 1969, 57-66.
- 1642 72. V.W.L. Jordan, E.C. Fielding, "*Fusarium* spp. on wheat. *Cité par Parry*, 1995," Long
 1643 Ashton Research Station, 1988.
- 1644 73. C.H.A. Snijders, Systemic fungal growth of *Fusarium culmorum* in stems of winter
 1645 wheat, Journal of Phytopathology, 129, 1990a, 133-140.

- 1646 74. T. Sieber, T.K. Risen, E. Müller, P.M. Fried, Endophytic fungi in four winter wheat
 1647 cultivars (*Triticum aestivum* L.) differing in resistance against *Stagonospora nodorum*1648 (Berk.) Cast. Et germ. = *Septoria nodorum* (berk.) Berk. *Cité par Jenkinson, 1994b*,
 1649 Journal of phytopathology, 122, 1988, 289-306.
- 1650 75. W.G.D. Fernando, J.D. Miller, W.L. Seaman, K. Seifert, T.C. Paulitz, Daily and
 1651 seasonal dynamics of airborne spores of *Fusarium graminearum* and other *Fusarium*1652 species sampled over wheat plots, Canadian Journal of Botany, 78, 2000, 497-505.
- 1653 76. H.M. Hörberg, Patterns of splash dispersed conidia of *Fusarium poae* and *Fusarium*1654 *culmorum*, European Journal of Plant Pathology, 108, 2002, 73-80.
- F.J. Bannon, B.M. Cooke, Studies on dispersal of *Septoria tritici* pycnidiospores in
 wheat-clover intercrops, Plant Pathology, 47, 1998, 49-56.
- 1657 78. B. Birzele, A. Meier, H. Hindorf, J. Krämer, H.W. Dehne, Epidemiology of *Fusarium*1658 infection and deoxynivalenol content in winter wheat in the Rhineland, Germany,
 1659 European Journal of Plant Pathology, 108, 2002, 667-673.
- R.W. Stack, Gradients of *Fusarium* head blight in wheat along transects away from a
 concentrated source of *Gibberella zeae* ascospore inoculum. *In* "Proceedings of the
 1997 National Fusarium Head Blight Foru,10-12 nov." St. Paul, Minn, 1997, pp. 60.
- 1663 80. R. Bandyopadhyay, L.K. Mughogho, M.V. Satyanarayana, M.E. Kalisz, Occurrence
 1664 of airborne spores of fungi causing grain mould over a sorghum crop, Mycological
 1665 Research, 95(11), 1991, 1315-1320.
- 1666 81. F.R. Sanderson, Fusarium diseases of cereals. VIII. Discharge and dispersal of
 1667 ascospores of *Calonectria nivalis*, Transactions of the British Mycological Society,
 1668 55(1), 1970, 137-140.
- 1669 82. C.T. Ingold, "Fungal spores: Their liberation and dispersal. *Cité par Paulitz, 1996*,"
 1670 Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK, 1971.
- 1671 83. A.T. Tschanz, R.K. Horst, P.E. Nelson, The effect of environmement on sexual
 1672 reproduction of *Gibberella zeae*, Mycologia, 68, 1976, 327-340.
- 1673 84. H.Z. Ye, On the biology of the perfect stage of *Fusarium graminearum* Schw. *Cité*1674 *par Sutton*, *1982*, Acta Phytopatholigica Sinica, 7, 1980, 35-42.
- 1675 85. <u>www.syngenta.ca</u>, 2002.
- 1676 86. R.N. Strange, H. Smith, A fungal growth stimulant in anthers which predisposes
 1677 wheat to attack by *Fusarium graminearum*, Physiological Plant Pathology, 1, 1971,
 1678 141-150.

- 1679 87. J. Lacey, G.L. Bateman, C.J. Mirocha, Effects of infection time and moisture on
 1680 development of ear blight and deoxynivalenol production by *Fusarium* spp. in wheat,
 1681 Annals of Applied Biology, 134, 1999, 277-283.
- 1682 88. K. Matsui, M. Yoshida, T. Ban, T. Komatsuda, N. Kawada, Role of male-sterile
 1683 cytoplasm in resistance to barley yellow mosaic virus and *Fusarium* head blight in
 1684 barley, Plant Breeding, 121, 2002, 237-240.
- 1685 89. R.N. Strange, H. Smith, Effects of choline, betaine and wheat-germ extract on growth
 1686 of cereal pathogens, Transactions of the British Mycological Society, 70(2), 1978,
 1687 193-199.
- A.H. Teich, Epidemiology of wheat (*Triticum aestivum L.*) scab caused by *Fusarium*spp. *In* "Fusarium: mycotoxins, taxonomy and pathogenicity", (Chelkowski, ed.),
 1690 1989, pp. 269-282.
- 1691 91. B.D. McCallum, A. Tekauz, Influence of inoculation method and growth stage on
 1692 *Fusarium* head blight in barley, Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology, 24, 2002, 77-80.
- 1693 92. L.M. Reid, T. Woldemariam, X. Zhu, D.W. Stewart, A.W. Schaafsma, Effect of
 1694 inoculaiton time and point of entry on disease severity in *Fusarium graminearum*,
 1695 *Fusarium verticillioides*, or *Fusarium subglutinans* inoculated maize ears, Canadian
 1696 Journal of Plant Pathology, 24, 2002, 162-167.
- M. Urban, S. Daniels, E. Mott, K. Hammond-Kosack, *Arabidopsis* is suceptible to the
 cereal ear blight fungal pathogens *Fusarium graminearum* and *Fusarium culmorum*,
 The Plant Journal, 32, 2002, 961-973.
- 1700 94. Z. Kang, H. Buchenauer, Ultrastructural and immunocytochemical investigation of
 1701 pathogen development and host responses in resistant and susceptible wheat spikes
 1702 infected by *Fusarium culmorum*, Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology, 57,
 1703 2000, 255-268.
- W.M. Wanjiru, K. Zhensheng, H. Buchenauer, Importance of cell wall degrading
 enzymes produced by *Fusarium graminearum* during infection of wheat heads,
 European Journal of Plant Pathology, 108, 2002, 803-810.
- Z. Kang, H. Buchenauer, Studies on the infection process of *Fusarium culmorum* in
 wheat spikes: Degradation of host cell wall components and localization of
 trichothecene toxins in infected tissue, European Journal of Plant Pathology, 108,
 2002, 653-660.

- J.M. Sung, R.J. Cook, Effects of water potential on reproduction and spore
 germination by *Fusarium roseum 'graminearum'*, *'culmorum'*, and *'avenaceum'*,
 Phytopathology, 71(5), 1981, 499-504.
- 1714 98. R. Cassini, Influences des techniques de culture sur le développement des maladies
 1715 des céréales. Chap. Méthodes de lutte culturale, génétique et biologique. *In* "La lutte
 1716 contre les maladies des céréales", (C. ITCF, ed.), Versailles, 1973, pp. 17-34.
- A.H. Teich, K. Nelson, Survey of *Fusarium* head blight and possible effects of
 cultural practices in wheat fields in Lambton County in 1983, Canadian Plant Disease
 Survey, 64(1), 1984, 11-13.
- 1720 100. A.H. Teich, J.R. Hamilton, Effect of cultural practices, soil phosphorus, potassium,
 1721 and pH on the incidence of *Fusarium* head blight and deoxynivalenol levels in wheat,
 1722 Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 79(6), 1985, 1429-1431.
- 1723 101. H. Krebs, D. Dubois, C. Kulling, H.R. Forrer, The effects of preceding crop and
 1724 tillage on the incidence of *Fusarium* spp. and mycotoxin deoxynivalenol content in
 1725 winter wheat grain [German] (abstract), Agrarforschung, 7, 2000, 264-268.
- 102. L. Manuca, Competition between predominant bacteria in the wheat rhizosphere and
 1727 fusarium culmorum (Sm.) Sacc. in vitro (abstrat), I.C.C.P.T. Fundulea, 35, 1969, 7651728 774.
- 1729 103. K. Trolldenier, Maladie des céréales et nutrition des plantes, Revue de la Potasse,
 1730 section 23, 1969, 1-16.
- 1731 104. I. Coulomb, J. Caneill, H. Manichon, Comportement du sol au labour : méthode
 1732 d'analyse et évaluation des conséquences de l'état initial du sol sur l'état transformé par
 1733 le labour, Agronomie, 13, 1993, 45-56.
- 1734 105. J. Guerif, G. Richard, C. Durr, J.M. Machet, S. Recous, J. Roger-Estrade, A review of
 1735 tillage effects on crop residue management, seedbed conditions and seedling
 1736 establishment, Soil & Tillage Research, 61, 2001, 13-32.
- 1737 106. D.C. Reicosky, Tillage-induced soil properties and chamber mixing effects on gas
 1738 exchange. *In* "International soil tillage research organisation 16th triennial conference",
- 1739 Vol. 1, Erica Maddock, Acacia Computing Services Pty Ltd, The University of1740 Queensland Brisbane, Australia, 2003, pp. 117.
- 1741 107. Y. Ben-Yephet, M. Reuven, A. Genizi, Effects of inoculum depth and density on
 1742 Fusarium wilt in carnations, Phytopathology, 84(12), 1994, 1393-1398.

- 1743 108. G.L. Bateman, H. Coskun, Populations of *Fusarium* spp. in soil growing continuous
 1744 winter wheat, and effects of long-term application of fertilizers and of straw
 1745 incorporation, Mycological Research, 99(11), 1995, 1391-1394.
- 1746 109. G.L. Bateman, G. Murray, R.J. Gutteridge, H. Coskun, Effects of method of straw
 1747 disposal and depth of cultivation on populations of *Fusarium* spp. in soil and on
 1748 brown foot rot in continuous winter wheat, Annals of Applied Biology, 132, 1998, 351749 47.
- 1750 110. M. Jalaluddin, J.F. Jenkyn, Effects of wheat crop debris on the sporulation and
 1751 survival of *Pseudocercosporella herpotrichoides*, Plant Pathology, 45, 1996, 10521752 1064.
- 1753 111. D.J. Yarham, The effect on soil-borne diseases of changes in crop and soil
 1754 management. *In* "Schippers B, gams Weds", Soil-borne Plant Pathogens, UK
 1755 Academic Press, London, 1979, pp. 371-383.
- 1756 112. B.S. Rodgers-Gray, M.W. Shaw, Substantial reductions in winter wheat diseases
 1757 caused by addition of straw but not manure to soil, Plant Pathology, 49, 2000, 5901758 599.
- 1759 113. T.L.W. Carver, R.J. Zeyen, G.G. Ahlstrand, The relation between insoluble silicon
 and success or failure of attempted primary penetration by powdery mildew (*Erysiphe graminis*) germlings on barbley, Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology, 31,
 1762 1987, 133-148.
- 1763 114. R.R. Bélanger, P.A. Bowen, D.L. Ehret, J.G. Menzies, Soluble silicon: its role in crop
 and disease management of greenhouse crops, Plant Disease, 79(4), 1995, 329-336.
- 1765 115. B.A. Summerell, L.W. Burgess, T.A. Klein, A.B. Pattison, Stubble management and
 1766 the site of penetration of wheat by *Fusarium graminearum* group 1, Phytopathology,
 1767 80, 1990, 877-879.
- 1768 116. M.L. Deadman, B.M. Cooke, Wheat disease and cereal-clover bicrops, Management
 1769 Focus, 2, 1997, 15-19.
- 1770 117. M.H. Leitch, P.D. Jenkins, Influence of nitrogen on the development of Septoria
 1771 epidemics in winter wheat, Journal of Agricultural Science, 124, 1995, 361-368.
- 1772 118. R.A. Martin, J.A. MacLeod, C. Caldwell, Influences of production inputs on incidence
 1773 of infection by *Fusarium* species on cereal seed, Plant Disease, 75(8), 1991, 784-788.
- 1774 119. M. Lemmens, K. Haim, H. Lew, P. Ruckenbauer, The effect of nitrogen fertilization
 1775 on *Fusarium* head blight development and deoxynivalenol contamination in wheat,
 1776 Journal of Phytopathology, 152, 2004, 1-8.

- 1777 120. M.T. Fauzi, T.C. Paulitz, The effect of plant growth regulators and nitrogen on
 1778 Fusarium head blight of the spring wheat cultivar max, Plant Disease, 78, 1994, 2891779 292.
- 1780 121. R.W. Smiley, R.J. Cook, P. R.I., Fusarium foot rot of wheat and peas as influenced by
 1781 soil applications of anhydrous ammonia and ammonia-potassium azide solutions,
 1782 Phytopathology, 62, 1972, 86-91.
- 1783 122. D.M. Huber, R.D. Watson, Nitrogen form and plant disease, Annuals review ofphytopathology, 12, 1974, 139-165.
- 1785 123. G. Lazarovits, Management of soil-borne plant pathogens with organic soil
 1786 amendments: a disease control strategy salvaged from the past, Canadian Journal of
 1787 Plant Pathology, 23, 2001, 1-7.
- 1788 124. H.J.M. Loffler, E.B. Cohen, G.T. Oolbekkink, B. Schippers, Nitrite as a factor in the
 decline of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. dianthi in soil supplemented with urea and
 ammonium chloride, Nertherland Journal of Plant Pathology, 92, 1986, 153-162.
- 1791 125. M. Ciotola, P. Neumann, Role of post harvest and spring application of urea and
 1792 Trichoderma reesii QM9414 on the development of Venturia inequalis. *Cité par*1793 *Teich, 1989. In* "Mycolog. Society America and Canadian Phytopathol. Society",
 1794 1987.
- 1795 126. B.K. Vaidehi, Effect of foliar application of urea on the behaviour of
 1796 *Helminthosporium hawaiiensis* in the rhizophere of rice, Indian Journal of Mycology
 1797 and Plant Pathology, 3, 1973, 81-85.
- 1798 127. A.K. Rowaished, The influence of different forms of nitrogen on *Fusarium* root-rot
 1799 disease of winter wheat seedlings, Phytopathology, 100, 1981, 331-339.
- 1800 128. P.E. Onuorah, Effect of mineral nutrition on the Fusarium Brown foot-rot of wheat,
 1801 Plant and Soil, (1), 1969, 99-104.
- 1802 129. C.M. Messiaen, Richesse en sucre des tiges de maïs et verse parasitaire, Revue de
 1803 Pathologie Végétale et d'Entomologie Agricole de France, 36, 1957, 209-213.
- 1804 130. E. Kurek, J. Jaroszuk-Scisel, Rye (*Secale cereale*) growth promotion by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* strains and their interactions with *Fusarium culmorum* under various soil
 1806 conditions, Biological Control, 26, 2003, 48-56.
- 1807 131. C. Alabouvette, Y. Couteaudier, J. Louvet, Recherches sur la résistance des sols aux
 1808 maladies. XI- Etude comparative du comportement des *Fusarium* spp. dans un sol
 1809 résistant et un sol sensible aux fusarioses vasculaires enrichis en glucose, Agronomie,
 1810 5(1), 1985a, 63-68.

- 1811 132. C. Alabouvette, Y. Couteaudier, J. Louvet, Recherches sur la résistance des sols aux
 1812 maladies. XII- Activité respiratoire dans un sol résistant et un sol sensible aux
 1813 fusarioses vasculaires enrichis en glucose, Agronomie, 1985b, 69-72.
- 1814 133. J.C. Arthur, Wheat scab. *Cité par Teich, 1989 et Parry et al. 1995*, Indiana
 1815 Agricultural Experimental Station Bulletin, 36, 1891, 129-132.
- 1816 134. L. Couture, Réceptivité de cultivars de céréales de printemps à la contamination des
 1817 graines sur inflorescence par les *Fusarium* spp., Canadian Journal of Plant Science,
 1818 62, 1982, 29-34.
- 1819 135. M.G. Anderson, "Winter wheat disease survey 1985. *Cité par Parry et al. 1995*,"
 1820 Research Station Kentville, Nova Scotia, 1986, pp. 53-55.
- 1821 136. E.W. Hanson, E.R. Ausemus, E.C. Stakman, Varietal resistance of spring wxeats to
 1822 fusarial head blights. *Cité par Teich and Hamilton, 1989*, Phytopathology, 40, 1950,
 1823 902-914.
- 1824 137. I. Bujold, T.C. Paulitz, O. Carisse, Effect of *Microsphaeropsis* sp. on the production
 1825 of perithecia and ascospores of *Gibberella zeae*, Plant Disease, 85(9), 2001, 977-984.
- 1826 138. H. Diamond, B.M. Cooke, Preliminary studies on biological control of the *Fusarium*1827 ear blight complex of wheat, Crop Protection, 22, 2003, 99-107.
- 1828 139. I. Bujold, O. Carisse, T.C. Paulitz, Effect of *Microsphaeropsis* sp. strain P130A on the
 field production of perithecia of *Gibberella zeae* on wheat residues. *In* "Canadian
 1830 Workshop on *Fusarium* head blight", Manitoba, 1999, pp. 112-113.
- 1831 140. M. Davanlou, A.M. Madsen, C.H. Madsen, J. Hockenhull, Parasitism of
 1832 macroconidia, chlamydospores and hyphae of *Fusarium culmorum* by mycoparasitic
 1833 *Pythium* species, Plant Pathology, 48, 1999, 352-359.
- 1834 141. J.C. Zadoks, T.T. Chang, C.F. Knozak, A decimal code for the growth stages of1835 cereals, Weed Research, 14, 1974, 415-421.
- 1836 142. J. Liggitt, P. Jenkinson, D.W. Parry, The role of saprophytic microflora in the
 1837 development of Fusarium ear blight of winter wheat caused by *Fusarium culmorum*,
 1838 Crop Protection, 16(7), 1997, 679-685.
- 1839 143. A. Mesterhazy, T. Bartok, Control of *Fusarium* head blight of wheat by fungicides
 1840 and its effect on the toxin contamination of the grains, Pflanzenschutz-Nachrichten
 1841 Bayer, 49(2), 1996, 181-198.
- 1842 144. C.S. McLaughlin, M.H. Vaughan, L.M. Campbell, C.M. Wei, M.E. Stafford, B.S.
 1843 Hansen, Inhibition of protein synthesis by trichothecenes. *Cité par Eudes et al.*, 2000.

- *In* "Mycotoxins in human and animal health", (P. F. S. Pathotox, ed.), Rodricks, J.V.,
 Hesseltine, C.W., Mehlman, M.A., 1977.
- 1846 145. F. Eudes, A. Comeau, S. Rioux, J. Collin, Phytotoxicité de huit mycotoxines associées
 1847 à la fusariose de l'épi chez le blé, Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology, 22, 2000, 2861848 292.
- 1849 146. E. Young, Fusariose de l'épi sur blé tendre. Le précédent et le travail du sol sont
 1850 déterminants, Cultivar, 506, 2001, 38-41.
- 1851 147. C.H.A. Snijders, J. Perkowski, Effects of head blight caused by *Fusarium culmorum*1852 on toxin content and weight of wheat kernels, Phytopathology, 80, 1990e, 566-570.
- 1853 148. R.C. Sinha, M.E. Savard, Concentration of deoxynivalenol in single kernels and
 1854 various tissues of wheat heads, Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology, 19, 1997, 8-12.
- 1855 149. M.E. Savard, R.C. Sinha, W.L. Seaman, G. Fedak, Sequential distribution of the
 1856 mycotoxin deoxynivalenol in wheat spikes after inoculation with *Fusarium*1857 graminearum, Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology, 22, 2000, 280-285.
- 1858 150. W.C.A. Gelderblom, K. Jaskiewicz, W.F.O. Marasas, P.G. Thiel, R.M. Horak, R.M.
 1859 Vleggaar, N.P.J. Kriek, Fumonisins: novel mycotoxins with cancer-promoting activity
 1860 producted by *Fusarium moniliforme*, Applied and Environmental Microbiology,
 1861 54(7), 1988, 1806-1811.
- 1862 151. J.C. Wolf, J.R. Lieberman, C.J. Mirocha, Inhibition of F-2 (Zearalenone) biosynthesis
 1863 and perithecium production of *Fusarium roseum 'Graminearum'*, Phytopathology, 62,
 1864 1972, 937-939.
- 1865 152. J.C. Wolf, C.J. Mirocha, Regulations of sexual reproduction in *Gibberella zeae*1866 (*Fusarium roseum 'Graminearum'*) by F-2 (Zearalenone), Canadian Journal of
 1867 Microbiology, 19, 1973, 725-734.
- 1868 153. J.C. Wolf, C.J. Mirocha, Control of sexual reproduction in *Gibberella zeae (Fusarium roseum 'Graminearum')*, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 33(3), 1977, 5461870 550.
- 1871 154. R. Ioos, A. Faure, Fusariose des épis, mycotoxines et traitements fongicides, Phytoma,
 1872 539, 2001, 56-63.
- 1873 155. C.M. Placinta, J.P.F. D'Mello, A.M.C. Macdonald, A review of worldwide
 1874 contamination of cereal grains and animal feed with *Fusarium* mycotoxins, Animal
 1875 Feed Science and Technology, 78, 1999, 21-37.
- 1876 156. A.W. Schaafsma, L. Tamburic-Ilincic, J.D. Miller, The effect of agronomic practice1877 on the accumulation of deoxynivalenol (DON) in winter wheat fields in Ontario,

- 1878 1996-97. *In* "National Fusarium Head Blight Forum. Chapter 1- Epidemiology and
 1879 Disease Management", Michigan State University (USA), 1998, pp. 7-9.
- 1880 157. E. Jenny, A. Hecker, P. Kessler, C. Kulling, H.R. Forrer, Cereal fusarioses: varietal 1881 resistance and toxin contents [German] (Abstract), Agrarforschung, 7, 2000, 270-273.
- 1882 158. E. Young, Itinéraire cultural blé. Ce qui favorise les mycotoxines, Cultivar, 539, 2002,
 1883 52.
- 1884 159. C.J. Mirocha, H.K. Abbas, C.E. Windels, W. Xie, Variation in deoxynivalenol, 15acetyldeoxynivalenol, 3-acetyldeoxynivalenol and zearalenone production by *Fusarium graminearum* isolates, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 55(5),
 1887 1989, 1315-1316.
- 1888 160. J. Perkowski, A. Pavlova, A. Srobarova, J. Stachowiak, P. Golinski, Group B
 1889 trichothecenes biosynthesis in wheat cultivars after heads inoculation with *Fusarium*1890 *culmorum* isolates (abstract), Biologia, 57, 2002, 765-771.
- 1891 161. B. Bakan, C. Giraud-Deville, L. Pinson, D. Richard-Molard, E. Fournier, Y. Brygoo,
 1892 Identification by PCR of *Fusarium culmorum* strains producing large and small
 1893 amounts of deoxynivalenol, Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 68, 2002,
 1894 5472-5479.
- 1895 162. Y.Z. Jin, T. Yoshizawa, Relationship between the incidence of mycotoxigenic
 1896 *Gibberella zeae (Fusarium graminearum)* in crop fields and the occurence of
 1897 trichothecenes in wheat [Japanese] (abstract), Mycotoxins, 40, 1994, 39-42.
- 1898 163. H. Hestbjerg, S. Elmholt, K.F. Nielsen, G. Felding, The ecology of toxin producing
 1899 fungi [Danish] (abstract). *In* "17th Danish Plant Protection Conference II, Site-specific
 1900 crop protection, decision support, pests and diseases, ear blight", Denmark, 2000.
- 1901 164. H. Hestbjerg, G. Felding, S. Elmholt, *Fusarium culmorum* infection of barley
 1902 seedlings: correlation between aggressiveness and deoxynivalenol content, Journal of
 1903 Phytopathology, 150, 2002, 308-312.
- 1904 165. M. Coates, Turner, P. Nicholson, Distribution of P. Jennings, J.A. 1905 deoxynivalenol/nivalenol producing isolates of Fusarium culmorum and F. 1906 graminearum in England and Wales (abstract), Aspects of Applied Biology, 2003.
- 1907 166. J.P. Carter, H.N. Rezanoor, D. Holden, A.E. Desjardins, R.D. Plattner, P. Nicholson,
 1908 Variation in pathogenicity associated with the genetic diversity of *Fusarium*1909 graminearum, European Journal of Plant Pathology, 108, 2002, 573-583.
- 1910167.A. Mesterhazy, Breeding wheat for resistance to Fusarium graminearum and1911Fusarium culmorum, Z. Pflanzenzüchtg Plant Breeding, 91, 1983, 295-311.

- 1912 168. C. ShouXi, G. Kleijer, Effects of *Fusarium* metabolites on growth of callus and
 1913 seedling in triticale (abstract), Acta Prataculturae Sinica, 10, 2001, 78-85.
- 1914 169. S. Marin, N. Magan, J. Serra, A.J. Ramos, R. Canela, V. Sanchis, Fumonisin B₁
 1915 production and growth of *Fusarium moniliforme* and *Fusarium proliferatum* on maize,
 1916 wheat, and barley grain, Journal of Food Science, 64(5), 1999, 921-924.
- 1917 170. W.L. Casale, L.P. Hart, Inhibition of protein synthesis in maize by trichothecene
 1918 mycotoxins, Abstract, Phytopathology, 76, 1986, 1144.
- 1919 171. A.E. Desjardins, R.H. Proctor, G. Bai, S.P. McCormik, G. Shaner, G. Buechley, T.M.
 1920 Hohn, Reduced virulence of trichothecene-nonproducing mutants of *Gibberella zeae*1921 in wheat field tests, Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions, 9(9), 1996, 775-781.
- 1922 172. L.F. Chen, Y.L. Song, Y.G. Xu, Variation in the concentrations of deoxynivalenol in
 1923 the spikes of winter wheat infected by Fusarium graminearum Schw [Chinese]
 1924 (abstract), Acta Phytopatholigica Sinica, 26, 1996, 25-28.
- 1925 173. A. Mesterhazy, Role of deoxynivalenol in aggressiveness of *Fusarium graminearum*1926 and *F. culmorum* and in resistance to *Fusarium* head blight, European Journal of Plant
 1927 Pathology, 108, 2002, 675-684.
- 1928 174. R.H. Proctor, A.E. Desjardins, S.P. McCormick, R.D. Plattner, N.J. Alexander, D.W.
 1929 Brown, Genetic analysis of the role of trichothecene and fumonisin mycotoxins in the
 1930 virulence of *Fusarium*, European Journal of Plant Pathology, 108, 2002, 691-698.
- 1931 175. F. Eudes, A. Comeau, S. Rioux, J. Collin, Impact of trichothecenes on Fusarium head
 1932 blight (*Fusarium graminearum*) development in spring wheat (*Titicum aestivum*),
 1933 Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology, 23, 2001, 318-322.
- 1934 176. A.H. Teich, L. Shugar, A. Smid, Soft white winter wheat cultivar field-resistance to
 1935 scab and deoxynivalenol accumulation, Cereal Research Communications, 15, 1987,
 1936 109-114.
- 1937 177. C.H.A. Snijders, *Fusarium* head blight and mycotoxin contamination of wheat, a
 1938 review, Nertherland Journal of Plant Pathology, 96, 1990b, 187-198.
- 1939 178. W. Liu, W. Langseth, H. Skinnes, O.N. Elen, L. Sundheim, Comparison of visual head
 blight ratings, seed infection levels, and deoxynivalenol production for assessment of
 resistance in cereals inoculated with *Fusarium culmorum*, European Journal of Plant
 Pathology, 103, 1997, 589-595.
- 1943 179. C. Lamper, J. Téren, T. Bartok, R. Komoroczy, A. Mesterhazy, F. Sagi, Predicting
 1944 DON contamination in Fusarium-infected wheat grains via determination of the
 1945 ergosterol content, Cereal Research Communications, 28(3), 2000, 337-344.

- 1946 180. J. Grabarkiewicz-Szczesna, E. Foremska, M. Kostecki, P. Golinski, J. Chelkowski,
 1947 Trichothecenes accumulation in kernels of corn inoculated with Fusarium poae (Peck)
 1948 Wollenw, Nahrung, 43, 1999, 330-332.
- 1949 181. J.F. Fourbet, L. Malmauret, T. Doré, P. Verger, "Impact du mode de conduite des
 1950 cultures sur la qualité agronomique et toxicologique du blé. Application à l'agriculture
 1951 biologique," Rep. No. 99-36, Convention MAP/INRA, 2001.
- 1952 182. S.L. Walker, S. Leath, W.M. Hagler, J.P. Murphy, Variation among isolates of *Fusarium graminearum* associated with Fusarium head bligh in north Carolinia, Plant
 Disease, 85(4), 2001, 404-410.
- 1955 183. B. Cahagnier, Les mycotoxines des céréales. *In* "Mycotoxines : un prochain enjeu de
 1956 sécurité alimentaire ?" (CERAAF, ed.), 2002, pp. 18-24.
- 1957 184. A. Devaux, La fusariose de l'épi du blé, Agriculture (Montréal), 44, 1988, 8 et 25.
- 1958 185. C.H.A. Snijders, The inheritance of resistance to head blight caused by *Fusarium* 1959 *culmorum* in winter wheat, Euphytica, 50, 1990d, 11-18.
- 1960186.Y.J. Yu, Monosomic analysis for scab resistance and yield components in wheat1961cultivar Soo-mo 3, Cereal Research Communications, 10(3-4), 1982, 185-189.
- 1962 187. F.L. Kolb, G.H. Bai, G.J. Muehlbauer, J.A. Anderson, K.P. Smith, G. Fedak, Host
 1963 plant resistance genes for fusarium head blight : mapping and manipulation with
 1964 molecular markers, Crop Science, 41(3), 2001, 611-619.
- 1965 188. L. Gervais, F. Dedryver, J.Y. Morlais, V. Bodusseau, S. Negre, M. Bilous, C. Groos,
 1966 M. Trottet, Mapping of quantitative trait loci for field resistance to *Fusarium* head
 1967 blight in an European winter wheat, Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 106, 2003,
 1968 961-970.
- 189. Z.Z. Liu, Z.Y. Wang, Improved scab resistance in China : sources of resistance and
 problems. *Cité par Ban, 2000 et Rudd, 2001. In* "Wheat for the non-traditional warm
 areas, international conference" (S. DA, ed.), CIMMYT publication, Mexico, 1991,
 pp. 178-188.
- 1973 190. C. Pritsch, C.P. Vance, W.R. Bushnell, D.A. Somers, T.M. Hohn, G.J. Muehlbauer,
 1974 Systemic expression of defense response genes in wheat spikes as a response to
 1975 *Fusarium graminearum* infection, Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology, 58,
 1976 2001, 1-12.
- 1977 191. C.A. Urrea, R.D. Horsley, B.J. Steffenson, P.B. Schwarz, Heritability of *Fusarium*1978 head blight resistance and deoxynivalenol accumulation from barley accession CIho
 1979 4196, Crop Science, 42, 2002, 1404-1408.

- 1980 192. A. Mesterhazy, T. Bartok, C.G. Mirocha, R. Komoroczy, Nature of wheat resistance
 1981 to *Fusarium* head blight and the role of deoxynivalenol for breeding, Plant Breeding,
 1982 118, 1999, 97-110.
- 1983 193. L.P. Hart, J.J. Pestka, M.T. Liu, Effect of kernel development and wet periods on
 1984 production of deoxynivalenol in wheat infected with *Gibberella zeae*, Phytopathology,
 1985 74, 1984, 1415-1418.
- 1986 194. H. Buerstmayr, M. Lemmens, G. Patschka, H. Grausgruber, P. Ruckenbauer, Head
 1987 blight (*Fusarium* spp.) resistance of wheat cultivars registered in Australia. *Cité par*1988 *Ruckenbauer, 2001*, Die Bodenkultur, 47, 1996b, 183-190.
- 1989 195. M. Nakagawa, Studies on ear-scab resistance of wheat plants. 2. genetical factors
 affecting on the inheritance of ear-scab disease of wheat plants. *Cité par Teich, 1989*,
 Jap. J. Breeding, 5, 1955.
- 1992 196. S. Hakimi, J. Liang, Y. Wu, C. Rosenberger, S. Castro, D. Shah, Gene therapy for
 1993 plants. *Cité par Ban, 2000*, Cereal Research Communications, 25, 1997, 745-747.
- 1994 197. C. Pritsch, W.R. Bushnell, D.A. Somers, G.J. Muehlbauer, C.P. Vance, *Fusarium graminearum* infection on wheat spikes: early events. *In* "National Fusarium Head
 Blight Forum. Chapter 1- Epidemiology and Disease Management", Michigan State
 University (USA), 1998, pp. 5.
- 1998 198. W.P. Chen, P.D. Chen, D.J. Liu, R. Kynast, B. Friebe, R. Velazhahan, S.
 1999 Muthukrishnan, B.S. Gill, Development of wheat scab symptoms is delayed in
 2000 transgenic wheat plants that constitutively express a rice thaumatin-like protein gene,
 2001 Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 99, 1999, 755-760.
- 2002 199. L.S. Dahleen, P.A. Okubara, A.E. Blechl, Transgenic approaches to combat *Fusarium*2003 head blight in wheat and barley, Crop Science, 41, 2001, 628-637.
- 2004 200. T. Taira, T. Ohnuma, T. Yamagami, Y. Aso, M. Ishiguro, I. M., Antifungal activity of
 2005 rye (*Secale cereale*) seed chitinases: the different binding manner of class I and class
 2006 II chitinases to the fungal cell walls, Bioscience Biotechnology and Biochemistry, 66,
 2007 2002, 970-977.
- 2008 201. G. Rebmann, F. Mauch, R. Dudler, Sequence of a wheat cDNA encoding a pathogen2009 induced thaumatin-like protein. *Cité par Dahleen, 2001*, Plant Mol. Bio., 17, 1991,
 2010 283-285.
- 2011 202. A.I. Pekkarinen, B.L. Jones, Trypsin-like proteinase produced by *Fusarium culmorum*2012 grown on grain proteins, Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 50, 2002, 38492013 3855.

- 2014 203. A.I. Pekkarinen, T.H. Sarlin, A.T. Laitila, A.I. Haikara, B.L. Jones, Fusarium species
 2015 synthesize alkaline proteinases in infested barley, Journal of Cereal Science, 37,
 2016 2003a, 349-356.
- 2017 204. A.I. Pekkarinen, B.L. Jones, Purification and identification of barley (Hordeum vulgare L.) proteins that inhibit the alkaline serine proteinases of Fusarium culmorum (abstract), Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 51, 2003b, 1710-1717.
- 2020 205. M. Mohammadi, H. Kazemi, Changes in peroxidase and polyphenol oxidase activities
 2021 in susceptible and resistant wheat heads inoculated with *Fusarium graminearum* and
 2022 induced resistance, Plant Science, 162, 2002, 491-498.
- 2023 206. J. Duvick, Prospects for reducing fumonisin contamination of maize through genetic
 2024 modification (abstract), Environmental Health Perspectives, 109, 2001, 337-342.
- 2025 207. R.A.d. Maagd, D. Bosch, W. Stiekema, *Bacillus thuringiensis* toxin-mediated insect
 2026 resistance in plants, Trends in Plant Science, 4, 1999, 9-13.
- 2027 208. C.H. Zhong, D.J. Ellar, A. Bishop, C. Johnson, S.S. Lin, E.R. Hart, Characterization
 2028 of a *Bacillus thuringiensis* delta-endotoxin which is toxic to insects in three orders
 2029 (abstract), Journal of Invertebrate Pathology, 76, 2000, 131-139.
- 2030 209. R. Mitterbauer, G. Adam, *Saccharomyces cerevisae* and *Arabidopsis thaliana*: Useful
 2031 model systems for the identification of molecular mechanisms involved in resistance
 2032 of plants to toxins, European Journal of Plant Pathology, 108, 2002, 699-703.
- 2033 210. E. Siranidou, Z. Kang, H. Buchenauer, Studies on symptom development, phenolic
 2034 compounds and morphological defence responses in wheat cultivars differing in
 2035 resistance to *Fusarium* head blight, Journal of Phytopathology, 150, 2001, 200-208.
- 2036 211. Anonyme, "Protection against trichothecene mycotoxins. *Cité par Wang and Miller,*2037 *1988 et Eudes, 1998*," National Academy Press. Washington D.C. v, Washington D.C,
 2038 1983, pp. 227.
- 2039 212. Y. Castonguay, L. Couture, Epidémiologie de la contamination des grains de céréales
 2040 par les *Fusarium* spp., Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology, 5, 1983, 222-228.
- 2041 213. J.D. Miller, J.C. Young, H.L. Trenholm, *Fusarium* toxins in field corn. I- Time course
 2042 of fungal growth and production of deoxynivalenol and other mycotoxins, Canadian
 2043 Journal of Botany, 61, 1983, 3080-3087.
- 2044 214. J.D. Miller, J.C. Young, D.R. Sampson, Deoxynivalenol and *Fusarium* head blight 2045 resistance in spring cereals, Journal of Phytopathology, 113, 1985a, 359-367.
- 2046 215. J.D. Miller, J.C. Young, Deoxynivalenol in an experimental *Fusarium graminearum*2047 infection of wheat, Canadian Journal of Plant Pathology, 7, 1985b, 132-134.

- 2048 216. J.D. Miller, P.G. Arnison, Degradation of deoxynivalenol by suspension cultures of
 2049 the Fusarium head blight resistant wheat cultivar Frontana, Canadian Journal of Plant
 2050 Pathology, 8, 1986, 147-150.
- 2051 217. R.W. Stack, Return of an old problem: Fusarium head blight of small grains, Plant
 2052 Health Progress, 2000.
- 2053 218. X.Y. Li, Z.S. Wu, Studies on the relationship between choline concentration in
 2054 flowering spikes and resistance to scab among bread wheat varieties. *Cité par Eudes*,
 2055 1998, Acta Agr. Sinica, 20, 1994, 176-185.
- 2056 219. Y.P. Wang, Y.Q. Liu, L. Shi, N.S. Pan, Z.L. Chen, SOD activity of wheat varieties
 with different resistance to scab (abstract), Acta Phytophysiologica Sinica, 19(4),
 2058 1993, 353-358.
- 2059 220. P.A. Okubara, A.E. Blechl, S.P. McCormick, N.J. Alexander, R. Dill-Macky, T.M.
 2060 Hohn, Engineering deoxynivalenol metabolism in wheat through the expression of a
 2061 fungal trichothecene acetyltransferase gene, Theoretical and Applied Genetics, 106,
 2062 2002, 74-83.
- 2063 221. R.W. Stack, J.D. Rasmussen, Ferulic acid as a factor in resistance in wheat to
 2064 Fusarium head blight, Phytopathology, 85, 1995, 1046.
- 2065 222. J.G. Horsfall, A.E. Dimond, Interactions of tissue sugar, growth substances and
 2066 disease susceptibility, Zeitschrift fuer Pflanzenkrankheiten, 64(7-10), 1957, 415-421.
- 2067 223. T. Aoki, K. O'Donnell, Morphological and molecular characterization of *Fusarium* 2068 *pseudograminearum* sp. nov., formerly recognized as the Group 1 population of
 2069 *Fusarium graminearum*, Mycologia, 91(4), 1999a, 597-609.
- 2070 224. T. Aoki, K. O'Donnell, Morphological characterization of *Gibberella coronicola* sp.
 2071 nov., obtained through mating experiments of *Fusarium pseudograminearum*,
 2072 Mycoscience, 40, 1999b, 443-453.
- 2073 225. A.H. Wearing, L.W. Burgess, Water potential and the saprophytic growth of *Fusarium* 2074 *roseum "graminearum"*, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 11, 1979, 661-667.
- 2075

2076 Figure 1: Symptoms of *Fusarium* head blight on the external surface of wheat ear glumes.



2092 Table 1: Data on environmental conditions for the production of macroconidia and ascospores in the laboratory,

2093 according to species. Two natural populations of *F. graminearum* can be identified: Group 1 (or *F*.

2094 *pseudograminearum*), normally associated with the diseased crowns of host plants, which do not form perithecia

2095 in culture and form such structures only rarely in nature, and Group 2, associated with diseased of aerial plant

2096 parts, which do form perithecia- [2,223,224].

Stage	Species	Determinant factors	Range	Optimal value	References	
		Pressure Humidity	> -9 bars > 94%RH		[75] (on maize grains)	
	<i>F. graminearum</i> group 2 (aerial)	Pressure	> -12 bars	-2 bars	[225] (on agar)	
		Temperature	4 to 32°C	28°C	[47]	
		Pressure, Temperature	At –10 bars, T. optim 20°C At –28 bars, T. optim 30°C At –55 bars, T. optim 35°C		[16]	
Mucalial		Temperature Pressure	20 to 30°C -10 to -28 bars		[23] (on osmotically adjusted agar)	
growth		Accelerated by alternate periods of rainfall and drought before ear emergence			[59]	
		Temperature	20 to 30°C		[23] (on osmotically	
		Pressure	-8 to -14 bars		adjusted agar)	
	F. culmorum	Pressure Temperature	At –8 bars, T. optim 20°C At –14 bars T. optim 30°C At –28 bars, T. optim 38°C Maximum growth at 25°C		[16]	
	M. nivale	Temperature	0 to 28-32°C	18-20°C	[11, 16]	
Initiation of	<i>F. graminearum</i> group 2 (aerial)	Light	Requires low intensity of UV light (300-320<390nm)		[83], with conflicting results obtained by [35].	
perithecia			Depends on the strain		[35,71]	
		Depends on hu	umidity and rain in s	pring	[59]	
		Temperature	5 to 35°C	29°C	[2,16]	
Production de p	erithecia	Temperature	15 to 31°C	29°C	[83]	
F. graminearun	<i>i</i> group 2 (aerial)	Pressure	< -50 bars, poor from -5 bars	-1.5 bars	[97] (on osmotically adjusted agar)	
	Γ	Depends on light			[68]	
Production of ascospores	<i>F. graminearum</i> group 2 (aerial)	Temperature Light	13 to 33°C UV light required	25-28°C	[2,16]	
Production of	M. nivale	Temperature	<16-18 °C	6 à 8 °C	[64]	
spores	Fusarium	Temperature Humidity	Around 10°C Around 80% humidity		[64]	
		Temperature	28 to 32°C None if T°<16°C or T°>36°C		[83]	
	<i>F. graminearum</i> group 2 (aerial)	Temperature	16-36°C	28-32°C	[47]	
		Pressure	max < -50 bars	-1.4 to -3 bars	[97] (on osmotically adjusted agar)	
		Temperature	20 to 30°C			
		Pressure	-10 to -28 bars		[23] (on osmotically	
	F. culmorum	Temperature	20 to 30°C		adjusted agar)	
Production of		Pressure	-8 to -14 bars		F071 (
macroconidia		Pressure	Max between -15 and –60 bars	-15 bars	[97] (on osmotically adjusted agar)	
	<i>F. graminearum</i> group 1 (soil) <i>F. avenaceum</i>	Pressure	Max between -15 and -60 bars	-15 bars	[97] (on osmotically adjusted agar)	
	F. roseum	Temperature Light Humidity	> 10°C 25 to 30°C UV light required RH of about 100%		[16]	
	M · 1	The second second	Favoured by water stress		[17]	
	M. nivale	1 emperature	1 to 16 °C	0 to 8 °C	[10]	

Weed host	T .'	0	Fusarium	- Site and form	Reference
Family	Latin name	Common name	Species	NT / 1 / 1	[07]
Poaceae Mg	Agropyron	Wheatgrass	Not indicated	Not indicated	[85]
Lilianna M	Allinen	Garlic	F. avenaceum, F.	Not indicated	[64]
Linaceae M	Attium		cuimorum, E graminoarum		
	Alonacurus		T. grammeurum		
Poaceae Mg	myosuroides Huds	Blackgrass	F. roseum	In the seed	[48]
D 14			_	Lesion, spores on	F 4 0 3
Poaceae Mg	Avena fatua L.	Wild oats	F. roseum	leaves and seeds	[48]
Chenopodiaceae D	Beta vulgaris	Wild beet	F. culmorum	Stem base	[62]
	Capsella bursa-	Shepherd's purse	F. avenaceum, F.		[62]
Cruciferae D			culmorum, F. poae,	Stem base	
	pasions L.		F. sambucinum		
Compositae D	Cirsium arvense L.	Thistle	F. avenaceum	Stem base	[62]
	Dactylis L.	Cocksfoot	F. roseum	Lesion on straw,	
Poaceae Mg				stem base, increase	[14,48]
				with N	
Poaceae Mg	Echinochloa crus-	Barnvardorass	Not indicated	Not indicated	[85]
i ouceue mg	galli L.	Durifyurugruss			[05]
Poaceae Mg	Festuca	Fescue	F. roseum	Stem base, increase	[14]
1 000000 1118	1 050000	1 00000		with N	[]
Rubiaceae D	Galium aparine L.	Cleavers	F. avenaceum, F.	Stem base	[62]
			culmorum, F. poae		
D 14	Lolium multiflorum	Ryegrass	F. roseum	Lesion on straw,	54.4.403
Poaceae Mg	L.			stem base, increase	[14,48]
				with N	[(0]
	Matricaria spp.	Mayweed	F. avenaceum, F.		[62]
Compositae D			culmorum,	Stem base	
1			F. graminearum, F. poae,		
			F. sambucinum		[(0]
Ranunculaceae D	Ranunculus acris Ranonculus repens	Common	F. avenaceum, F.	Stem base	[62]
		buttercup	culmorum, F. poae		[(0]
Ranunculaceae D		Creeping	F. avenaceum, F.		[62]
	L.	buttercup	culmorum		[(0]
Polygonaceae D	Rumex obtusifolius	Dock	F. avenaceum, F.	Stem base	[62]
	•		cuimorum E		[(2]
Compositae D	Senecio vulgaris L.	Groundsel	F. avenaceum, F.	Stem base	[62]
Como a boille co co D	<u>C</u>	<u>Camp annun</u>	cuimorum	Ctore have	[(2)]
Caryophyllaceae D	Spergula arvensis L.	Chialwood	F. sambucinum	Stem base	[02]
Carophynaceae D	siellaria meala L.	Unickweed	F. avenaceum	Stelli base	[02]
Eshanan D	T:C. 1:	Classes/4mafa:1	F. avenaceum, F.	National and a	[04]
Fabaceae D	Irijolium	Clover/trefoil	cuimorum, E anamin aanum	Not indicated	
			F. grammearum		[62]
Urticacoa D	Urtica divisa I	Nettle	r. uvenuceum, r.	Stem base	[02]
Unitalizat D			cumorum, r.	Stelli Dase	
Scronhylariacaaa D	Varonica parsica	Speedwell	F gramingarum	Stem base	[62]
Scrophylanaceae D	reronica persica	Specuwen	F avenaceum F poge	Stelli base	[62]
	Viola amonsis		F culmorum F		[02]
Violaceae D	Murray	Field violet	oraminearum F	Stem base	
	munay		samhucinum		
			F avenaceum F		[64]
Cryonhyllaceae D	Dianthus	Carnation	r. $avenuceum, r$. culmorum F none F	Not indicated	[0-]
	- interno		oraminearum	1 (of marculou	
			E I MITLITE MITLI		

Table 2: Spectrum of infection of weeds by *Fusarium* species. M: Monocotyledonous, g: grasses, D
Dicotyledonous.

- 2104 Figure 2: Chemical structures of trichotecenes, fumonisin B1 and zearalenone.
- 2105 Trichothecenes

